Overview:
Fuel Cell M/HD Vehicle Market Segmentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Barriers (4.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Start: September, 2017 End: September, 2019</td>
<td>A. Future Market Behavior • Assessing competitiveness of fuel cell M/HDVs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70% complete</td>
<td>C. Inconsistent Data, Assumptions &amp; Guidelines • Consistent modeling methodology using established DOE cost/price and performance targets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. Insufficient Suite of Models and Tools • Expand spatial and temporal analysis tools to M/HDV sector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Funding: $350k • FY18: $250k • FY19: $100k</td>
<td>Modeling University of Vanderbilt - Dr. Yuche Chen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total DOE funds received to date: $350k</td>
<td>External Peer Reviewers (alphabetical) Bosch, California Air Resources Board (CARB), Center for Transportation and the Environment (CTE), Cummins, Eaton, Energy Independence Now (EIN), FedEx, Toyota</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Relevance (1/2):**

**FCTO Systems Analysis Framework**

**Fuel Cell M/HDV Market Segmentation Integrates System Analysis Framework:**
- Leveraging and expanding existing systems analysis models
- Systems analysis approach using DOE cost and performance targets

---

**Acronyms**

- **FASTSim**: Future Automotive Systems Technology Simulator
- **H2A**: Hydrogen Analysis
- **H2FAST**: Hydrogen Financial Analysis Scenario Tool
- **M/HDV**: Medium/Heavy-Duty Vehicles
- **SERA**: Scenario Evaluation and Regionalization Analysis
- **TCO**: Total Cost of Ownership
Project Objectives:

1. To provide industry, government, and non-government stakeholders a broad scoping assessment of medium/heavy duty fuel cell vehicle market opportunities across different classes, vocations, regions, and time

2. Assess technical barriers and opportunities for improvement in the medium/heavy duty fuel cell vehicle technology space to guide DOE investment in advanced technologies (MYRDD Milestone 1.16, 1.17)
Approach (1/3):
Analysis Approach Overview

Regional TCO analyzed using established models and OEM specifications

**Vehicle Powertrain Cost Modeling**

- **Inputs:**
  - Vehicle attribute data
  - Drive cycle data
  - Powertrain technology cost and performance data
- **Constraints:**
  - Powertrains meet target acceleration and gradeability
- **Outputs:**
  - Vehicle fuel economy, weight
  - Component costs & MSRP

**Total Cost of Ownership Modeling**

- **Inputs:**
  - Cost data
    - Vehicle MSRP (FASTSim)
    - Regional fuel prices
    - Operating & Maintenance cost
    - Payload opportunity cost
    - Dwell (refueling) time cost
  - Vehicle data
    - Miles travelled, lifetime
    - Fuel economy, weight
  - Financial data (discount rate)
- **Outputs:**
  - Total cost of ownership

**Market Assessment**

- **Impact on FCTO Barriers:**
  - Identify key drivers to fuel cell truck competitiveness
  - Assess fuel cells for commercial applications
- **Integration with Other Projects:**
  - Coordinated with VTO/FCTO/BETO total cost of ownership analysis (ongoing)
  - Potentially provide results to future H2@Scale analysis

- **FASTSim**
- **SERA**
### Technical Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target year</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>Ultimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Batteries</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Cell Mass (kg/kWh)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEV Battery Cell Cost ($/kWh)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Power Electronics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power electronics &amp; motor (no boost) ($/kW)</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boost Converter ($/kW)</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fuel Cell</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel cell specific power (kW/kg)</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel cell cost ($/kW)</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel peak efficiency (%)</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fuel Storage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen storage (kWh/kg)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen tank cost ($/kWh)</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Approach (2/3):** FASTSim used for powertrain optimization

**Sample of Current and DOE Target Performance and Cost Data**

**Fleet DNA**
- NREL’s Fleet DNA database used for drive cycle data

**Drive Cycle Data**
- FASTSim models vehicle fuel economy, weight, and cost for each year and powertrain for direct comparison

**FASTSim**
- FASTSim sizes powertrain components to match acceleration observed in the duty cycle

**Performance and Cost Data**

**Results (by tech status and powertrain):**
- **Output:** Fuel economy, weight, costs, MSRP
- **Status:** Current (2018), Tech Targets (2020, ultimate)
- **Powertrains:** Diesel, compressed natural gas (CNG), hybrid-electric (HEV), plug-in hybrid electric (PHEV), battery electric (BEV), fuel cell electric (FCEV)
Approach (3/3): Total cost of ownership modeling in SERA

**Cost Data**
- **Vehicle Price**
  - FASTSim
- **Fuel Price**
  - AEO Outlook, EPRI, Tesla, HDRSAM, FCTO Targets
- **O&M Cost**
  - Literature survey, fuel-cell bus evaluations
- **Payload Opportunity Cost**
  - LTL Carrier Rates, National Research Council, VIUS data
- **Dwell* Time Cost**
  - ATRI, FMCSA, OOIDA, Nikola, Tesla

**Financial Data**
- **Discount Rate**
  - US Market Data

**Vehicle Data**
- **Fuel Economy & Weight**
  - FASTSim
- **Vehicle Miles Traveled**
  - Transportation Energy Data Book, Fleet DNA
- **Lifetime**
  - Transportation Energy Data Book, Industry Feedback

**Results:**
- **Total cost of ownership** by region, technology status (2018, 2020, Ultimate) and Powertrain (Diesel, HEV, CNG, PHEV, EV, FC)
- Each data source has a low/med/high estimate
- Sensitivity analysis around low/mid/high cost estimates

Total Cost of Ownership calculated for all Low/Med/High estimates of all input vehicle data and cost data

*Emissions benefits were not included in TCO framework but could be added in future analyses*
Accomplishments and Progress (1/9): Vehicle modeling and benchmarking

Vehicle Modeling Progress Since 2018 AMR

1. Focused on Class 4 Parcel Delivery and Class 8 Short/Long Haul (FY18)
2. Added plug-in hybrid (PHEV)
4. Completed FASTSim modeling
5. Benchmarked with Toyota, Hyundai, and Nikola data and Autonomie model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Class</th>
<th>Vocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class 4</td>
<td>Parcel Delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 5</td>
<td>Van, Basic Enclosed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 6</td>
<td>Parcel Delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 7</td>
<td>Truck Tractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 8 Short Haul</td>
<td>Transit Bus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 8 Long Haul</td>
<td>Refuse, Garbage Pickup</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is a large spread in reported/projected FCET fuel economy and tractor weights. FASTSim estimates are within the spread reported.
Accomplishments and Progress (2/9):
Class 8 Long Haul Vehicle MSRP Modeling

- Powertrain components sized to meet acceleration needs (0-60 mph, 45 sec)
- Fuel economy based on representative duty cycle from Fleet DNA
- Class 8 Long Haul required range of 1200 miles between refueling/recharging
- PHEV not modeled for Class 8 Long Haul based on industry feedback

Note:
Fuel Converter = Engine or Fuel Cell

Class 8 Long Haul FCEV MSRP driven by H2 storage and H2 Stack

Class 8 Short Haul and Class 4 Parcel Delivery results are in back-up
Accomplishments and Progress (3/9):
Class 8 Long Haul Vehicle Modeling

Vehicle Weight and Payload Analysis
- Theoretical sweep across required range (distance traveled on single refueling/charge) completed
- Tractor mass increases due to larger H2 storage and battery needed

Fuel cell trucks show lower total mass than battery trucks due to large battery needed

Fuel Cell Powertrain (2020 Tech Targets)

Battery Powertrain (2020 Tech Targets)

Available payload weight reduced due to heavier tractor
Accomplishments and Progress (4/9): Total Cost of Ownership Scenario Definition

Operating Shift (Single vs Multi)

- **Single Shift, Volume Limited**
- **Multi-Shift, Volume Limited**
- **Single Shift, Weight Limited**
- **Multi-Shift, Weight Limited**

Focus of the Class 8 Long Haul results in this presentation

Scenario analysis defined since AMR 2018. Scenarios designed to reflect typical industry business operating scenarios.
Accomplishments and Progress (5/9):
Total Cost of Ownership Scenario Analysis

Scenario Parameters
• Class 8 Long Haul in Pacific Region
• 100,000 mi/yr, 10 year life
• Payload Cost = High, Dwell Cost = None
• Fuel, O&M Costs = Mid
• Discount Rate = 7%

TCO result in Pacific region. FCET costs driven by fuel ($7/gge H2 in this scenario) and Payload Opportunity Cost

Payload opportunity cost is the lost revenue from being not able to load as much cargo as a diesel tractor

PRELIMINARY
Accomplishments and Progress (6/9):
FCEV Total Cost of Ownership Sensitivity Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitivity Variable</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Mid</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$/gge</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M</td>
<td>$/mi</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payload</td>
<td>$/lb-mi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
<td>0.00018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwell</td>
<td>$/refueling</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMT</td>
<td>mi/yr</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime</td>
<td>yr</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount Rate</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Change in TCO ($/mi relative to $1.7/mi)

Scenario Parameters
- Class 8 Long Haul in Pacific Region
- 100,000 mi/yr, 10 year life
- Discount Rate = 7%

Large uncertainty in TCO for each powertrain indicates economic competitiveness depending on how scenarios are defined.

Error bars set by min/max cost across Payload, Fuel, Dwell, O&M parameters
Accomplishments and Progress (7/9):
Total Cost of Ownership Sensitivity Analysis

Scenario Parameters
- Class 8 Long Haul
- Pacific Region
- 2018 Technology
- 100,000 mi/yr
- 10 year life
- Discount Rate = 7%

Sensitivity analysis on FCEV Class 8 Long Haul shows pathway to TCO parity with Diesel Technology

Key cost components for FCEV Class 8 Long Haul

Today’s technology cost with highest cost assumptions on Fuel, O&M, Payload, and Dwell Costs
Accomplishments and Progress (8/9): Total Cost of Ownership Online Tool

Online Tool prototype built for users to explore their own scenarios and parameter combinations. Final tool will be published with report.

Output: TCO bar charts

Select Vehicle and Region

Select VMT, Lifetime, Discount Rate, Low/Med/High costs for each parameter (Fuel, O&M, Payload, Dwell) for each powertrain.
Accomplishments and Progress (9/9)
Responses to Reviewers’ Comments

Include Current Tech Status: The team should include today’s costs in addition to evaluating future technical cost and performance targets.

Great suggestion and we have incorporated this into our analysis.

Include PHEV: The team should include plug-in hybrid (PHEV) technology in addition to the existing powertrain technologies (Diesel, hybrid, CNG, Battery, Fuel Cell) in this analysis.

We have incorporated PHEV technology in our Class 4 Parcel Delivery vehicle analysis. After discussing with industry stakeholders, PHEV is not a likely candidate for Class 8 Short and Long Haul so it was not included there. The vehicles/vocations evaluated in FY19 are expected to include PHEV.

Use Autonomie and/or Validate Against It: The team should consider using Autonomie rather than FASTSim or benchmark against it.

Autonomie and FASTSim are both peer-reviewed, industry-used models for vehicle modeling. FASTSim was primarily used in this analysis to be consistent with a parallel, ongoing multi-EERE office (VTO/FCTO/BETO) project. A benchmarking analysis was completed.

Sensitivity Analysis: The team should complete a sensitivity analysis as discussed by the presenter but not clear from the slides.

Sensitivity analysis has been completed as detailed in FY18. Additionally, a online tool is expected to be published with the report to allow users to explore the uncertainty by creating their own “what-if” scenarios.
Collaboration and Coordination

**Modeling**

- **Vanderbilt University**
  - Dr. Yuche Chen supported vehicle stock model development and operating and maintenance data review

**External Peer Reviewers (Thank You!)**

- Bosch
- California Air Resources Board (CARB)
- Center for Transportation and the Environment (CTE)
- Cummins
- Eaton
- Energy Independence Now (EIN)
- FedEx
- Toyota

The mix of industry, state agency, and non-profit organizations has been very helpful in defining the scenarios and visualizations that are the most useful to see
Data Certainty

• There is limited public, robust data on many of the total cost of ownership parameters

• Large uncertainty ranges impacts the ability to segment the M/HD market as multiple powertrain technologies can compete under different conditions

Modeling Actual Vehicle Ownership Behavior

• Total cost of ownership over the lifetime of the vehicle may not represent how industry owns vehicles. For example, Class 8 Long Haul first owners typically own them for ~2-4 years before selling in secondary market. Resale value of Battery and Fuel Cell powertrains is unknown and difficult to estimate
Future Work and Potential Work

FY19 Project Plan

FASTSim Cost Modeling
- Complete modeling for remaining (FY19) vehicles

SERA TCO Modeling
- Integrate remaining vehicle FASTSim outputs into SERA
- Complete TCO sensitivity and scenario analysis

Knowledge Transfer
- Obtain feedback on Online Tool
- Publish Online Tool
- Publish report and Journal Article on FY18 vehicles
- Draft report for FY19 Vehicles

FASTSim Cost Modeling (FY19)
- Complete FASTSim vehicle modeling for remaining vehicles in this study (Class 4 Delivery and Class 8 Short/Long Haul completed)

SERA TCO Modeling (FY19)
- Integrate FASTSim outputs for remaining FY19 vehicles into SERA for TCO analysis
- Complete TCO analysis including Sensitivity and Scenario analysis for all vehicles/vocations

Knowledge Transfer (FY19)
- Obtain feedback on prototype Online Tool and update based on feedback
- Publish the Journal Article and NREL Report on Class 4 Parcel Delivery and Class 8 Short/Long Haul along with Online Tool
- Draft report on remaining vehicles/vocations (AOP milestone)

Potential Future Scope (FY20+)
- Integrate with H2@Scale through temporal and spatial supply, demand, and storage requirements
- Integrate TCO data into ADOPT vehicle choice model
- Evaluate other vehicle segments (rail, marine)

Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels
Technology Transfer Activities

• **FASTSim** is currently available (LDV) and the updated version (with M/HDV capabilities) will be made available after project completion
  
  – [https://www.nrel.gov/transportation/fastsim.html](https://www.nrel.gov/transportation/fastsim.html)

• Licensing of **SERA** model is being considered. Please inquire if you are interested in using it.

• Online Tool is being developed for external users to explore “what-if” scenarios of their choosing. Goal is to publish in parallel with NREL Report

Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels
Summary

Relevance
• Completed analysis of program performance and cost status for the potential use of fuel cells for commercial applications and to help enable them (MYRDD Milestone 1.16, 1.17)

Approach
• FASTSim for vehicle optimization to obtain vehicle cost, fuel economy, and weight
• SERA TCO modeling direct costs (MSRP, fuel, O&M) and indirect costs (payload, dwell)

Accomplishments and Progress since FY18
• Incorporated 2018 AMR Reviewer Feedback to include Current Technology costs and PHEVs
• Completed TCO analysis of Class 8 Short Haul, Class 8 Long Haul, and Class 4 Parcel Delivery
• Identified key cost parameters and demonstrated path to TCO parity with Diesel (presented example for Class 8 Long Haul)
• Analyzed cost and performance improvements effect on Class 8 Long Haul FCEV TCO:
  – Fuel Price: $10/gge → $4-7/gge ($0.27-0.53/mi)
  – Hydrogen Storage: $36.7/kWh → $8-10/kWh ($0.20-0.22/mi)
  – Fuel Cell Stack: $205/kW → $30-40/kW ($0.10/mi)
• TCO reductions are specific to the 10 year, 100,000 mi/yr scenario assumptions presented here
• Created prototype Online Tool for users to explore TCO data and create “what-if” scenarios

FY19 Ongoing and Planned Work
• Publish Report and Journal Article on Class 4 Parcel Delivery and Class 8 Long/Short Haul vehicles
• Obtain feedback on Online Tool, update, and publish tool for users to explore TCO data
• Complete vehicle modeling and TCO scenario/sensitivity analysis on remaining M/HD vehicles and draft report (AOP Milestone)

Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels
Thank You

www.nrel.gov

NREL/PR-5400-73491
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Acronyms

**ATRI**: American Transportation Research Institute  
**BETO**: Bioenergy Technologies Office  
**BEV**: Battery Electric Vehicle  
**CNG**: Compressed Natural Gas  
**EPRI**: Electric Power Research Institute  
**FASTSim**: Future Automotive Systems Technology Simulator  
**FCEV**: Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle  
**FCTO**: Fuel Cell Technologies Office  
**FMCSA**: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration  
**H₂A**: Hydrogen Analysis  
**H₂FAST**: Hydrogen Financial Analysis Scenario Tool  
**HDRSAM**: Heavy-Duty Refueling Station Analysis Model  
**HEV**: Hybrid-Electric Diesel Vehicle  
**LTL**: Less than truckload  
**M/HDV**: Medium/Heavy-Duty Vehicles  
**MSRP**: Minimum Suggested Retail Price  
**MYRDD**: Multi-Year Research, Development, and Demonstration Plan  
**OOIDA**: Owner Operator Independent Drivers Association  
**PHEV**: Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicle  
**SERA**: Scenario Evaluation and Regionalization Analysis  
**TCO**: Total Cost of Ownership  
**VIUS**: Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey  
**VTO**: Vehicle Technologies Office
Assumptions

FASTSim Modeling
• Drive Cycles – Class 8 Tractor (Slide 32), Class 4 Parcel Delivery (Slide 33)
• Cost and Performance Data – Slide 26
• Acceleration Target: 0-60mph in 45 seconds
• Vehicle Weight based on Sum of Component Weights multiplied by 1.2 factor (EPA M/HDV Final Rulemaking)\(^1\)
• Vehicle Price (MSRP) based on Sum of Component Costs multiplied by 1.5 factor (peer-reviewed FASTSim value)

SERA TCO Modeling
• Fuel Prices – Slide 27-28
• Payload Opportunity Cost – Slide 29
• O&M Cost – Slide 30
• Dwell Cost – Slide 31
• Vehicle Miles Traveled – Based on Transportation Energy Data Book and Fleet DNA
• Vehicle Lifetime – Based on Transportation Energy Data Book and Industry Feedback
• Discount Rate – Based on Long Term Treasury Rates (3%), historical S&P 500 Performance (7-10%)

General
• Designed new powertrains to meet the performance of conventional (diesel) technology so a 1-1 vehicle displacement is implicitly assumed
• Durability and longevity of new powertrains is assumed to be the same as diesel technology which assumes vehicle manufacturers will create products that meet these requirements
• Assumed no incentives for zero or near-zero emission vehicles
• Assumed no value for emission reductions

---
FASTSim input performance and cost assumptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target year</th>
<th>Today</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>Long Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Batteries</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Cell Mass [kg/kWh]</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Cell Price HEV ($/kW)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEV Battery Cell Cost [$/kWh]</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHEV Battery Cell Cost [$/kWh]</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEV Battery Cell Cost [$/kWh]</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Power Electronics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power electronics &amp; motor (no boost) [$/kW]</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boost Converter [$/kW]</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC/DC Buck Converter [$/kW]</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plug Cost (On Board Charger) [$]</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FCEV</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Cell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel cell specific power (kW/kg)</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel cell cost ($/kW)</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel peak efficiency (%)</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel storage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen storage (kWh/kg)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen tank cost ($/kWh)</td>
<td>36.68</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen fuel price ($/kg)</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CNG</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNG Storage [$/Usable kWh NG]</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNG fuel storage mass (kWh/kg)</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>5.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine cost ($/kW)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONVENTIONAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine specific power (kW/kg)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine fixed cost ($)</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine cost ($/kW)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel storage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel and storage specific mass (kWh/kg)</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>9.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel storage cost ($/kWh)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current costs were estimated for various powertrain technologies. Future cost and performance values are based on DOE Targets (2020 and Ultimate).
Fuel priced based on various sources including EIA Energy Outlook, Tesla, DOE Targets, HDRSAM, H2FAST, and EPRI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Mid</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>AEO Low Oil</td>
<td>AEO Reference</td>
<td>AEO High Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>AEO High Oil and Gas</td>
<td>AEO Reference</td>
<td>AEO Low Oil and Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resource Technology</td>
<td></td>
<td>Resource Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>Tesla quoted electricity</td>
<td>AEO Reference - Transportation</td>
<td>EPRI Reported DCFC Prices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>price ($0.07/kWh)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>DOE Hydrogen Price</td>
<td>HDRSAM/H2FAST</td>
<td>HDRSAM/H2FAST ($10/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target ($4/kg)</td>
<td>($7/kg)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EPRI Reported DCFC Charging Prices

![Graphs showing cost trend over time for Electricity and Hydrogen](image)
M/HD fleet hydrogen fuel price analysis with H2FAST and HDRSAM

H2FAST financial analysis of unsubsidized Onsite SMR and Onsite Electrolysis for H2 fuel generation using HDRSAM data

Results suggest that for natural gas prices < $8/mmBTU, $4/gge dispensed hydrogen is achievable without incentives.

Onsite Electrolysis requires electricity prices < $0.03/kWh to achieve $4/gge.

Analysis assumes selling H2 is primary revenue stream (fleet operations) whereas public refueling stations (e.g. gas stations) obtain most of their profit from selling food/drinks/merchandise.
# Payload opportunity cost analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bound</th>
<th>Payload Opportunity Cost ($/lb-mile)</th>
<th>Industry Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Volume limited LTL shipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>Typical freight class, origin/destination, and weight break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>High freight class, unattractive origin/destination, and low weight break</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2002 VIUS showing VMT fraction by typical payload indicates strong possibility of being weight-limited

Payload $/lb-mi costs based on survey of LTL Carrier Rates

Expected value of payload opportunity costs based on 30% probability of being weight-limited [1]

---

Operating and Maintenance costs based on extensive literature survey and FC Bus Evaluations (NREL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost ($/mi)</th>
<th>Bound</th>
<th>Diesel / PHEV</th>
<th>HEV</th>
<th>CNG</th>
<th>EV</th>
<th>FCEV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class 4 Parcel Delivery</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 8 Tractor</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

O&M costs based on literature data as available.

FCEV costs scaled based on spread between Diesel Bus and FC Bus if no FC data was available.
Dwell time cost based on estimated refueling time and typical hourly dwell time cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry Scenario</th>
<th>Diesel/HEV/PHEV (min)</th>
<th>CNG (min)</th>
<th>FCEV (min)</th>
<th>BEV (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dwell (refueling or recharging) time based on industry reported values and/or targets (Nikola, Tesla). Constant rate of $75/hr was used in this analysis.
Accomplishments and Progress:
Class 8 Short Haul Vehicle Modeling

**Class 8 Tractor Drive Cycle**

- **2018:**
  - CNG: $430k
  - Diesel: $390k
- **2020:**
  - CNG: $430k
  - Diesel: $390k
- **2040:**
  - CNG: $430k
  - Diesel: $390k

Preliminary costs by powertrain. FCEV costs drop dramatically when 2020 and Ultimate DOE Targets are met.
Accomplishments and Progress:
Class 4 Parcel Delivery Vehicle Modeling

Class 4 Parcel Delivery Vehicle Modeling

Class 4 Parcel Delivery Drive Cycle

Class 4 Parcel Delivery vehicle shows FCEV MSRP more expensive with today’s costs, but competitive with Diesel and HEV with 2020 cost targets.
Accomplishments and Progress: Class 4 Parcel Delivery Vehicle Modeling

Cargo capacity (weight) is reduced by battery weight as range requirement increases.

**Fuel Cell Powertrain (2020 Tech Targets)**

**Battery Powertrain (2020 Tech Targets)**
Total Cost of Ownership Scenario Analysis: Class 8 Short Haul

Scenario Parameters
- Class 8 Short Haul in Pacific Region
- 60,000 mi/yr, 10 year life
- Payload Cost = High, Dwell Cost = None
- Fuel, O&M Costs = Mid
- Discount Rate = 7%

TCO result in Pacific region. FCET costs driven by fuel ($7/gge H2 in this scenario) and Payload Opportunity Cost. At $4/gge H2, TCO of FCEV is lower than Diesel.

PRELIMINARY
Total Cost of Ownership Scenario Analysis: Class 4 Parcel Delivery

Scenario Parameters
- Class 4 Parcel Delivery in Pacific Region
- 30,000 mi/yr, 10 year life
- Payload Cost = None, Dwell Cost = High
- Fuel, O&M Costs = Mid
- Discount Rate = 7%

TCO result in Pacific region. FCET costs driven by fuel ($7/gge H2 in this scenario) and O&M Opportunity Cost

PRELIMINARY