Introduction

The rare earth elements (REEs) are a group of 17 elements sharing similar chemical properties. They include yttrium (Y, atomic number 39), scandium (Sc, atomic number 21), and the 15 elements of the lanthanide series, atomic numbers 57 (lanthanum, La) to 71 (lutetium, Lu). Because promethium (Pm, atomic number 61) does not occur in the Earth’s crust and scandium typically has different geological occurrences from other REEs, they are not discussed further herein.

REEs are, on average, more abundant than precious metals (for example, gold, silver, and platinum), but because of their unique geochemical properties, they do not commonly form economically viable ore deposits (Van Gosen and others, 2014). Nevertheless, REEs are increasingly required for a range of modern applications in defense and renewable energy technologies and in commercial products, primarily as magnets, batteries, and catalysts. The United States currently (2018) produces REEs from a single mine in California, accounting for just 9 percent of global production, whereas 70 percent of global REE production comes from China (Gambogi, 2019; fig. 1). For these reasons, REEs are considered a critical resource, and the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) has an interest in helping to identify new sources of REEs for domestic production (Hammarstrom and Dicken, 2019).

In 2017, coal use accounted for about 30 percent of the electric power generated in the United States (U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2018). Fly ash, produced during the burning of coal, is a fine-grained solid derived from noncombustible constituents of coal, such as clay minerals and quartz. When coal is burned, REEs are retained and enriched in the fly ash and, as a result, fly ash has long been considered a potential resource for REEs (Goldschmidt, 1935; Seredin and Dai, 2012).

The United States has the world’s largest coal reserves and, even though gas-fired power generation has increased significantly in the last decade, the United States continues to produce vast quantities of fly ash, about half of which is beneficially reused, primarily in construction materials (American Coal Ash Association, 2017). The remainder is stored, mostly in landfills and impoundments. Thus, annual fly ash production, combined with fly ash already in storage, constitutes a large potential resource (Hower and others, 2017).

Research into how to utilize coal and coal fly ash as sources of REEs is ongoing (National Energy Technology Laboratory, 2018). Viable recovery of REEs from coal and coal ash requires identification of coals and ashes with the highest REE concentrations and development of workable methods for REE extraction and recovery. Understanding how REEs occur within fly ash, described in this fact sheet, is one of the keys to developing possible methods for their recovery.

U.S. Geological Survey Research on Rare Earth Elements in Fly Ash

To better understand how REEs are distributed in coal ash, we investigated the distribution of REEs on a fine scale in 19 coal fly ash samples (table 1) having a range of REE contents determined by various workers and compiled by Kolker and others (2017, table 1). The samples include fly ash from U.S. power stations burning bituminous coal from the central Appalachian basin of the eastern United States and from the Illinois basin and sub-bituminous coal from the Powder River basin in the northern Rocky Mountain region. In addition, we studied four U.S. fly ash samples having mixed or unspecified sources and three samples of fly ash obtained from a Chinese powerplant burning bituminous coal. Fly ash samples from the central Appalachian basin include four samples of ash from the Fire Clay coal of Kentucky, which is known for its REE enrichment (table 1).

Fly ash consists of glasses formed by melting at the high temperatures present in powerplant boilers, together with minerals remaining from coal, such as quartz, and new minerals not present in coal. Before our study, it was poorly understood how REEs are distributed in fly ash. In one hypothesis, suggested by Hower and others (2013) and Taggart and others (2016), REEs from coal enter fly ash glasses. These glasses consist mostly of silicon (Si) and aluminum (Al) and so are called aluminosilicate glasses. To test the hypothesis that REEs enter fly ash glasses, we first identified the various glasses and minerals present in fly ash by using a scanning electron microscope and an electron microprobe, and we produced magnified images and maps of major chemical constituents present (fig. 2). Using this approach, we chose areas of interest for later REE analysis and identified four common glass or mineral constituents in the ash samples: (1) Al-Si aluminosilicate glasses; (2) aluminosilicate glasses that are also enriched in calcium (Ca), iron (Fe), or both Ca and Fe; (3) iron oxide minerals; and (4) quartz (SiO₂).
Table 1. Rare-earth-element (REE) contents of fly ash samples investigated, basins where coals yielding the ash originated, and percentages of critical REEs of the total REE contents.

[Samples are derived from U.S. coals except A–C. Results of analyses by various workers are from the compilation by Kolker and others (2017) and references therein. The contents of REEs are in parts per million (ppm)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Coal basin</th>
<th>Total REEs (ppm)</th>
<th>Critical REEs* (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Jungar, Inner Mongolia, China</td>
<td>192.1</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Jungar, Inner Mongolia, China</td>
<td>229.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Jungar, Inner Mongolia, China</td>
<td>293.5</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Powder River basin + Appalachian basin mixed</td>
<td>313.8</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Powder River basin + Appalachian basin mixed</td>
<td>365.8</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Unspecified mixed</td>
<td>523.6</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Unspecified mixed</td>
<td>635.2</td>
<td>44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Central Appalachian basin</td>
<td>524.5</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Eastern Interior (Illinois basin)</td>
<td>366.5</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Powder River basin</td>
<td>345.2</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Central Appalachian basin, Fire Clay coal</td>
<td>1,554.3</td>
<td>34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Central Appalachian basin, Fire Clay coal</td>
<td>1,667.6</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Central Appalachian basin</td>
<td>401.5</td>
<td>38.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Eastern Interior (Illinois basin)</td>
<td>312.1</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Central Appalachian basin</td>
<td>563.6</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Powder River basin</td>
<td>283.2</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Central Appalachian basin, Fire Clay coal</td>
<td>626.6</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Central Appalachian basin, Fire Clay coal</td>
<td>723.4</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Central Appalachian basin</td>
<td>1,172.2</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Critical REEs (listed by increasing atomic number) are yttrium (Y), neodymium (Nd), europium (Eu), terbium (Tb), dysprosium (Dy), and erbium (Er), as defined by Seredin and Dai (2012).

To determine REE contents of fly ash components, we used an instrument called an ion microprobe that produces a primary beam of charged particles (ions) that is directed onto the sample. The Stanford-USGS Sensitive High-Resolution Ion Microprobe with Reverse Geometry (SHRIMP-RG; Bacon and others, 2012) was chosen because it has the appropriate sensitivity (detection limits in the parts per million) and spatial resolution (spot diameter of 15 micrometers) required to select individual fly ash particles for analysis. When the primary ion beam of negatively charged oxygen ions (O\(^{−}\)) is directed onto a sample, it generates secondary ions of the REEs that are positively charged. These secondary ions are detected by the SHRIMP-RG and quantified by comparing the output to standard reference materials having known REE contents.

Aluminosilicate Glasses

Two kinds of aluminosilicate glasses were recognized in the fly ash samples investigated, each typically forming glassy cenospheres (hollow spheres; fig. 2). Glasses consisting almost exclusively of Al and Si in varying proportions were the most common constituents of the samples investigated. In some samples, aluminosilicate glasses also contained Ca or Fe, or both Ca and Fe. To help target possible REE extraction, we were interested in determining if there were differences in the REE contents of these two groups of fly ash glasses.

In general, REE distributions for Al-Si aluminosilicate glasses are similar to, or slightly lower than, those of the bulk sample, confirming that REEs are partitioned into the glasses during coal combustion (fig. 3). Ca-, Fe-, or Ca- and Fe-enriched aluminosilicate glasses are commonly REE enriched relative to the more common Al-Si glasses (fig. 3), while retaining a similar shape of the REE distribution plot. As the proportion of Si to Al increases, REE contents decrease, consistent with the REE depletion observed in quartz (SiO\(_2\); see below).

Fe-Oxide Minerals

As noted above, iron can be incorporated into aluminosilicate glasses during coal combustion. In coal-fired powerplants, temperatures can go from more than 1,500 degrees Celsius to only a few hundred degrees in a matter of seconds (Senior, 2015). This rapid temperature decrease results in rapid nucleation of Fe-oxide minerals within the glass (fig. 4), much as ice crystals nucleate on the surface of a pond when it reaches its freezing point. Previous studies of Fe oxides separated from fly ash show that these contain REEs (Yang and others, 2014). We confirmed this finding by using the SHRIMP-RG. Unlike the aluminosilicate glasses, Fe oxides have more variable REE patterns and overall REE contents, probably because of the variable proportions of Fe oxides and glass in the spots analyzed (Kolker and others, 2017).
Quartz

The REE contents for quartz are consistently much lower than the REE contents of all other fly ash constituents. This REE depletion is expected because of the lack of minor element substitution into the quartz crystal structure (Deer and others, 1963). The REE contents of many quartz grains were below the detection limit of the SHRIMP-RG instrument, and only those with values above the detection limit are shown in figure 3.

Discussion

Micrometer-scale REE determinations of fly ash components made by using the Stanford-USGS SHRIMP-RG instrument confirm that REEs are partitioned into aluminosilicate glasses during coal combustion. In coal and in some sedimentary rocks, REEs are concentrated in minerals such as monazite ((Ce,La,Nd,Th)PO₄), allanite ((Ce,Ca,Y)(Al,Fe³⁺)(SiO₄)₃(OH)), zircon (ZrSiO₄), and xenotime (YPO₄), all of which occur in trace amounts. These REE minerals are much less common in fly ash than in coal, despite fly ash being greatly REE enriched relative to coal. To explain this, some workers, such as Hood and others (2017), have suggested that REE minerals survive the combustion process but are reduced in size and become more widely distributed due to the thermal shock of the boiler. If this were the case, we would expect to find randomly distributed REE hotspots. Using techniques with micrometer or submicrometer (less than 10⁻⁶ meters) resolution for mapping REE distribution, Stuckman and others (2018) and Hower and others (2017) both recognized localized REE-enriched domains in fly ash, but each of these teams nonetheless considered aluminosilicate glasses to be the dominant host for REEs in fly ash (Stuckman and others, 2018). The overall uniform distribution of REEs in fly ash glasses and the similarity of glass REE distributions to whole-sample distributions suggest that REEs present in REE minerals are redistributed into fly ash glasses during combustion, while these glasses are in the molten state.

Implications for REE Recovery

Our finding that REEs occur in fly ash glass is both good news and bad news for potential extraction of REEs. The good news is that knowing how REEs occur is helpful to the engineering community working to devise approaches for REE recovery from coal ash. The bad news is that REEs bound in aluminosilicate glasses are likely to be difficult to recover, requiring aggressive approaches such as acid digestion, which may not be viable on a commercial scale. Fly ash is among a range of coal-related products currently under consideration as potential REE sources. In some cases, such as in some low-rank coals, greater extractability of REEs may offset the fact that these coals have much lower overall REE contents than does fly ash (Laudal and others, 2018). The present study shows that REEs in fly ash glasses, the most abundant constituent of coal fly ash, should be targeted in devising approaches for extraction and concentration of REEs. Our results show that, if possible, Fe-oxides should be included and quartz should be excluded in processing fly ash for REE recovery.

Figure 3. Chondrite-normalized plot showing ion-microprobe (SHRIMP-RG) results for constituents of fly ash sample Q (table 1) derived from the Fire Clay coal from the central Appalachian basin. By convention, concentrations of rare earth elements (REEs) determined are normalized to concentrations of REEs in chondrites, a group of stony meteorites (see Kolker, 2018, for further discussion). The REE distribution in the bulk sample (heavy solid line) is from Taggart and others (2016). The plot demonstrates the relative enrichment of REEs in iron-bearing aluminosilicate glass (Fe-aluminosilicate) relative to aluminosilicate glasses that lack iron or constituents other than aluminum and silicon. Quartz shows relative REE depletion or the REE concentrations are below detection and are not shown. The unlabeled tick is for promethium (Pm, atomic number 61), which is unstable and was not studied. From Kolker and others (2017, fig. 5).

Figure 4. Backscattered electron image from a scanning electron microscope showing iron oxides (bright patches) within a matrix of aluminosilicate glass (darker interstices) in sample M, fly ash derived from coal from the central Appalachian basin (table 1). Width of field of view is approximately 70 micrometers (µm).
References Cited


Goldschmidt, V.M., 1935, Rare elements in coal ashes: Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, v. 27, no. 9, p. 1100–1102. [Also available at https://doi.org/10.1021/ie50309a032]


By Clint Scott and Allan Kolker

For more information, contact
Science Center Director
Eastern Energy Resources Science Center &
Eastern Mineral & Environmental Resources Science Center
12001 Sunrise Valley Drive
Mail Stop 954
Reston, VA 20192–0002

Acquisition of SHRIMP–RG data was supported in part by a Technical Assistance Agreement between the USGS and the University of Kentucky, with funding from U.S. Department of Energy contract DE-FE0027167.