



ARL-TN-0661 • FEBRUARY 2015



# A Low Velocity 0.30-cal. Gun System

by Donald J Little

*Weapons and Materials Research Directorate*

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

## **NOTICES**

### **Disclaimers**

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.



# **A Low Velocity 0.30-cal. Gun System**

**by Donald J Little**

**REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE**

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

**PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

<b>1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)</b> February 2015		<b>2. REPORT TYPE</b> Final		<b>3. DATES COVERED (From - To)</b> May 2010–June 2011	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> A Low Velocity 0.30-cal. Gun System				<b>5a. CONTRACT NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5b. GRANT NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER</b>	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b> Donald J Little				<b>5d. PROJECT NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5e. TASK NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER</b>	
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> US Army Research Laboratory ATTN: RDRL-WMP-E Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066				<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b>  ARL-TN-0661	
<b>9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b>				<b>10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)</b>	
				<b>11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)</b>	
<b>12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
<b>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b>					
<b>14. ABSTRACT</b> An efficient method was needed to perform ballistic testing using 0.30-cal. Fragment Simulating Projectiles at or near subsonic velocity in order to screen new resin systems for advanced composite/ceramic armor scaled-down test coupons. This technical note outlines the custom gun system and testing methods developed to enable this ballistic testing.					
<b>15. SUBJECT TERMS</b> 0.30-cal., low velocity, gun systems, fragment simulator projectiles, ballistic testing					
<b>16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:</b>			<b>17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b>	<b>18. NUMBER OF PAGES</b>	<b>19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON</b>
<b>a. REPORT</b>	<b>b. ABSTRACT</b>	<b>c. THIS PAGE</b>			Donald J Little
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	UU	22	<b>19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)</b> 410-278-6044

## **Contents**

---

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Approach</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3. Experiments</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4. Conclusion</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>5. References</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Distribution List</b>	<b>15</b>

## List of Figures

---

---

Fig. 1	0.30-cal. FSP.....	1
Fig. 2	Standard brass case used to launch FSPs.....	3
Fig. 3	0.30-cal. FSP seated in test barrel.....	4
Fig. 4	Testing barrel and barrel fixed in mount .....	5
Fig. 5	Custom cases and standard .30-06 cases .....	5
Fig. 6	Tape band fixed around end of custom case.....	6
Fig. 7	Resizing die with fragment and accompanying tools.....	6
Fig. 8	Seating tool used to precisely seat FSP projectile in gun barrel.....	7
Fig. 9	Tissue seating .....	8
Fig. 10	Ballistic range layout and parameters.....	8
Fig. 11	Subsonic shock wave shield mounted forward of testing barrel .....	9
Fig. 12	0.30-cal. FSP launch velocity as a function of propellant load for custom case and 10.5-inches-long test barrel .....	10
Fig. 13	0.30-cal. FSP launch velocity using standard components .....	11

## List of Tables

---

---

Table	V <sub>50</sub> test data.....	12
-------	--------------------------------	----

## 1. Introduction

Rapid screening of new resin systems for advanced composite/ceramic armor dictated conducting ballistic experiments on scaled-down test coupons. These S-2 glass/epoxy panels had a nominal areal density of 2 psf (9.75 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). These material systems were intended for service as back plates in ceramic composite armor systems and, because they were optimized as structural elements, their ballistic performance is rather low. The panel V<sub>50</sub> testing was conducted according to Department of Defense standard MIL-STD-662F.<sup>1</sup> By characterizing V<sub>50</sub> and the resulting delaminating damage near the ballistic limit for targets at a 2-psf (9.75-kg/m<sup>2</sup>) areal density, a large number of resin candidates could be evaluated in a very short amount of time. Fragment Simulating Projectiles (FSPs) are useful for this type of screening because when employed at a low enough velocity no deformation (mushrooming) of the projectile occurs. The 0.30-cal. FSP,<sup>2</sup> shown in Fig. 1, is an appropriate test projectile for the targets of this type.





Fig. 1 0.30-cal. FSP

$V_{50}$  testing for these evaluations required projectile impact velocities near the speed of sound in air. It is exceedingly difficult to get precise velocity control of gun-fired FSPs in this velocity regime using a conventional powder gun. This necessitated the development of a 0.30-cal. gun system tailored specifically to this velocity regime and projectile weight. Standard testing barrel lengths (18–30 inches) and standard commercial reloading propellants have shown they are not very efficient when testing at extremely low velocities with very light projectiles. One factor that inhibits velocity consistency when ballistic firing FSPs is pressure buildup between the seated fragment and cartridge case tends to deform and collapse the case wall. This is due to the fragment being seated in the barrel bore separate from the cartridge case, which leaves a gap between the top of the cartridge case and seated fragment. This gap allows a space where chamber pressure can escape along the outside of the case and results in inconsistent chamber pressures, which in turn cause velocity control and velocity repeatability issues. This problem is more pronounced at higher pressures but is observed over all velocity ranges. By contrast, a standard cartridge has the projectile seated inside the neck of the case, and the pressure during propellant ignition presses the case against the chamber, thereby creating a pressure seal. Figure 2 shows deformation and carbon trails caused by chamber gas leaking around the cartridge case during an FSP shot. Figure 3 is a cross section of a test barrel loaded with a 0.30-cal FSP to illustrate the problem area.



**Fig. 2 Standard brass case used to launch FSPs**




Fig. 3 0.30-cal. FSP seated in test barrel

## 2. Approach

---

Past experience from FSP testing has shown the best approach to achieve very low velocities is to use a short barrel and a very fast burning propellant.<sup>3</sup> For these experiments, a barrel was made by cutting a 267-mm (10.5-inch)-long segment from a standard length 0.30-cal. testing barrel. The barrel has 3 lands and 3 grooves and a twist rate of one turn for every 10 inches of length. The chamber of the barrel was reamed to accept a .30–06 Springfield case and threaded to accept the screw-on small-caliber percussion pin lab breech. Figure 4 shows the barrel and the barrel mounted in the test fixture that was used for testing.



**Fig. 4 Testing barrel and barrel fixed in mount**

To mitigate case deformation and improve chamber pressure consistency, a thick rigid-wall custom cartridge case with a reduced internal volume was constructed from 17-4 PH stainless steel. The external geometry of the case was dimensioned to match a standard .30-06 case. The internal cavity was made by plunging a 5.95-mm (15/64-inch)-diameter standard twist drill bit to the same depth as the inside of a standard .30-06 brass case. The thick body provides stiffness and rigidity and resists deforming and collapsing inward as pressure builds between the seated fragment and the cartridge case. Figure 5 shows a custom case made for these tests alongside a standard .30-06 brass case.



**Fig. 5 Custom cases and standard .30-06 cases**

The custom cases were heat treated to give them a temper that would yield durability and strength to allow repeated use. The cases were heated to 900 °F and held for 1 h, then air cooled to ambient temperature. This process on 17-4 PH stainless steel increases the tensile strength and produces a hardness of 40-42 Rockwell C scale.


The thick rigid-wall design of the custom case reduces chamber pressure loss but does not eliminate it entirely. An additional step performed while using the custom cases for these tests added a small band of masking tape around neck prior to loading it into the barrel. When the weapon was fired, the tape was forced

down to the neck transition area of the case and formed a simple seal that helped contain pressures within the chamber area. The tape was approximately 5.08 mm (0.200 inch) wide and long enough to go around the case neck one time. Testing performed with and without the tape showed a definite improvement with velocity control when tape was used. The tape appears to provide the most benefit at lower velocities and appears to fail with larger propellant loads and higher pressures. Figure 6 shows a custom case pre- and posttest with tape in place.



**Fig. 6** Tape band fixed around end of custom case


FSPs are individually machined parts where a certain amount of variation from part to part is normal. To improve consistency, prior to using them for these tests, the FSPs were all passed through a steel resizing die to achieve a more consistent and uniform flare diameter, thus reducing variation of fit within the bore of the gun. The die is a commercially available swaging die with an internal diameter of 7.848 mm (0.309 inch). Each FSP was gently tapped through the die using a copper rod punch and small hammer. Figure 7 shows the die and tools used to perform the resizing step.



**Fig. 7** Resizing die with fragment and accompanying tools

Variation in FSP weight also contributes to velocity variations during testing. For these tests the FSPs were sorted by weight into groups of 10 that were within  $\pm 0.3$  gr of one another. A group was then set aside for each panel to be evaluated.


Another factor that will affect velocity is varying the FSP seating depth in the test barrel. FSPs must be seated in the gun at precisely the same depth for each test to achieve consistent velocities. A custom seating tool was made from a piece of all-thread to perform this step. Two locking nuts and a stop washer were added to set the stopping point on the back of the barrel. This seating tool was then adjusted to seat the fragment 1.58 mm (1/16 inch) into the rifling of the gun. Figure 8 shows the tools used to perform this step.



**Fig. 8 Seating tool used to precisely seat FSP projectile in gun barrel**

The propellant used for these experiments was Bullseye smokeless pistol powder, a very fast burning propellant manufactured by Alliant. The primer used was Federal Gold Match large rifle percussion primers manufactured by Federal Ammunition.


Keeping the propellant charge evenly seated in the base of the case against the primer is also an important factor in velocity consistency. A small piece of tissue of approximately 19.05 mm (0.750 inch) diameter was pushed down inside the case on top of the propellant charge using a small wooden dowel (Fig. 9).



**Fig. 9 Tissue seating**

### 3. Experiments


The layout of the ballistic range for these experiments is shown in Fig. 10.



**Fig. 10 Ballistic range layout and parameters**


An Oehler chronograph system with 3 model 57 infrared screens spaced 304.8 mm (12 inches) apart was used to collect and record velocity data for these tests. A few test shots were performed initially using 2 channels of orthogonal flash X-rays to measure fragment yaw characteristics in flight to confirm it was within acceptable levels (<5 degrees total). Since the test shots yielded yaw measurements well within the requirement, the bulk of testing was completed using chronographs only to capture velocity data.

For this testing it was necessary to install a shock wave arresting shield directly in front of the first chronograph screen to get consistent triggering of the chronograph system. Since the velocity regime was subsonic (below the speed of sound in air), the shock wave traveled ahead of the projectile and caused false triggering of the chronograph screens. The shield was made from a piece of plywood with a 25.4-mm (1.0-inch) hole drilled in it. A piece of masking tape was placed over the hole for each shot to block the shock wave but allow the FSP to easily pass through. Figure 11 shows the shield in place forward of the testing barrel and in front of the chronograph screens.




**Fig. 11 Subsonic shock wave shield mounted forward of testing barrel**

Prior to starting panel evaluations, ballistic firing was completed to create a propellant reloading curve and create data to evaluate both velocity consistency and control. These data are shown in Fig. 12. For comparison, Fig. 13 contains 0.30-cal. FSP testing data using standard 0.30-cal. reloading propellant with brass cartridge cases and an 889-mm (35-inch)-long test barrel.



**Fig. 12 0.30-cal. FSP launch velocity as a function of propellant load for custom case and 10.5-inch-long test barrel**



**Fig. 13 0.30-cal. FSP launch velocity using standard components**

The  $V_{50}$  evaluation on the panels required ballistic firing to continue until 3 partial penetrations (PPs) and 3 complete penetrations (CPs) within a 90 ft/s spread were obtained. The  $V_{50}$  is defined as the impact velocity at which the projectile has a 50% probability of perforating (defeating) the target. A 0.51-mm (0.020-inch) 2024-T3 aluminum witness plate was positioned 152 mm (6 inches) behind the target to determine the outcome of each shot. An impact is regarded as a CP, or loss, if the projectile or a resulting target fragment from impact creates a hole in the witness plate through which light can be observed. If an impact does not result in a CP, it is considered a PP or win. The Table contains  $V_{50}$  data collected using the custom case, barrel, and test methods outlined previously.

Table V<sub>50</sub> test data

AMB Shot No.	Propellant Weight (gr)	Velocity (ft/s)	Result	FSP Weight (gr)	Data Summary
9311	2	1087	PP	43.79	Panel 1
9312	2.4	1179	CP	43.67	Used for V <sub>50</sub> calculation V <sub>50</sub> ft/s = 1146 Standard Deviation ft/s = 18
9313	2.2	1137	PP	43.89	
9314	2.3	1151	CP	43.88	
9315	2.25	1133	PP	43.65	
9316	2.3	1144	CP	43.82	
9317	2.25	1133	PP	43.74	
9329	2.1	1090	CP	43.59	
9330	1.9	1016	PP	43.78	Used for V <sub>50</sub> calculation V <sub>50</sub> ft/s = 1081 Standard Deviation ft/s = 19
9331	2	1069	PP	43.86	
9332	2.05	1071	PP	43.83	
9333	2.2	1087	CP	43.99	
9334	2.1	1109	CP	43.77	
9335	2	1057	PP	43.73	
9348	2.3	1147	PP	43.72	
9349	2.5	1222	CP	43.75	Used for V <sub>50</sub> calculation V <sub>50</sub> ft/s = 1177 Standard Deviation ft/s = 31
9350	2.4	1170	CP	43.66	
9351	2.3	1143	PP	43.81	
9352	2.4	1180	PP	43.87	
9353	2.5	1202	CP	43.57	
9354	2.5	1179	PP	43.71	
9355	2.6	1228	CP	43.66	
9356	2.55	1203	PP	43.72	Panel 4
9357	2.6	1248	CP	43.67	Used for V <sub>50</sub> calculation V <sub>50</sub> ft/s = 1190 Standard Deviation ft/s = 22
9358	2.55	1186	CP	43.64	
9359	2.46	1181	CP	43.58	
9360	2.4	1164	PP	43.61	
9374	2.2	1123	CP	43.88	
9375	1.9	1028	PP	43.75	
9376	2.05	1057	CP	43.78	
9377	1.98	1060	CP	43.77	Panel 5
9378	1.85	930	PP	43.71	Used for V <sub>50</sub> calculation V <sub>50</sub> ft/s = 1035 Standard Deviation ft/s = 21
9379	1.9	1017	PP	43.51	
9380	1.95	1041	CP	43.53	
9381	1.89	1007	PP	43.8	
9382	2	1054	PP	43.65	
9383	2.2	1107	PP	43.63	
9384	2.5	1164	PP	43.66	
9385	2.67	1238	CP	43.78	Panel 6
9386	2.59	1187	CP	43.78	Used for V <sub>50</sub> calculation V <sub>50</sub> ft/s = 1199 Standard Deviation ft/s = 31
9387	2.57	1181	PP	43.7	
9388	2.58	1238	CP	43.65	
9389	2.48	1185	PP	43.88	
9407	1.86	966	PP	43.91	
9408	1.96	1016	CP	43.61	
9409	1.91	1010	CP	43.61	
9410	1.8	946	PP	43.68	Panel 7
9411	1.85	981	PP	43.64	Used for V <sub>50</sub> calculation V <sub>50</sub> ft/s = 991 Standard Deviation ft/s = 31
9412	1.98	1026	CP	43.66	
9413	1.9	980	PP	43.78	
9414	1.97	1078	CP	43.98	
9415	1.89	1024	CP	43.87	
9416	1.8	982	PP	43.86	
9417	1.85	1021	PP	44	
9418	1.82	1027	CP	43.93	Panel 8
9419	1.75	980	PP	44.09	Used for V <sub>50</sub> calculation V <sub>50</sub> ft/s = 1002 Standard Deviation ft/s = 24
9436	2.1	1114	PP	44.01	
9437	2.2	1130	CP	44.05	
9438	2.15	1113	CP	44.14	
9439	2	1046	PP	44.85	
9440	2.07	1106	CP	43.84	
9441	1.95	1086	PP	43.68	
10650	2	1063	CP	43.7	Panel 9
10651	1.8	1011	PP	43.7	Used for V <sub>50</sub> calculation V <sub>50</sub> ft/s = 1025 Standard Deviation ft/s = 25
10652	1.9	1048	CP	43.5	
10653	1.8	1001	PP	43.9	
10654	1.85	1007	PP	43.5	
10655	1.95	1093	CP	43.7	
10656	1.9	1022	CP	43.7	
10659	1.79	975	CP	44.02	
10660	1.4	820	PP	43.92	Used for V <sub>50</sub> calculation V <sub>50</sub> ft/s = 917 Standard Deviation ft/s = 27
10661	1.6	883	PP	44.02	
10662	1.7	946	CP	44.09	
10663	1.65	887	PP	44.02	
10664	1.69	932	CP	43.91	
10665	1.6	904	PP	44.04	
10666	1.4	803	CP	43.96	
10667	0.9	622	CP	43.9	Used for V <sub>50</sub> calculation V <sub>50</sub> ft/s = 463 Standard Deviation ft/s = 25
10668	0.5	313	PP	44.17	
10669	0.7	446	PP	43.96	
10670	0.8	587	CP	44	
10671	0.75	497	CP	44	
10672	0.725	468	CP	44	
10673	0.68	442	PP	43.96	

Note: Highlighted lines represent those used for V<sub>50</sub> calculations.

## 4. Conclusion

---

Comparing velocity curves for the custom barrel and case to data using standard components (Figs. 12 and 13), the custom barrel and case produce increased velocity consistency for a given propellant load.

Each panel required a minimum of 6 tests to establish the  $V_{50}$  limit. At most, only 3 additional tests over and above the 6-shot minimum requirement were needed to complete each panel. The reduced number of tests required to complete each panel further showcase the efficiency and control of this gun and custom case combination when testing in this velocity regime. The reduced testing expedited the evaluation of this initial batch of composite panels.

When a powder gun is used to test in this low-velocity regime, it requires consistency and attention to detail during the loading and firing process. Over 500 tests using this system and testing method have been conducted in support of US Army Research Laboratory and customer programs to date.

## 5. References

---

1. MIL-STD-662F.  $V_{50}$  Ballistic test for armor. Aberdeen Proving Ground (MD): Army Research Laboratory (US), Weapons and Materials Research Directorate; 1997 Dec 18.
2. MIL-DTL-46593B (MR). Projectile, calibers 0.22, 0.30, 0.50, and 20 mm fragment-simulating. Aberdeen Proving Ground (MD): Army Research Laboratory (US), Weapons and Materials Research Directorate; 2006 Jul 6.
3. Little D. A low velocity 0.22-caliber gun system. Aberdeen Proving Ground (MD): Army Research Laboratory (US); 2009 Aug. Report No.: ARL-TN-364. Also available at [http://www.arl.army.mil/www/default.cfm?technical\\_report=1838](http://www.arl.army.mil/www/default.cfm?technical_report=1838).

1 DEFENSE TECHNICAL  
(PDF) INFORMATION CTR  
DTIC OCA

2 DIRECTOR  
(PDF) US ARMY RESEARCH LAB  
RDRL CIO LL  
IMAL HRA MAIL & RECORDS MGMT

1 GOVT PRINTG OFC  
(PDF) A MALHOTRA

12 DIR USARL  
( PDF) RDRL WMP E  
S BARTUS  
M BURKINS  
B CHAMISH  
A DUCOTE  
D GALLARDY  
J HOGAN  
T JONES  
M KLUSEWITZ  
D LITTLE  
D SCHALL  
D SHOWALTER  
P SWOBODA

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.