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A welcoming environment does not mean a defenseless one.
Freedom of religion is one of the fundamental liberties enshrined in the First Amendment of the United States Constitution. Yet recent attacks on worshipers of various faiths illustrate the unique safety challenges that face houses of worship across the country. Although the COVID-19 pandemic has temporarily limited our Nation’s ability to come together in person, one day soon the American people will be able to safely gather in their faith communities and should do so without fear of harm.

The Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) is committed to partnering with the faith-based community to help mitigate the threat of targeted violence and prepare for potential incidents.

Protecting houses of worship while preserving their welcoming and open environment is a priority for the agency. This guide presents new analysis drawn from a series of incidents over the past decade and offers a range of mitigation solutions designed to achieve a robust and layered approach to security.

As CISA’s Acting Assistant Director for Infrastructure Security, I assure you that we continue to work diligently to identify innovative means through which we can collectively mitigate the risks we face as a Nation. Thank you for your commitment to securing our Nation and continued dedication to maintaining partnerships to protect the American people.

Sincerely,

Scott Breor
Acting Assistant Director for Infrastructure Security
Executive Summary

Acts of targeted violence against houses of worship (HoWs) are a real—and potentially growing—problem in the United States and a top priority for the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS). As the Nation’s risk advisor, the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) prepared this guide to help faith-based organizations (FBOs) develop a comprehensive security strategy for adoption to the unique circumstances of every church, mosque, synagogue, temple, and other sites of religious practice across the country.

To better understand the nature of the problem, CISA drew on open source research to compile 37 incidents of targeted violence covering the ten-year period from 2009 to 2019. The analysis drawn from these case studies directly informs the guidance presented here and reveals several noteworthy trends.

- CISA observed a significant spike in incidents of targeted violence in 2012 and a discernible increase in the number of incidents between 2015 and 2019. As a result of these 37 incidents, 64 people lost their lives and 59 people suffered injuries.

- Fifty-four percent (n=20) of the attacks were an armed assault of some kind, including shootings, edged weapons, and vehicular assaults. Five of the attacks qualified as mass shootings.

- CISA determined that 67 percent (n=25) of the attacks were motivated by hatred of a particular racial or religious identity, and that 22 percent (n=8) were connected to a domestic dispute or personal crisis. The motivation for the remaining 11 percent (n=4) is unknown.

- Of the 36 known perpetrators in these incidents, 58 percent (n=21) engaged in some form of planning behavior indicating their intention to carry out an attack.

Within this analysis, CISA also describes several commonly used tactics and methods employed by the perpetrators. These tactics and methods point to specific areas of vulnerability that houses of worship can address through the security framework included within this guide. *The bottom line is that houses of worship can best protect themselves by adopting a comprehensive and multi-layered security strategy.*
To develop and implement a security program that can be adapted to the needs of individual houses of worship, CISA recommends the following overarching security actions:

• **Identify clear roles and responsibilities for developing and implementing security measures.**

• **Conduct a vulnerability assessment to understand the risks to your house of worship.**

• **Build community readiness and resilience by ensuring your house of worship is aware of potential threats, prepared to respond in the event of an emergency or incident, and connected with the wider community.**

• **Apply physical security measures to monitor and protect the outer, middle, and inner perimeters, while respecting the purpose of each area of the house of worship.**

• **Focus on the safety of children with security measures to protect childcare and daycare facilities and schools.**

• **Implement cybersecurity best practices to safeguard important information and prevent a potential cyberattack.**

These security options will not deter every threat to a house of worship, but a comprehensive security approach offers the best solution to protect people, property, and data. Houses of worship should tailor this knowledge to the needs of their communities while maintaining the open and welcoming atmosphere that makes houses of worship a critical part of the social fabric of the United States.
Introduction: Protecting Houses of Worship

The Unique Role of Houses of Worship in American Society

Religion is a powerful organizing force in communities across the country. According to the Pew Research Center’s Religious Landscape Study, an estimated 36 percent of the American people attend religious services on a weekly basis. Factoring in those who attend on a monthly or yearly basis, the number grows to an estimated 69 percent. On important occasions, like weddings, funerals, and religious holidays, the number climbs even higher.¹

Freedom of religion is a right guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution and recognized as a fundamental part of American society. Faith-based organizations (FBO) play a prominent role in providing social services such as food, shelter and clothing, and fostering a general sense of community. For many people, faith offers strength and hope; comfort and reassurance; moral compass and spiritual guidance; and triumph over stress and fear.

That sense of community and purpose is often physically centered around a house of worship (HoW). Churches, mosques, synagogues, temples, and other sites of religious practice are places of refuge and welcome, with few restrictions on access or admission. No matter their faith, houses of worship are nearly always designed to be open and accessible, reflecting a culture that is trusting and inviting.

A welcoming environment, however, does not mean a defenseless one.

Houses of worship face unique challenges as they strive for the right balance between security and accessibility. This guide offers context and guidance for HoWs to make informed decisions about the level of security that best fits their circumstances and environment.

Attacks on Houses of Worship

Over the last several years, attacks on houses of worship in cities like Charleston, Sutherland Springs, Pittsburgh, Poway, and Monsey have accelerated the national conversation around violence, social conflict, and mental health.

The analysis presented here by the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) indicates that such incidents of targeted violence have increased over the ten-year period from 2009 to 2019. The nature of these attacks varies widely, as do the denominations of the victims and the geographic regions in which the attacks took place.

CISA emphasizes, however, that such attacks remain statistically rare even as they appear to be on the rise. Each is a moment of profound trauma to those directly affected and to society at large. While these attacks have terrible impacts, it is important to maintain the social bond that make houses of worship a unique and integral part of the community. Houses of worship can accomplish many security measures without detracting from that special character. This guide intends to help houses of worship find the balance that fits best with their unique needs and circumstances.

What is the Department of Homeland Security Doing?

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) identifies six overarching missions that comprise its strategic plan.² Three of those missions—countering terrorism and homeland security threats, securing cyberspace and critical infrastructure, and strengthening preparedness and resilience—directly touch our Nation’s faith-based organizations and houses of worship as they endeavor to reduce the risk of violence and prevent attacks directed at their members and facilities.

In response to these recent attacks, DHS is increasing its efforts to strengthen prevention, preparedness, and mitigation resources for HoWs by providing information, training, exercises, and expertise. In April 2020, the Department designated the Office of Partnership and Engagement (OPE) to lead FBO security coordination. In June 2020, DHS also announced the creation of a Faith-Based Security Advisory Council (FBSAC) to provide recommendations on matters relating to houses of worship, faith-based organizations, and homeland security to the Secretary of Homeland Security.

This guide is part of CISA’s ongoing effort to address this pressing security challenge. Given the nature of these attacks, this guide also represents part of the wider DHS effort to better understand and address acts of targeted violence.³ Targeted violence and security for houses of worship are increasingly

---

important missions across the Federal Government, as well as with state, local, tribal, and territorial governments (SLTT). This report builds on important work contributed by the U.S. Secret Service (USSS) National Threat Assessment Center (NTAC), the DHS Center for Faith and Opportunity Initiatives, and the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Community Relations Service.

As with acts of terrorism, planning and target selection are hallmarks of targeted violence and offer critical opportunities for prevention, intervention, and risk mitigation. In this guide, CISA considers how some of the findings from previous work on targeted violence, such as school violence, can be applied to security planning for houses of worship.

What is CISA Doing?

The Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency Act of 2018 established CISA to lead federal cybersecurity and critical infrastructure security programs, operations, and policy. As the Nation’s risk advisor, CISA also has responsibilities for public gatherings, which are typically easily accessible and have limited security or protective measures in place.

Protecting public gatherings is one of CISA’s most important missions and operational priorities. Working in partnership with private entities, CISA provides leadership and support by identifying, developing, and implementing innovative and scalable measures to mitigate the risk to crowded places—including houses of worship.

Overview of the Guide

This guide offers new analysis, recommendations, and resources. Most importantly, this guide also presents a conceptual framework for both thinking about the security of HoWs and achieving a security plan best suited to the unique circumstances of every community.

CHAPTER 1 presents analysis based on ten years of incidents involving acts of targeted violence against houses of worship within the United States, including an overview of the tactics and methods most commonly used by perpetrators. The findings from this analysis directly inform the guidance offered in subsequent chapters.

CHAPTER 2 outlines a process for individual HoWs to think about their security needs and develop a robust and layered security strategy without sacrificing the unique qualities that make places of worship an important part of the local community.


CHAPTER 3 provides specific guidance on how to conduct a comprehensive *Vulnerability Assessment* that will help HoWs to evaluate their current security posture and specific needs.

CHAPTERS 4–7 offer more detailed discussions of the different aspects of security planning and the components that might be necessary for a HoW to achieve a layered security strategy.

Finally, APPENDIX 1 presents a Resource Guide with a comprehensive list of the products that houses of worship can use to improve their overall safety and security. The chapter organizes resources by topic so that users can navigate the myriad of options and decision points that will be most beneficial for their needs.

Readers will also find these curated reference materials and resources throughout the guide. These resources—most of which have been produced by DHS and other security and law enforcement professionals—provide an opportunity for follow-up and further study for interested HoWs to continue their strategic security planning.
Introduction

Houses of worship (HoWs) vary in size, denomination, and geographic location—and each has unique security needs. This guide is, in part, a direct response to a series of high-profile attacks that have captured national attention in recent years and troubled communities of all religious faiths. The guide also reflects general best practices for protecting crowds, tempered by the special considerations that come with HoWs.

To better understand how the problem of violence against sites of religious practice has evolved in recent years and address the wide range of security needs that exist across the Nation, the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) conducted a thorough review of the literature and scholarship on the subject and examined ten years of data from open-source research, media reports, and national databases to compile a list of 37 case study incidents from 2009 to 2019. Together with the existing literature, these case studies reveal high-level trends and important lessons on the steps that can be taken to make houses of worship more secure.

These lessons directly inform the security options outlined in this guide. In sum, the research makes clear that HoWs face a variety of security challenges and point to the need for a comprehensive and multi-layered approach to security.
Review of Literature and National Trends

Scholars estimate there are approximately 350,000 to 400,000 individual congregations within the United States. Each represents a critical part of the local community, and houses of worship of all faiths are traditionally regarded as sanctuaries that value openness and inclusion. At the same time, that openness, social prominence, and symbolic importance create unique security challenges.

CISA reviewed literature from a wide range of fields and disciplines for this guide, including: open source media reports; scholarly publications in peer-reviewed journals; government reports, documents, and databases; and articles published by law enforcement, threat assessments, and other security professionals.

Overall, the field of HoW security is relatively small and there is even less established literature on the specific problem of targeted violence. Security professionals have increased their attention to the needs of churches, synagogues, mosques, temples, and other religious sites in recent years, but most of the literature produced by industry is prescriptive (rather than analytical) in nature. Scholars, meanwhile, have just begun to conduct systematic research on acts of violence targeting HoWs. Just as researchers cannot state with any certainty the precise number of individual congregations within the United States, there is no precise accounting for the number of violent acts deliberately targeting houses of worship.

One challenge is the need for a unified and robust tracking system. Existing research and analysis often come from media reports or unconnected databases such as The Violence Project at Hamline University or the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s (FBI) Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program, which aggregates hate crimes reported by local jurisdictions. Most researchers contend that such databases, while useful, are limited by incomplete or

---


4 *The Mass Shooter Database,* *The Violence Project*, https://www.theviolenceproject.org/

5 *Uniform Crime Reporting Program,* Federal Bureau of Investigation, https://www.fbi.gov/services/cjis/ucr/
... and each has unique security needs.

inconsistent reporting and hypothesize that the incidents recorded therein likely represent an undercount.6

Even so, the data points to two distinct trends: that HoWs face a baseline of persistent targeted criminal activity and that the specific threat of targeted violence may be increasing.

On one end of the spectrum are the type of incidents that are statistically common but not necessarily life threatening. Vandalism, for example, appears to be a routine problem for HoWs across the country.7 Yet HoWs also appear to face a certain level of persistent life-threatening violence, but which may fall short of the criteria for targeted violence used in this guide. One estimate based on FBI data projects that between 2000 and 2016 there were approximately 480 violent incidents per year—including armed robberies, assaults, and bombings—resulting in 46 deaths and 218 serious injuries annually.8

On the other end of the spectrum is the growing problem of mass shootings, which are statistically rare but represent the greatest trauma and loss of life. Such attacks have increased in the last five years alongside the general upward trend in mass shootings nationwide and often meet the definition of targeted violence (outlined below). The attack on the Baptist church in Sutherland Springs, for example, was the fifth deadliest mass shooting incident in the United States tracked by the Violence Project.9

Qualitatively, a strong association appears to exist between social climate and threats to HoWs. Historical analysis reveals that attacks on distinct ethnic and religious groups and individual houses of worship often accompany periods of intense racial and religious strife. Some well-known examples include the bombing and burning of black churches or the defacement and vandalism of synagogues and mosques during outbursts of anti-Semitism and anti-Muslim animus.10

6 Scheitle, “Crimes occurring at places of worship: An analysis of 2012 newspaper reports.”
Troubling signs indicate that the country has once again entered a period of social unrest with a simultaneous rise in bias-motivated attacks and hate crimes. The Associated Press points out that three of the deadliest attacks on HoWs have occurred since 2015. The rise of social media, meanwhile, has created fertile ground for hate speech and hateful ideologies to flourish within certain corners of the internet.\(^\text{11}\)

To meet these challenges, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has directed a growing number of resources to address the specific problem of targeted violence and in September 2019 published Strategic Framework for Countering Terrorism and Targeted Violence to better coordinate government action. The report is noteworthy for calling new attention to security threats originating within the United States. DHS identified two broad categories of special concern: (1) homegrown violent extremists (HVEs) motivated by the messaging and ideologies of foreign terrorist organizations and (2) domestic terrorists, particularly with those associated with white supremacist violent extremism.\(^\text{12}\) Both categories represent a potential threat to HoWs.

In addition, the COVID-19 pandemic may be increasing the prevalence of hate crimes and racial prejudice across the western world, further exacerbating the threat to HoWs and prompting CISA to issue an advisory to religious organizations, cautioning that “stressors caused by the pandemic may contribute to an individual’s decision to commit an attack or influence their target of choice.”\(^\text{13}\)

Alongside the more random and unpredictable attacks driven by personal and domestic crisis, the growing prevalence of hate-motivated attacks, portrayed in Figures 1 (p. 13) and 2 (p. 14), represents a grave risk to HoWs within the United States.

---


Figure 1. FBI Hate Crime Data: incidents of religious bias and targeting of HoWs

Figure 1 displays a series of categories compiled in the FBI Hate Crime Data as they relate to religious bias. The medium blue line (top) tracks the total number of hate crime incidents involving religious bias. The green line tracks the number of property crimes committed against religious organizations. The red line tracks the number of individuals victimized (including murder/manslaughter, rape, aggravated assault, simple assault, intimidation, and other) for reasons of religious affiliation, a distinct category the FBI began keeping in 2014. The light blue line (bottom) tracks the number of incidents in which a religious organization is recorded as the victim. The dark blue line (second from bottom) tracks the total number of hate crime incidents occurring at HoWs. Data for 2019 was not available at the time of publication.

Together, these data trends provide valuable insight into the overall tone of American civic life and prevalence of hate crimes involving religion.

Source: FBI UCR hate crime statistics, tables 1, 7, 8, and 10 https://www.fbi.gov/services/cjis/ucr/hate-crime
Figure 2. FBI Hate Crime Data: individuals killed due to religious affiliation

Figure 2 shows the number of individuals killed due to reasons of religious affiliation and bias as tracked by FBI Hate Crime statistics. This number is included as a subset of the total number of individuals victimized for religious affiliation reflected in figure 1.


Methodology for Developing Case Studies

To supplement existing research and provide context for the security considerations included within this guide, CISA developed a series of case studies to track targeted violence against HoWs during the ten-year period between 2009 and 2019. CISA gathered these incidents through a thorough search of a variety of sources, including: FBI Hate Crime Statistics (part of the UCR Program); the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives' (ATF) Bomb Arson Tracking System (BATS); DHS’s Technical Resource for Incident Prevention (TRIPwire); the University of Maryland’s Global Terrorism Database; and Hamline University’s The Violence Project. However, CISA drew most of the case studies from open-source media reports, which provided the most substantive publicly available information. Although some details were limited or incomplete, CISA corroborated the essential facts with multiple sources whenever possible.
To separate acts of deliberate violence from random acts of crime, CISA used the following definition as criteria for inclusion within these case studies: *An act of targeted violence against a house of worship or affiliated property within the United States that results in significant damage, injury, or loss of life.*

The number of cases that met the criteria for inclusion was relatively small—compared, for example, to the data offered in FBI Hate Crime Statistics—and CISA anticipates there are additional incidents that have not been included or evaluated in this guide.

**Targeted Violence**

Targeted violence refers to violence that is goal-directed and focused on specific individuals, groups, or locations. Perpetrators select their targets to achieve specific motives, such as the resolution of a grievance or to make a political or ideological statement. Targeted violence is distinct from violence that is impulsive, random, or spontaneous and often distinguished by clear indicators or pre-attack planning behaviors. Those behaviors, if detected, can be useful to thwart or mitigate an incident.

The 2019 DHS Strategic Framework defines targeted violence as:

> ... any incident of violence that implicates homeland security and/or DHS activities, and in which a known or knowable attacker selects a particular target prior to the violent attack. Unlike terrorism, targeted violence includes attacks otherwise lacking a clearly discernible political, ideological, or religious motivation, but that are of such severity and magnitude as to suggest an intent to inflict a degree of mass injury, destruction, or death commensurate with known terrorist tactics.\(^{14}\)

**Operational Definition for Inclusion in Case Studies**

For the purpose of this analysis, CISA focused on incidents within the United States during the period from 2009 to 2019 and defined “an act of targeted violence against a HoW” as any incident in which a perpetrator deliberately targeted a HoW to:

1. Kill or injure one or more persons affiliated with a HoW, including clergy, staff, and congregants;

2. Cause significant property damage to a HoW; and/or

3. Engage in cybercrimes targeting a HoW, including such acts as network intrusions, software piracy, identity theft, financial fraud, and phishing.

---

This analysis is limited to incidents of targeted violence and does NOT include:

- Incidents where a perpetrator could not be identified or a focused interest in the HoW could not be determined;
- Incidents resulting in minor property damage;
- Incidents of minor assault, burglary, graffiti, theft, etc.;
- Incidents related to gang violence, drug violence, or other incidents with a separate criminal nexus;
- Violence from the surrounding community that encroached onto HoW property by happenstance;
- Spontaneous, impulsive acts that were not planned and where the HoW was not specifically targeted.

**Incident Case Studies**

A detailed search produced a total of 37 separate incidents that met the operational definition. Although a truly comprehensive understanding of national trends requires more data, these case studies offer a start and an approximation of how targeted violence against HoWs has evolved over the last decade. More importantly, in-depth study of these case studies yields important insights into the tactics and methods used by the attackers. Properly applied, those insights can help to anticipate vulnerabilities and mitigate threats.

For a full list of incidents, see [APPENDIX 2](#).

**Overview of Incidents**

Overall, CISA found targeted violence against houses of worship to have religious, racial, and personal ideological motivations and to affect HoWs of all sizes and denominations. The incidents reviewed here occurred in 20 states across the Nation and included both urban and rural locations, as indicated in Figure 4 (p. 18–19).

Though not determinative, a timeline of the case studies (Figure 5, p. 18) confirms media accounts depicting an increase in incidents of violence against HoWs over the 10-year period from 2009 to 2019. This timeline reveals that while the number of incidents of this magnitude has not increased each year, there was a notable increase in the number of attacks between 2015 and 2019, indicating that violence targeting houses of worship remains a genuine threat to the American people.
Types of Attacks

CISA examined a range of incidents, including active shootings, stabbings, cyberattacks, arsons, bombings, and vehicle rammings, shown in Figure 3. Over half (54 percent, n=20) of the case studies identified represent an armed assault of some kind, including shootings, edged attacks, and vehicular attacks. Included in this study is one incident of a thwarted active shooter scenario as an important training tool in de-escalation strategies for all HoWs to consider.

The assailants relied on a range of weapons—from guns and knives to explosives or incendiary devices and network exploitation tools—to carry out their attacks. Guns were the most common weapon (n=16), followed by incendiary devices (n=6) and cyberattacks (n=4).

Figures 4 and 5 (p. 18–19) depict the attacks by location and the year each incident occurred.

Arsons and Bombings

CISA identified 13 incidents of arson or bombing. Although each represents a distinct category of attack, arsons and bombings are often tracked together by federal agencies such as the ATF and CISA’s Office for Bombing Prevention (OBP). The analysis of these 13 incidents revealed a mix of devices, including the use of gasoline accelerants (n=4), improvised incendiary devices (IIDs) such as Molotov cocktails (n=6), and improvised explosive devices (IEDs) such as pipe bombs (n=1). One of the attacks included both an IID and IED. In three of the attacks, each of which was an arson, the type of accelerant or flammable material used was not reported. CISA found that 85 percent (n=11) of these attacks were motivated by hatred of a particular religious or racial identity.

These 13 incidents are indicative of a much larger phenomenon. Most arson cases target buildings after normal business hours and are usually intended to inflict property damage. On the other hand, in bombing cases, perpetrators typically intend to harm individuals gathered at a specific location. Historically, both arsons and bombings have long been used to target houses of worship in the United States, and bomb threats often serve as a tool of intimidation. CISA anticipates there may well be additional cases of arsons and bombings that targeted HoWs during this ten-year period but were not included in this analysis.
Figure 4. Attacks by State

Figure 4 identifies the attacks (n=37) by state. The incidents reviewed here occurred in 20 states across the Nation and included both urban and rural locations.

Figure 5. Incident Timeline

Figure 5 illustrates the timeline of the incidents (n=37) across the period of study.
Understanding the Problem
Cyberattacks

CISA reviewed four cyberattacks on HoWs, including two incidents of financial schemes and two incidents of website defacement. Financial damages to the HoWs were $680,000 and $1,750,000 respectively, as well as the worry and damage to reputation that resulted from website defacement. As with most cybercrimes, the attacks had no known perpetrator. Whether the website defacements and financial hacks were ideologically motivated or crimes of opportunity is unclear.

Armed Assaults and Mass Shootings

Of the cases examined, 54 percent (n=20) qualified as an armed assault of some kind, be it with a gun, knife, or vehicle that was deliberately used to harm individuals at a HoW. Mass shootings are included in the armed assault data and represent the incidents with the greatest loss of life. Definitions of mass shooting vary, but typically entail the use of a firearm to kill or injure four or more individuals at the same time and place. Fifteen active shooter events and five mass shootings are included in this report.

The mass shootings identified for this report included several common tactics and methods and informed many of our recommendations. See Figure 6 for a timeline of these incidents.

**MASS SHOOTING EVENTS:**

**In August 2012,** a 40-year-old man armed with a handgun began shooting outside the Sikh Temple of Wisconsin in Oak Creek, Wisconsin and then moved inside and continued to shoot congregation members. Police confronted the shooter as he exited the building. Six people lost their lives, and four people, including one police officer, suffered injuries. The shooter committed suicide after he was shot in the stomach by responding officers.

**In April 2014,** a 73-year-old man armed with two handguns and a shotgun began shooting in the parking lot of the Jewish Community Center of Greater Kansas City in Overland Park, Kansas, killing two. He then drove to the nearby Village Shalom retirement community and opened fire in the parking lot, killing one. No one else was wounded. Law enforcement apprehended the shooter who later received the death sentence.

*Figure 6. Active Shooter Timeline*

*Figure 6 illustrates the timeline for active shooter incidents included in the analysis (n=15 Active Shootings). The significant spike in deaths and injuries in 2017 was due to the mass shooting at Sutherland Springs, Texas, in which 26 people were killed and 20 people injured.*
In June 2015, a 21-year-old man armed with a handgun began shooting during a prayer service at the Emanuel African Methodist Episcopal Church in Charleston, South Carolina, killing nine people. The shooter fled the scene, and law enforcement apprehended him the next day. He received a death sentence.

In November 2017, a 26-year-old man outfitted in full tactical gear and armed with a rifle exited his vehicle and began shooting outside the First Baptist Church in Sutherland Springs, Texas. He entered the building through a side door and continued firing at the members gathered within. Upon leaving, a neighbor wielding a firearm confronted the assailant, leading to a car chase. Twenty-six people lost their lives, and 20 suffered injuries. The shooter committed suicide. It was the deadliest attack on a house of worship in U.S. history.

In October 2018, a 46-year-old man armed with a rifle and three handguns began shooting inside the Tree of Life Synagogue in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Eleven people died, and six suffered injuries, including four law enforcement officers. Police apprehended the shooter at the scene after exchanging gunfire. Prosecutors charged the perpetrator with committing a hate crime; he is awaiting trial.

Attack Outcomes

As a result of these 37 incidents, 64 people lost their lives, 59 people suffered injuries, and 14 incidents resulted in significant property damage. The number of deaths per incident ranges from 0–27 and the number of injured ranges from 0–20. Active shooter incidents produced the highest numbers of casualties relative to all other types of attacks.

The Perpetrators

CISA identified 36 individual perpetrators across the 37 incidents. Lone actors carried out 30 of the attacks, three conspirators perpetrated one incident, two conspirators perpetrated one attack, and the four cyberattacks had no identified perpetrator. The 36 attackers ranged in age from 17 to 73 years old, with an average age of 38 years. One attacker was female; the other 35 were male. Of the 36 attackers, 67 percent (n=24) were white, 22 percent (n=8) were black, 5 percent (n=2) were Asian, and 5 percent (n=2) were not identified by race in coverage of the incident. CISA used the U.S. Census Bureau standards for defining race in this guide.
Media reports indicate that 58 percent (n=21) of the perpetrators engaged in some form of pre-attack planning behavior that indicated their intent to attack, either by telling someone directly, leaving threatening messages with the HoW, purchasing materials necessary for the attack (such as incendiaries), or describing their plans in an online forum. Figure 7 (p. 21) depicts these behaviors.

CISA concluded that 69 percent (n=25) of the perpetrators (n=36) were motivated by hatred of a racial or religious identity associated with the targeted house of worship. The assailants often revealed specific motivations in comments made during or after the attack, and many self-identified as holding hateful beliefs. CISA determined that 22 percent (n=8) of the perpetrators were motivated by a domestic dispute or personal crisis, including several instances of possible mental health crisis or other individual stressors. Each type of motivation, illustrated in Figure 8, tends to produce different sets of pre-planning behaviors and offers different windows for early detection and intervention, as outlined in later chapters.

A history of criminal activity or mental health struggle can sometimes serve as an indicator of future behavior. Of the 36 individual perpetrators included in these case studies, 21 were identified by family members, witnesses, courts, or media accounts as having a criminal history of some kind, and—based on the reporting of the incident—14 of the individuals are believed to have experienced a mental health struggle either some time before or during the incident. See Figure 9 for a breakdown of perpetrators with a criminal history.
Targeted Houses of Worship

Of the 37 incidents, 54 percent (n=20) targeted Christian institutions, 24 percent (n=9) targeted Muslim institutions, 19 percent (n=7) targeted Jewish institutions, and 3 percent (n=1) targeted Sikh institutions, seen in Figure 10. CISA’s analysis found that 65 percent of the attacks (n=25) occurred inside the main building of a HoW; the remaining incidents (n=12) took place at associated facilities such as faith-based community centers, residences, parking lots, or involved HoW computer systems.

During armed assaults (n=20), 40 percent (n=8) of the perpetrators began their attack inside the main building during the worship service. In 45 percent (n=9) of the armed assault attacks, witnesses or members of the congregation attempted to intervene with the perpetrator prior to the arrival of law enforcement.

In 22 percent (n=8) of the total incidents, the perpetrator had some prior association with the HoW, as indicated in Figure 11. In the remaining 78 percent of incidents (n=29), there was no prior association, suggesting the need for a robust and clearly defined greeting protocol as described in Chapter 4.

**Figure 10. Denomination**

*Figure 10 shows the breakdown in the denominations of the targeted houses of worship.*

**Figure 11. Associations to Facility**

*Figure 11 shows a breakdown of the number of incidents in which the perpetrator had some prior association with the HoW subject to attack.*
Perpetrator Tactics and Methods

As a part of this analysis, CISA examined the tactics and methods used by perpetrators to carry out the attacks. Several of the tactics and methods identified provide insight into efforts a HoW could take to prevent or mitigate potential incidents. These range from specific vulnerabilities exploited by the perpetrator to individual behavioral trends important for a HoW to consider when fostering community engagement.

The following section provides a brief description of several incidents, with a focus on the distinguishing characteristics that houses of worship might consider while revising their security procedures.

PRIOR ASSOCIATION

22% In 22 percent (n=8) of the cases, the perpetrator had some prior association with the HoW.

INCIDENT OVERVIEW

In 2012, a man sought out his ex-wife at their former church. He entered the building during services and fatally shot her as she was playing the organ. The shooter exited the church and returned a few minutes later to fire two additional shots at the victim before he was subdued by witnesses.

BEHAVIORAL INDICATORS

57% In 57 percent (n=21) of the cases, the perpetrator engaged in some form of planning behavior that revealed their intention to attack.

19% In 19 percent (n=7) of the cases, the perpetrator posted about their plans in on-line forums associated with white supremacy.

ARSONS & BOMBINGS

FIRE-BOMBINGS OCCURRED WHILE CONGREGANTS WERE PRESENT IN THE BUILDING

8% In 8 percent (n=3) of the cases, there was an arson attack while people were present in the building.

INCIDENT OVERVIEW

Over a ten-night span in April 2019, an individual burned down three historically black Baptist churches. The lone actor posted pictures and videos of the crimes to social media in real-time. Emboldened by online reaction to the first two attacks, the perpetrator conducted a third arson, and was arrested after investigators linked evidence of the crimes to cell phone data and recent purchases of gasoline and other flammable materials. The perpetrator pleaded guilty to multiple hate crime and arson charges.

INCIDENT OVERVIEW

A 2017 incident took place when assailants broke an exterior window of a mosque and threw a pipe bomb and a mixture of accelerants into the building. At the time of the attack, congegants were in the building for morning prayers; however, the office in which the bomb was thrown was unoccupied and no fatalities or injuries occurred.
ARSON CASES OCCURRING AT NIGHT AFTER SERVICES

24% In 24 percent (n=9) of the cases, an arson attack took place overnight or outside of business hours.

INCIDENT OVERVIEW
In 2017, an assailant broke into a church overnight and spent several hours destroying property, windows, and furniture before setting fires throughout the building. Police were called to investigate a burglary in progress and arrived to find the fire. The fire was quickly extinguished, but the church was badly damaged.

INCIDENT OVERVIEW
An incident in 2014 occurred entirely in the parking lots of two different locations. The attacker first drove to a Jewish community center and began shooting in the parking lot, killing two people. Staff within the facility initiated immediate lockdown procedures, securing exterior doors and ushering visitors to interior rooms. Confronted by an off-duty policeman working security, the attacker drove to a nearby retirement community, where he shot another individual in the parking lot before he was apprehended by law enforcement.

INCIDENT OVERVIEW
During an incident in 2017, the shooter parked outside of a church and waited for services to end. The attacker shot a woman walking to her car before entering the main doors of the house of worship and shooting an additional six people inside the sanctuary. After the incident, renovations included adjustments to the layout to allow congregants to view the main entrance during services.

ATTACK TOOK PLACE OUTSIDE OF FORMAL SERVICE

14% In 14 percent (n=5) of the cases, the attack took place outside of the primary worship service.

INCIDENT OVERVIEW
In December 2019, a large group gathered in the home of a New York rabbi to celebrate the end of Hanukkah when a mentally disturbed man entered the home and attacked the gathering with a machete. The congregants fought back, and several people were seriously injured in the ensuing melee; one man later died from his injuries. The attacker fled and attempted to enter the synagogue next door but found the doors locked by people who had heard the commotion. The attacker fled and was later apprehended by police.
**INCIDENT OVERVIEW**

In September 2017, a man armed with two handguns approached a church as services were concluding. Purportedly seeking revenge for the 2015 Charleston church shooting, the man shot and killed one woman in the parking lot. He then entered the building through a rear door and shot and wounded another six people. An usher confronted the gunman, and the gunman accidentally shot himself in the struggle. The usher was able to subdue the wounded shooter until police arrived.

**ASSAILANTS TARGETED HoWS DURING PERIODS OF INCREASED ATTENDANCE (E.G., HOLIDAY SERVICES)**

In 22 percent (n=8) of the cases, the attack took place on or around a religious holiday.

**INCIDENT OVERVIEW**

In 2019, a gunman entered a house of worship on a major religious holiday armed with tactical gear, an assault rifle, and at least 50 rounds of ammunition. The assailant shot and killed one person and injured three before the rifle jammed and he fled.

**INCIDENT OVERVIEW**

In 2019, a phishing campaign targeted a house of worship by spoofing a vendor’s email and redirecting the HoW’s monthly payments to a fraudulent account. The attack resulted in a significant financial loss and was only discovered when the “real” company called to ask about late payments.

**FINANCIAL EXPLOITATION**

In 5 percent (n=2) of the cases, the attack involved a financial exploitation.

**INCIDENT OVERVIEW**

In a 2019 incident, the shooter sat through part of the worship service before standing with a shotgun and fatally shooting a person nearby. The assailant wore an obvious disguise and his suspicious behavior drew the attention of the HoW volunteer security team, who responded immediately and subdued the attacker.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tactic or Method</th>
<th>% of Incidents</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
<th>Description of Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BEHAVIORAL INDICATORS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perpetrator engaged in planning behavior indicating their intention to attack</td>
<td>57% (n=21)</td>
<td>Suspicious Activity Training, Chapter 4</td>
<td>Over half of the perpetrators revealed their intention to attack through action or word.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRIOR ASSOCIATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perpetrator had some prior association with the HoW</td>
<td>22% (n=8)</td>
<td>Greeter Training, Wellness Programs, Chapter 4</td>
<td>A substantial number of attackers were known to members of the HoW community, but the majority had no previous association.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARMED ASSAULT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attack took place outside</td>
<td>11% (n=4)</td>
<td>Access Control, Chapter 5</td>
<td>The assaults, which included both active shooter incidents and vehicular attacks, took place entirely in the parking lot or exterior of the HoW building.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shooter sat in the service before attack</td>
<td>8% (n=3)</td>
<td>Greeter Training, Chapter 4</td>
<td>In each case the assailant sat through part of the service before attacking congregants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shooter moved from outer to inner perimeter</td>
<td>8% (n=3)</td>
<td>Active Shooter Training &amp; Access Control, Chapter 4, 5</td>
<td>In each case the assailant began shooting in the parking lot and continued the assault while moving into the interior of the main sanctuary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shooter began attack inside the main building during the worship service</td>
<td>19% (n=7)</td>
<td>Active Shooter Training, Chapter 4</td>
<td>The assailants entered the main building with the sole purpose of harming congregants, either indiscriminately or because their individual target was known to be there.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assailant attacked during periods when larger than normal attendance was expected (e.g., holiday services)</td>
<td>22% (n=8)</td>
<td>Increasing Security During Busy Events, Chapter 4</td>
<td>Perpetrators planned these attacks around a high volume of congregants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attack took place during non-worship activities (e.g., partner groups, community theater)</td>
<td>14% (n=5)</td>
<td>Chapter 4</td>
<td>Assailant chose to attack congregants during small group gatherings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARSONS &amp; BOMBINGS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombing occurred while congregants were present in the building</td>
<td>8% (n=3)</td>
<td>Suspicious Activity Training, Chapter 4</td>
<td>Perpetrators intended to harm as many of the congregants as possible by attacking during worship services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arson cases that occurred at night after services</td>
<td>24% (n=9)</td>
<td>Exterior Lighting, Visible CCTV, Chapter 5</td>
<td>Most of the arson cases occurred after business hours and often resulted in substantial property damage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CYBER ATTACK</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Schemes (e.g., Ransomware, Phishing)</td>
<td>5% (n=2)</td>
<td>Cyber Resilience, Chapter 7</td>
<td>Financial schemes resulted in almost $2.5 million in losses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website Defacement</td>
<td>5% (n=2)</td>
<td>Cyber Resilience, Chapter 7</td>
<td>In both instances, perpetrators defaced websites to show support for foreign terrorist groups.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Security in Practice

In the following chapters, CISA highlights general best practices and examples from the case studies where HoWs had the tools and procedures in place to respond effectively as the attacks unfolded. A few facilities had designated security directors and established formal training programs; another had a volunteer security team that conducted regular emergency response drills and was credited with protecting fellow congregants during the incident. Some facilities initiated lockdown procedures after the attacks began. In several cases, active shooter training saved lives because leaders and congregants knew how to respond and helped others escape or hide. Look for the ‘Security in Practice’ call out boxes with examples of lessons learned and best practices.

Based on the identified tactics and methods, CISA’s recommendations for HoWs contain many tangible guidelines for developing a layered security strategy, conducting vulnerability assessments, developing an organizational safety culture, enhancing physical security, strengthening cybersecurity readiness, and developing guidance for daycare and school safety where applicable.

Summary

The case studies examined here provide a snapshot of targeted violence against HoWs that have occurred in the United States over a ten-year period. Though statistically rare, each was a moment of profound trauma for both the victims and society at large. However traumatic, each event also presents an opportunity to learn—about the forces that shape American society, the motivation of the attackers, and, most importantly, about the steps that houses of worship can take to better protect life and property.
Understanding the Problem
Introduction

Experts consistently stress the need for houses of worship (HoWs) to take a layered and holistic approach to security.1 That task might seem like a daunting—and potentially expensive—prospect for communities that lack special expertise. However, developing a comprehensive security strategy is relatively simple with the right frame of reference, and the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Agency (CISA) is here to help.

In this chapter, CISA provides a framework for thinking about the security of your HoW and to begin planning for the future.

Security planning is a complex balancing act between cost, culture, and need. The most reliable way to resolve those competing demands and make sound, cost-effective decisions is to develop a plan that rests on a strong understanding of security planning basics and the unique challenges that exist at every house of worship.

What is a Holistic Approach to Security and How Do You Get There?

Security professionals sometimes talk about the concept of enterprise security, a term most commonly used in the cyber domain. In practice, it simply means taking a comprehensive approach to the security needs of an entire organization.

Another way to think about this idea is to consider the security of your HoW as a holistic endeavor that relies on the sum of its parts and encompasses all the different aspects of your buildings, community, and activities. Each of those aspects and activities of your organization needs some measure of protection. At the same time, it is also important to be aware of the various threats, risks, and vulnerabilities that might be present at your HoW.

---

In practice, moving toward a solution and developing a holistic security strategy means considering (or perhaps revisiting) the range of measures necessary to keep your house of worship safe, including physical security, cybersecurity, community awareness, event planning, incident management, emergency preparedness, policy development, training, and human resources.

The rest of this chapter outlines the key concepts, considerations, and distinct steps that will help you to develop a robust, inclusive, and multilayered approach to security.

Key Concepts, Terms, and Questions

The array of measures that might be necessary to keep your congregation safe can appear overwhelming. Start by asking a series of basic questions that will help to clarify your current security posture and any changes that might be needed:

- What are your threats and vulnerabilities?
- What is the likelihood of any given threat to occur?
- What are the consequences if those threats occur?
- What is your community’s tolerance for the associated consequences?
- What is your community’s attitude toward security practices?
- What personnel resources do you have to direct, manage, and oversee security operations?
- What is your budget to support security initiatives, both immediate and long-term?

Questions such as these inform any kind of enterprise security project. Houses of worship also face additional special considerations due to the unique nature of the threat environment and the general preference for maintaining an open, peaceful, and welcoming atmosphere.

As you set out on this process, it’s important to consider some of the following dynamics to inform your overall strategy and approach:
THE UNIQUE NATURE OF TARGETED VIOLENCE AGAINST HoWs. As sites of religious practice, houses of worship have major symbolic importance within their community and, as such, can draw hostile attention from would-be perpetrators. CISA’s analysis strongly indicates that ideology or personal crisis motivates most incidents of targeted violence against HoWs, some of which may be subject to early detection and intervention.

AWARENESS OF THREATS. Most houses of worship are generally attuned to the rhythms and attitudes of the communities they serve and are a critical part of the social fabric. Embracing that role can be a major asset in improving security by improving awareness of social tensions or personal crises that might herald a violent incident.

THE ABILITY TO INTERVENE AGAINST SUSPECTED THREATS. While community engagement is the best way to improve awareness, formal partnerships—with other houses of worship (including those of different faiths), community groups, law enforcement, and social service providers—are often necessary to act against a potential threat. You should evaluate the kind of formal partnerships your HoW maintains as part of this process.

THE BALANCE BETWEEN CONVENIENCE, OPENNESS, AND SECURITY. No house of worship wants to be a fortress. You will have to decide for yourself—in collaboration with your community and in accordance with your values—how to strike the balance between creating a secure environment and an open one. The choice, however, is not absolute, and the framework offered in this guide is intended to help you strike the balance that is right for your house of worship.

These are complex issues that require internal deliberation, philosophical discussion, cost-benefit analyses, and ultimately, consensus building among key stakeholders within every house of worship. The bottom line is that an effective security program is never one dimensional and best achieved through a constant process of discussion and (re)evaluation.

A good place to begin thinking about these special considerations is with CISA’s HOUSES OF WORSHIP: HOMETOWN SECURITY REPORT SERIES (May 2017), which offers specific guidance on how religious communities can Connect, Plan, Train, and Report to improve safety. CISA continues to develop a SUITE OF SECURITY RESOURCES for faith-based organizations (FBOs) and HoWs.
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Security Framework for Houses of Worship

**Establish Roles and Responsibilities**

- **Security Coordinator**
  Responsible for implementing the security strategy.

- **Security Planning Team**
  Supports the Security Coordinator with planning and executing the security strategy. Security experience preferred but not required.

- **Safety Team**
  Includes greeters and volunteers as the first line of defense in identifying and reporting suspicious activity.

**Developing Your Security Strategy**

**Chapter 2**
**Develop Security Plans**
The planning process will prepare for specific incidents and should be updated regularly. Some key plans to include are:
- Emergency Action Plan
- Active Shooter Plan
- Business Continuity Plan
- Incident Management Plan
- Short-Term Recovery Plan
- Long-Term Recovery Plan

**Chapter 3**
**Conduct Vulnerability Assessment**
The vulnerability assessment is the first step to understand your security needs. This will identify vulnerabilities and risks to help prioritize security steps. This should be conducted by a security professional to examine all physical and processes critical to security.

**Implementing Your Security Strategy**

- **Chapter 4**
  **Cybersecurity**

- **Chapter 5**
  **Daycare and School Safety Considerations**

- **Chapter 6**
  **Protecting Your Facilities**

- **Chapter 7**
  **Building Community Readiness and Resilience**

**Prioritize Actions Based on Vulnerability Assessment and Security Plan**

**Planning and Assessment Go Hand-in-Hand**

**Review and Update Regularly As Needs Change**
Framework for Developing a Holistic Security Strategy

CISA recommends several important steps throughout the rest of the guide for a house of worship to achieve a holistic security strategy. This process starts by establishing clear roles and responsibilities for implementing security procedures and requires regular evaluation.

Getting Started: Establishing Roles and Responsibilities

Establishing clear roles, responsibilities, and expectations is critical for success. The first step in developing a holistic security strategy is to determine who will oversee the program. Although specific duties and titles may differ according to the unique circumstances of every HoW, this person—the Security Coordinator—is typically the primary decision maker for security related questions and charged with overseeing the day-to-day details of the security program. Ideally, this will be a full- or part-time staff member or engaged volunteer with relevant professional experience.

CISA recommends forming a Security Planning Team to support the Security Coordinator by conducting research, evaluating needs, providing recommendations, and assisting with plan development. This group should be representative of the HoW and include clergy, staff, and members of the congregation. The Security Planning Team can serve a variety of purposes and should help carry the burden of planning and implementation.

When identifying candidates for these positions, consider surveying your staff and members to identify in-house professionals whose experience could inform the planning process. For example, if the community has security, law enforcement, mental health, emergency preparedness, or incident management professionals, their knowledge and expertise can bolster your efforts and help build formal partnerships. Other valuable skill sets include policy development, strategic planning, finance and accounting, and training. One of the challenges is to design a process that encourages critical thinking and innovation while delegating authority to avoid overburdening volunteers.

In addition, CISA encourages HoWs to account for the safety and security considerations for the wider range of people affiliated with the HoW, such as congregants, volunteers, greeters, ushers, and maintenance staff, etc. This group can constitute a larger Safety Team to assist in carrying out the safety and security program. While most of the decision making would fall to the Security Coordinator and Security Planning Team, the Safety Team is instrumental in creating a wider culture of security and ensuring that the entire HoW community is involved in the general conversation around safety. This could include everything from how greeters look to identify suspicious activity, to identifying who is responsible for locking doors when there are no activities occurring.
The Planning Process

An effective security strategy takes time to develop and implement, and planning is one of the most important parts of the process. The goal is to develop a long-term comprehensive strategy, so it is more important to move through each step in a thoughtful and deliberate manner than it is to move quickly.

There are two main activities that go into the planning stage and they go hand-in-hand. The primary goal at the start of this process is to identify your vulnerabilities and begin developing a plan to address them.

The needs of every house of worship are different.

The vulnerability assessment and planning process are distinct tasks but are closely linked. Each informs the other and, in many respects, the process never ends because a key feature of a responsive security strategy is to reevaluate your needs and adjust your plans on a regular basis.

As you move forward in the larger planning process and begin implementing your security strategy, you may also want to consider developing a number of related plans for specific kinds of situations and incidents. For more information on advanced planning, see Chapter 4.

Components of a Holistic Security Strategy: How to Secure Your House of Worship

The planning process is part of a long-term cycle and strategy, and the vulnerability assessment is likely to reveal a (potentially long) list of needs and wants. Some of those can be addressed immediately, but others will take time. All of your plans will require some level of organization and prioritization. This guide is intended to help you make those necessary judgments.

Each of the remaining chapters of this guide discusses a different key component of a holistic security strategy and highlights federal resources wherever possible, all with an overall emphasis on developing a thoughtful, inclusive, and multi-layered approach to security planning.

CHAPTER 3 provides further details and guidance on how to conduct a comprehensive Vulnerability Assessment, which will help you to understand the ways in which your HoW might be exposed to risk.
CHAPTER 4 describes how *Building Community Readiness and Resilience* can offer protection by educating your community, building partnerships, and making changes to the general practices and behaviors within your house of worship.

CHAPTER 5 offers a framework for *Protecting Your Facilities* and encourages HoWs to think about how physical security can be improved by making changes along the outer, middle, and inner perimeters of the property, grounds, and buildings.

CHAPTER 6 outlines the special care that should go into *Daycare and School Safety Considerations* wherever applicable.

CHAPTER 7 offers a primer on *Cybersecurity* for houses of worship. This is often an overlooked vulnerability but one that can be addressed and mitigated by developing a culture of cyber hygiene and applying a number of readily available free resources.

Finally, APPENDIX 1 presents a *Resource Guide* with a comprehensive list of products that can be used to improve the overall safety and security of your house of worship.

**Summary: Achieving a Holistic Security Strategy**

Security planning is a complicated endeavor and the needs of every house of worship are different. CISA’s purpose is not to make this guide a single source, all-inclusive manual, but rather to provide a comprehensive framework for developing a sound and holistic security strategy. Although the chances of your house of worship suffering an attack are small, the preparations described here can save lives and apply to a range of emergency scenarios should an incident ever come to pass.
Introduction

Performing a comprehensive vulnerability assessment is a critical step in the development of a robust security program, and the process is just as important as the findings. The assessment described here identifies existing safety features and practices, determines current threats and vulnerabilities, and highlights areas for improvement.

The assessment should consider the threat landscape that is unique to every house of worship (HoW) and weigh the possibility of scenarios involving active shooters, vehicle ramming, improvised explosive devices (IED) or vehicle-borne IEDs (VBIED), arson, edged weapons, and cyberattacks, to name just a few. HoWs with onsite school or daycare facilities should be aware of unique challenges associated with educational institutions and see Chapter 6 for specific guidance for safeguarding these types of facilities.

The Vulnerability Assessment Model provided in this chapter and the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency’s (CISA) HoW SECURITY SELF-ASSESSMENT tool support a systematic approach to this process. Organizations of all types and sizes can leverage these and other available tools and resources to customize an assessment process, develop a robust security strategy, and guide the allocation of personnel and financial resources to implement that strategy. Evaluating the assessment results on a recurring basis will help address evolving threats and ensure security measures are responsive to the current threat environment.

Assign Roles and Responsibilities

Conducting a vulnerability assessment begins with deciding who will lead the process. An organization’s size, location, and available resources are all major considerations that can shape a vulnerability assessment and should factor into decisions about who assumes this role.

Ideally, the Security Coordinator will lead this process with support from the Security Planning Team. Shared decision-making responsibilities will help ensure the results represent a consensus view and that any changes resulting from the assessment will have the support of the HoW community.

If the security challenges seem relatively straightforward—such as for a small, rural HoW—the vulnerability assessment can likely be performed in-house.
CISA PROTECTIVE SECURITY ADVISORS (PSAs)

PSAs are subject matter experts specially trained in vulnerability mitigation and critical infrastructure protection. PSAs facilitate local CISA field activities in coordination with other Department of Homeland Security (DHS) offices. They also advise and assist state, local, and private sector officials, as well as critical infrastructure facility owners and operators. PSAs frequently conduct vulnerability assessments for houses of worship and schools.

For additional details on PSAs, visit HTTPS://WWW.CISA.GOV/PROTECTIVE-SECURITY-ADVISORS or contact CENTRAL@CISA.DHS.GOV.

Assessments involving more complex security environments—such as at a megachurch, a dense urban area, or a HoW that is particularly prominent—might consider reaching out to a CISA PSA to help design a tailored process that can be carried out by a team of volunteers.

Determine the Scope of Your Vulnerability Assessment

Tailor the vulnerability assessment to your organization’s specific interests and needs. To determine the scope and complexity of an assessment, consider some of the following questions:

• Why are you conducting this assessment now?

• Have you previously conducted any similar assessments? If so, how did you use the findings and recommendations?

• Have you already identified specific threats or vulnerabilities? Has your organization experienced threats or incidents of violence in the past?

• How does the location and size of your HoW affect your security concerns?

• Is your local community facing safety and security concerns that could impact your HoW community?

• Do you have a budget for security measures? If not, will there be budget planning opportunities for security in the future?

The answers to these questions will help define the scope of your assessment and develop a process that accounts for all aspects of your organization’s security posture. Ideally, this will lead to clear evidence-based decision-making about priorities, wants versus needs, short- and long-term goals, budget considerations, and feasibility. In many cases, this process will result in action items that are relatively easy to implement. Other findings may be more complex and require engaging with outside resources, such as CISA PSAs.
A Vulnerability Assessment Model

A systematic approach is essential to producing a high-quality assessment. This vulnerability assessment model examines an organization’s functional areas to generate findings that can be evaluated in the context of feasibility, complexity, expected benefits, cost, and resource availability.

To enhance this process, CISA has developed a **House of Worship Security Self-Assessment** tool with a series of questions designed to uncover vulnerabilities and areas for improvement. This tool can also serve as a template that can be tailored to align with specific organizational needs. Alternatively, a CISA PSA or other consultant can provide additional guidance for conducting a self-assessment.

This type of assessment typically involves collecting data and information through interviews with key personnel and stakeholders, performing on-site inspections and observations, reviewing records and materials such as existing security and training plans, and examining public records such as local crime statistics.

The most important aspect of an assessment is to document your process and findings so that the process itself can be replicated and the data can be used to develop a security strategy.

---

**THE “ENTERPRISE” REFERS TO AN EVALUATION OF YOUR COLLECTIVE ASSETS:**

- Facilities and property
- Personnel, staff, volunteers, and contractors
- Community of congregants and community relationships
- Valuables, artwork, collectibles, sacred artifacts
- Branding, reputation, credibility

---

**CONDUCT “AS IS” REVIEW OF...**

- Security policies, procedures, protocols, operations
- Security personnel and services
- Organizational culture/security awareness
- Physical security
- Security technology
- Emergency preparedness

**COMPREHENSIVE THREAT ANALYSIS**

*What are the sources of threats you face and what is the likelihood or probability of threats from:*

- Terrorism/Ideology-based
- Environmental (i.e., regional, natural disaster, demographic, etc.)
- Insider threat
- Targeted violence

**IDENTIFY THE COSTS AND CONSEQUENCES OF RISK...**

- Monetary
- Psychological
- Social
- Operational
- Branding/Reputation

**DETERMINE RISK SOLUTIONS AND PRIORITIZE MITIGATION**
Key Considerations for Leveraging the Vulnerability Assessment Model

Organizational Assets

IDENTIFY FACILITIES AND PROPERTY

Identify and describe your facilities:

- Identify each of the buildings on your property, such as the main HoW building, chapel, rectory, school, playground, community center, and parking.
- Describe the number, physical design, and construction of buildings, including year and type of construction, and geographic footprint.
- Define the type and number of services held, as well as the schedule and number of congregants that might use each building at any given time. Identify administrative office hours (days and times). List any distinguishing features that might help identify the HoW property.

Define your property in terms of outer, middle, and inner perimeters.

- Outer perimeter generally includes the parking facility and lots, exterior common grounds, walkways, playgrounds, and the physical façade of the buildings.
- Middle perimeter is a fluid area that generally refers to anything that is "on campus" but outside of the main buildings and includes exterior features such as walkways, doors, and walls.
- Inner perimeter is any interior space, such as the vestibule, worship area(s), administrative offices, community room, auditorium, and classrooms.
- Create a list of all outer, middle, and inner perimeter elements.

IDENTIFY ASSETS AND VALUES

Identify any valuables that require protection and potential cost of replacement:

- Determine asset values, costs to protect assets (mitigate risk), costs to replace assets, and costs linked to the organization's reputation and existence if assets are lost.
- Identify valuables, such as artwork and sacred artifacts.
- Assign a cost for valuables, which can be evaluated as simply “high,” “moderate,” or “low.”
- Make informed decisions about investing in protecting or mitigating risk to each asset.

Refer to Chapter 5 for guidance on physical security and associated resources.
Conduct As-Is Review

REVIEW ADMINISTRATIVE PRACTICES AND SECURITY-RELATED PROTOCOLS

Examine day-to-day operations and relevant administrative procedures:

• **What are your practices around visitor access?**
  - Do you maintain regular business hours?
  - Are any spaces regularly kept locked or open?
  - Is there a protocol for greeting and screening visitors during worship? Is there a protocol for greeting and screening visitors during non-worship hours?
  - Are existing protocols consistently enforced and reviewed on a recurring basis?

• **Do you have emergency action or security plans in place? Do they cover a variety of scenarios, such as for active shooter, emergency preparedness, emergency evacuation, threat assessment, and school security scenarios?**

• **Have you documented all administrative processes, procedures, policies, directives, and operational manuals? Are these policies reexamined and refreshed on a routine basis?**

• **Who oversees financial operations, including offerings and collections? Do you use accounting software? Is there a system for conducting audits and oversight?**

EXAMINE HUMAN RESOURCE PRACTICES

Examine your human resource practices:

• **Does your organization use contract security personnel, either armed or unarmed, to support HoW activities and events? If so, what is their role and does their presence align with current security concerns in the community? Do the security personnel meet all state and local licensing, training, and insurance requirements?**

• **Do you have formalized relationships and partnerships with local law enforcement and/or first responders who have authority in your jurisdiction? Do you meet with them regularly to exchange information and collaborate around security and risk mitigation priorities?**
• What pre-employment screening protocols do you follow? Are employees and volunteers subject to background investigations, especially those who occupy sensitive positions, such as interacting with children, money, computer systems, or confidential information?

• Do current pre-employment screening processes meet standards of practice for comparable positions of responsibility? For more information, refer to the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission guidance on BACKGROUND CHECKS.

KNOW YOUR PEOPLE AND YOUR ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE

Consider your community’s attitude toward security procedures:

• Is your membership generally aware of security best practices, such as “If You See Something, Say Something®” to observe and report suspicious activity?

• Do organization leaders share regular and consistent messaging around security and safety, or is this a topic that has not yet been proactively addressed?

• Have HoW personnel and/or members participated in formalized training for emergency evacuations, active shooter incidents, or other major events?

• Do you have an established process for sharing concerns about suspicious or concerning activities?

• Do HoW members and the surrounding community support a security strategy that includes potential security enhancements?

• What threats or vulnerabilities are members concerned about?

• How do organizational values and initiatives, such as supporting vulnerable populations and providing food, shelter, and social support in the community, align with perspectives on security measures?

Comprehensive Threat Analysis

ASSESS THE THREAT ENVIRONMENT

Establish a baseline awareness of the threat environment:

• Consider such factors as your organization’s public profile and visibility in the community and region.

• For example, understand whether ideological, social, or political opinions or beliefs linked to the organization and/or HoW leaders could incur a high level of attention and risk.
• Analyze a wide range of threats (for example, terrorism or ideology-based threats) relative to probability of occurrence based on location, membership, history of violence, and prominence.
  › Not all targeted violence is ideologically driven. Some active shooter incidents have been linked to domestic violence, workplace disputes, and mental health crises.
  › Consider how location and proximity might influence your threat environment. For example, degree of risk may increase if a HoW is located next to an organization that is regularly the focus of public attention or targeted for violence or vandalism.

UNDERSTANDING THE FULL SCOPE OF RISK STARTS WITH:

• Identifying/listing each type of threat or risk.
  • Rating and ranking probability of occurrence and impact (e.g., low probability/high impact).

Identify Risk-Related Costs and Consequences

UNDERSTAND RISK-RELATED COSTS AND CONSEQUENCES

Conduct a risk analysis that clearly identifies consequences associated with identified risks, which can include:

• Tangible losses, such as money, property, and valuables;
• Social, emotional, interpersonal, and psychological damages that may disrupt HoW operations and business continuity; or
• Impact to a HoW’s brand, credibility, or reputation among stakeholders and throughout the community.

DETERMINE RISK TOLERANCE

Discuss your community’s tolerance for risk:

• Engage in candid discussions about tolerance for each identified risk. Perspectives related to risk factors, risk tolerance, and risk mitigation can evolve over time; therefore the process for assessing risk and determining risk tolerance should be flexible.
Determine Risk Solutions and Prioritize Mitigation

ESTIMATE THE LIKELIHOOD OF A RISK TO OCCUR

Consider a range of possible scenarios and outcomes:

• For each risk, estimate the probability of the threat to occur and weigh it against the potential cost and impact associated with that risk.
  
  › More complicated risk methodologies can be used. This rating will help prioritize your mitigation strategies and inform security planning.
  
  › Risks with a high probability of occurrence and associated costs should be ranked as high priority in the overall security strategy.

• Mitigation solutions can be correlated to risks as:
  
  › High need to mitigate
  
  › Moderate need to mitigate
  
  › Low need to mitigate

Summary

This chapter provides a framework for designing and conducting a comprehensive vulnerability assessment. Houses of worship can customize these tools and recommendations to assess organizational assets and associated values, identify a threat environment, analyze risk and mitigation solutions, and understand the consequences associated with identified threats. Ultimately, the breadth and depth of a vulnerability assessment is based on resources, feasibility, and the urgency with which you need to address your security concerns. Assessment results should guide discussions about prioritizing specific actions that will shape the organization’s security strategy, including how that strategy can be implemented.
Building Community Readiness and Resilience

Introduction

People are the most important asset for your house of worship (HoW) to protect—and your best protection against potential threats. This chapter focuses on the people that comprise your HoW community and the relatively simple changes to the way your HoW operates, internally and within the wider community, that can improve your overall security posture.

Human behavior, interpersonal relationships, and community values all play a significant role in security. With the right tools, people can be the first line of defense in identifying suspicious behavior and activities.

This chapter outlines a number of policies and programs that can be implemented with minimal capital investment. Below you will find sections covering internal programs that individual HoWs can implement on an independent basis, specialized policies to consider as you build your overall security program, and ways to connect with the wider community to foster overall awareness, readiness, and resilience.

In the end, building a culture of safety and responsibility is one of the best ways for houses of worship to prepare and respond to any potential acts of targeted violence.

Best Practices for Your HoW Community

This section focuses on general programs that houses of worship can implement on an individual basis to improve their security posture. HoWs touch many lives and everyone—from clergy, staff, and volunteers, to congregants and visitors—has a role to play, shown in Figure 12.

The overall objective is to create an environment in which your leaders and members are alert to potential threats or problems, aware of the proper channels for reporting, and know what to do in
an emergency. Routine trainings and drills are often the best way to reinforce those lessons—and have saved lives. The following programs and initiatives will help prepare your community for a range of scenarios and is intended for the house of worship as a whole.

Building a Culture of Safety

Houses of worship can improve their security by maintaining an organizational culture based around a shared system of values and goals for safety. An organization’s leadership can guide members to embrace these shared values by:

- **Aligning security goals with the organization’s core values** and providing consistent messaging about safety and security protocols as a shared community value;
- **Establishing community expectations** related to safety and security and actively facilitating communication, transparency, and responsiveness;
- **Implementing a clear information sharing process** that empowers community members to report incidents and/or concerning behavior, while providing timely feedback after assessing a report and ensuring that confidentiality is maintained;
- **Providing training**, either internally or by leveraging outside sources, such as Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Agency (CISA) Protective Security Advisors (PSAs) and CISA online resources, and offering ongoing learning opportunities on a regular basis;
- **Documenting all security protocols** in written policies and guidelines and ensuring they are shared with the community early and often.

Awareness and Early Identification

To meet or alleviate a threat, you must be aware of it. Engaging community members in early identification and reporting is critical. Houses of worship can consider a range of activities and leverage numerous Department of Homeland Security (DHS) resources to empower people with the necessary tools to detect, deter, and mitigate threats:

- **Share the CISA Pathway to Violence VIDEO and FACT SHEET with your staff and congregation.** DHS has published several resources on understanding the warning signs for an individual who may be on a path to violence.
• Familiarize staff with various types of risk factors and indicators that could indicate potential violent behavior.

• Implement training programs to increase awareness about early warning signs in communications or behavior.

• Familiarize yourself with the suspicious activity reporting (SAR) indicators and examples.

• Be aware of the conversations within your community, especially when it comes to online activity. Identifying and reporting suspicious activities to the appropriate authorities is crucial for vetting threats for credibility and taking proper mitigation actions.

If You See Something, Say Something®

Promoting awareness and early identification is one of the most important ways to disrupt a potential threat. The “IF YOU SEE SOMETHING, SAY SOMETHING®” campaign, shown in Figure 13, can help inform your members on how to be alert for suspicious activity and report it through the appropriate channels.

DHS offers a range of products to educate citizens, including a “RECOGNIZE THE SIGNS” infographic and printable pocket card and an “IF YOU SEE SOMETHING, SAY SOMETHING® PUBLIC AWARENESS VIDEO.”

Visitors to the “If You See Something, Say Something®” website can also watch a series of videos to “TAKE THE CHALLENGE” and test their powers of observation by spotting suspicious activity.

Figure 13. The "5Ws" of If You See Something, Say Something®

The "5Ws”—who, what, when, where, and why—represent important information to report when contacting local law enforcement or a person of authority.

Image source: https://www.dhs.gov/see-something-say-something
Power of Hello

CISA recommends that houses of worship implement a robust greeter program as a key component of their overall security strategy, centered around the “POWER OF HELLO.”

Used effectively, the right words can be a powerful tool. Simply saying “Hello” can prompt a casual conversation with unknown individuals and help you determine why they are visiting your HoW and whether they present a threat. The OHNO approach—OBSERVE, INITIATE A HELLO, NAVIGATE THE RISK, AND OBTAIN HELP—helps congregants observe and evaluate suspicious activity and obtain help when necessary:

**OBSERVE:** Identify suspicious behavior, such as taking pictures/videos of facilities or security features, using abusive language that a reasonable person might find threatening, or loitering at a location without a reasonable explanation.

**INITIATE A HELLO:** Engage with individuals you observe in your space. Acknowledging a potential threat can act as a deterrent and mitigate risk.

**NAVIGATE THE RISK:** Ask yourself if the behavior you observe is threatening or suspicious. Is the individual acting in a way that suggests they have a legitimate reason to be there or in a manner that would arouse suspicion in a reasonable person?

**OBTAIN HELP:** If you believe the individual presents a real threat, do not intervene; obtain help from management or law enforcement. Report your concerns through the appropriate channels at your HoW and always call 9-1-1 for emergencies.

All members of the community have the power to initiate conversations, and to recognize and report suspicious behavior. Sometimes all it takes is a simple “Hello.”

### PRACTICING THE POWER OF HELLO

Smile, make eye contact, and introduce yourself before asking any of the following:

- “Hello, how are you?”
- “May I help you with anything today?”
- “How can I assist you?”
- “Welcome, is this your first time here?”
- “Are you looking for something or someone in particular?”
- “Let me take you to the person or place you are looking for.”
- “I will be here in case you need help.”

---

**SECURITY IN PRACTICE**

**Mitigating Attacks on Houses of Worship Security Guide**

---
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Run, Hide, Fight

Sometimes early detection isn’t enough to prevent an incident; houses of worship should educate their members on how to respond in the event of an attack. Active assailant situations are unpredictable and evolve quickly. Some active assailant attacks are over before law enforcement arrives on the scene, so individuals must be prepared both mentally and physically to respond to the situation.

In the event of an armed assailant, such as active shooter, CISA encourages citizens to **RUN, HIDE, FIGHT**.

Run, Hide, Fight involves quickly assessing the situation and determining the most reasonable way to protect your life given your location and circumstances. In any scenario, you may have one of three options:

1. **RUN**: If there is an accessible escape path, attempt to evacuate the premises.
   - Have an escape route and plan in mind.
   - Leave your belongings behind.
   - Keep your hands visible and follow any instructions provided by law enforcement.

2. **HIDE**: If evacuation is not possible, find a place to hide where the attacker is less likely to find you.
   - Hide in an area out of the shooter’s view.
   - Block entry to your hiding place and lock the doors.
   - Silence your cell phone (including vibrate mode).
   - Remain silent.

3. **FIGHT**: As a last resort, and only when your life is in imminent danger, attempt to disrupt and/or incapacitate the attacker.
   - Act with as much physical aggression as possible.
   - Improvise weapons or throw items at the attacker.
   - Commit to your actions... your life depends on it.

HoW leaders should share the **OPTIONS FOR CONSIDERATION VIDEO** with all members of the community to ensure that everyone is familiar with the different options during a potential attack.

---

**RUN, HIDE, FIGHT**

In over half (n=13) of the total Armed Assault cases (n=20), congregants responded by running or hiding once the attack began. Some were able to escape through exit doors, while others hid in bathrooms, closets, or under furniture. In one case, congregants locked all external doors after hearing commotion outside and prevented the assailant from gaining entry.

In 45 percent (n=9) of the Armed Assault case studies (n=20), members of the congregation or witnesses attempted to tackle, distract, or disarm the perpetrator. Using standard active assailant training, some victims confronted the assailant, a few at the cost of their lives; others threw books, chairs, or furniture. Many of these attempts slowed the assailant enough to allow others to escape to safety.
Mental Health and Social Support Services

Some incidents of targeted violence stem from mental health crises and follow warning signs that a person may be a danger to themselves and others. Not all mental health crises lead to violence; however, HoWs should be aware of signs that an individual is in crisis and may be on the Pathway to Violence.

Houses of worship are uniquely positioned to identify behavioral health concerns and intervene before a situation escalates. HoW leaders are frequently a first point of contact in times of crisis, serving as a sounding board or source of comfort for individuals and families during difficult times. HoWs can promote a culture of caring by enhancing mental health awareness and making it easier for people to seek help. Consider the following options for intervention and assistance to strengthen community resilience:

- Learn the **BASIC FACTS ABOUT MENTAL HEALTH**, including possible warning signs that someone needs help.
- Educate your community about mental health and foster an open dialogue about mental health and wellness topics.
- Identify community members who may be in crisis and connect them with support services.
- Develop a system to identify and conduct outreach to members who have not recently attended services.
- Review best practices for faith leaders provided through the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services website, [MENTALHEALTH.GOV](http://MENTALHEALTH.GOV).
- Take the **ADDRESSING RISK OF VIOLENT BEHAVIOR IN YOUTH** online training provided by the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA).
- Identify points of contact that can provide specialized support, such as

---

**DE-ESCALATION**

When an armed man dressed in tactical gear threatened church-goers at a Texas church, the pastor intervened and placed himself between the gunman and congregants. Utilizing his experience as a crisis intervention specialist working with troubled youth and offenders, the pastor was able to diffuse the situation by talking to the gunman, who fled and was subsequently arrested the following day.

- Offer de-escalation training programs for staff, volunteers, and interested members as a potential tool.
- Train regularly on lockdown and active shooter procedures.
- Educate members and staff on suspicious activity and clearly establish reporting mechanisms.

- Identify nearby healthcare providers using SAMHSA’s tool on FINDTREATMENT.GOV.
- Identify your state’s Mental Health Agency using SAMHSA’s BEHAVIORAL HEALTH TREATMENT SERVICES LOCATOR.
- Consider establishing relationships with specialized providers in the community who can serve as a resource for best practices and possible referrals.

Specialized Policies and Long-Term Planning

Planning is an important stage in the development of a holistic security strategy. Once you’ve implemented some general best practices, it’s time to start planning for a variety of specific scenarios and the potential risks, threats, and outcomes of each. This section highlights some of the more specialized policies for HoW leaders and Security Coordinators to consider as you mature your security program.

Emergency Planning and Incident Response

Planning for emergencies should be a crucial part of any security program and involves determining how your organization will respond to a specific scenario or incident. Emergency Action Plans (EAPs) can help prepare your HoW for any number of emergency situations by providing a roadmap for incident response. CISA has a SUITE OF RESOURCES for incident management planning and response. When creating an EAP, houses of worship can consider the following:

- Consult the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) GUIDE FOR DEVELOPING HIGH-QUALITY EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PLANS FOR HOUSES OF WORSHIP (June 2013) for detailed actions that may be taken before, during, and after an incident in order to reduce the impact on property and loss of life. Many HoWs may also benefit from the INCIDENT COMMAND SYSTEM (ICS) training offered by FEMA.

- Determine how your HoW will continue to conduct business in any kind of emergency scenario. READY.GOV offers a suite of products in the BUSINESS CONTINUITY PLANNING SUITE that can be adapted to the specific needs of your HoW.

- Develop your own EAP using CISA’s ACTIVE SHOOTER EMERGENCY ACTION PLAN GUIDE AND TEMPLATE or the CUSTOMIZABLE TEMPLATE PROVIDED BY THE CDC’S CENTER FOR PREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE.

These resources cover just a few of the eventualities anticipated by a well-rounded and holistic security strategy. For additional planning resources, see APPENDIX 1.

It is critical that the Safety Team and community members know how to respond if an attack were to occur. The best way to accomplish this is by ensuring community members are well-versed in the EAP and regularly conduct training and drills on emergency procedures.
Personnel Security Practices

Strong personnel security practices can ensure that all staff and volunteers are of good character and maintain standards of integrity and trustworthiness. Houses of worship, like all businesses and organizations, should periodically review personnel security practices to ensure they align with standard business practices and are responsive to evolving threats:

- **Make a list of sensitive positions based on roles and responsibilities.**
  - Are there business operations that require higher levels of scrutiny for personnel supporting those functions?
  - Consider, for example, listing personnel who have contact with children, perform financial tasks, manage and/or maintain personally identifiable information (PII), and have access to information technology (IT) systems.

- **Make a list of positions that should or currently require background investigations and develop and implement policies for automatic employment disqualifiers based on the nature of each position.**
  - Is pre-employment screening conducted for sensitive positions (staff, volunteers, and contractors)? If not, what resources would be required to implement this process?
  - Are periodic self-assessments, formal reviews, and/or background investigation updates conducted for these positions?

Insider Threats

CISA defines an *insider* as any person who has or had authorized access to or knowledge of an organization’s resources, including personnel, facilities, information, equipment, networks, and systems. Simply put, this is a person the organization and community members trust. An insider threat is the potential for an insider to use their access or special understanding to harm that organization through violence, espionage, sabotage, theft, or cyber means.

Including an insider threat mitigation program as part of a robust security strategy can help increase the number of security-minded employees and community members; reinforce a culture of shared responsibility and asset protection; enable early threat identification; and protect an organization’s reputation. CISA recommends that organizations develop their own definition of an insider threat that addresses the unique nature of your HoW, its values, and the resources you feel are most at risk.

- **An effective INSIDER THREAT mitigation program:**
  1. **TAILORS THE PROGRAM** to the house of worship’s unique mission, culture, critical assets, and threat landscape.
  2. **BUILDS A CULTURE OF REPORTING AND PREVENTION**, as outlined in CISA’s guidance on how to **RECOGNIZE AND REPORT ANOMALOUS BEHAVIOR**, that reinforces the positive investment that HoWs make in the well-being of its people, while improving overall resilience and operational effectiveness.
3. **EMPLOYS A LAYERED APPROACH** that considers the variety of roles and functions provided by the HoW.

4. **APPLIES THE FRAMEWORK OF "RECOGNIZE AND REPORT" AND "ASSESS AND RESPOND"** to detect, prevent, and mitigate insider threats (part of the Vulnerability Assessment Process described in Chapter 3).

5. **ESTABLISHES A PROTECTIVE AND SUPPORTIVE CULTURE** to protect civil liberties and maintain confidentiality.

6. **ASSISTS ORGANIZATIONS IN PROVIDING A SAFE, NON-THREATENING ENVIRONMENT** where individuals who might pose a threat are identified and helped before their actions can cause harm.

For more information, refer to CISA's INSIDER THREAT MITIGATION RESOURCES.

## Reporting Procedures

Prevention programs and “know the signs” awareness campaigns are most effective when communities know what to do if a potential concern or threat is identified and require deliberate thought on the part of leadership to ensure accountability and clearly define appropriate reporting channels. Houses of worship should clearly outline and communicate reporting standards and mechanisms and ensure all members of the community, especially Safety Team members, are familiar with organizational protocols.

These processes should include reliable and timely evaluation, reporting through appropriate channels, and immediate action in accordance with laws, policies, and regulations. In some cases, reporting might be kept internal and involve the Safety Team or HoW leadership. In other cases, it will be best to report your concerns to law enforcement or federal authorities. To ensure members and staff have the necessary tools to know what, when, and how to report a potential threat, consider the following:

- **Take the Suspicious Activity Reporting (SAR) PRIVATE SECTOR SECURITY TRAINING** to better understand how to report suspicious activity and integrate reporting into your organizational culture. Although written for government agencies, **10 WAYS TO INTEGRATE SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITY REPORTING INTO YOUR AGENCY’S OPERATIONS** also offers helpful guidance for HoWs.

- **Familiarize yourself with the NATIONAL SAR INITIATIVE (NSI), which provides a standardized process for identifying and reporting suspicious activity.**

- **Use the “IF YOU SEE SOMETHING, SAY SOMETHING®” campaign and resources to build an internal reporting strategy customized to your organization’s culture and security strategy.**

- **Identify individuals within your organization who can serve as trusted contacts for reporting suspicious activity.**
  - Ensure these individuals are trained in all security protocols.
Communicate this reporting structure to the community so they know who to contact with security concerns.

- Establish clear procedures for receiving, assessing, and acting on reports from community members.
  - Address how to document the notification, handle issues of confidentiality, determine risk probability/impact, notify law enforcement or mental health officials (if appropriate), and conduct further assessment as needed.

- Circulate the NSI’s SAFETY FOR FAITH-BASED EVENTS AND HOWS flier among staff and community members.

Engaging the Wider Community

Houses of worship play a vital role in community relationships and cohesion, and that role can be a source of strength as you work to improve your HoW’s security posture. Some HoWs serve as meeting places for civic groups and support groups, event spaces, entertainment venues, election polling places, and community shelters. Others may strive to make meaningful connections with neighbors and other HoWs in the community.

Engaging your wider community is an important asset for improving overall awareness of potential threats, increasing resilience, and building external partnerships—all of which will strengthen your overall security posture.

Event Planning

While HoWs are designed to be welcoming to non-members, certain types of events can increase risk. Security considerations related to community engagement and event planning should include:

- Develop and implement event-specific security practices for non-worship activities that take place on the property. Refer to the best practices outlined in the MASS GATHERINGS: SECURITY AWARENESS FOR SOFT TARGETS AND CROWDED PLACES Action Guide for more information.

- Identify and continuously evaluate vulnerabilities and potential risks during non-worship activities and enhance security procedures as needed.

- Consider whether to incorporate patron screening procedures to prevent prohibited items in facilities during special events and incorporate best practices outlined in the PATRON SCREENING BEST PRACTICES GUIDE and PUBLIC VENUE BAG SEARCH PROCEDURES GUIDE.

- Manage visitors and control the number of people in attendance for special events with tickets or sign-up sheets.

- Consider reaching out to local law enforcement partners or CISA PSAs to help with security planning for major events such as religious holidays or whenever you anticipate large gatherings.
Community Engagement

A community-based approach to security includes outreach and awareness activities. As part of a broader security strategy, consider measures that promote community resilience:

• Engage in public awareness campaigns to educate the community, shape public discourse, and foster understanding, tolerance, and acceptance.

• Nominate volunteers and select members of the community for advanced in-person trainings and workshops, such as COMMUNITY EMERGENCY RESPONSE TEAMS (CERT), ACTIVE SHOOTER PREPAREDNESS, Active Assailant, and Hostage Mitigation.

• Practice routine, community-wide training exercises and drills with local law enforcement, emergency management, nearby businesses, and other HoWs.

• Sponsor and facilitate courses for the community in basic first aid and CPR.

Faith-based organizations in the community can form partnerships to improve information sharing, enhance resilience, and increase security. Consider creating formal or informal structures and relationships and developing interfaith groups within the community to pool security knowledge and resources:

• Develop relationships with other HoWs in the same geographical area.
  › Consider establishing formal, interfaith dialogue groups.
  › Coordinate with the Department of Justice Community Relations Service to organize a PROTECTING PLACES OF WORSHIP FORUM with interfaith community partners.

• Create a shared, private social media or other communication space for collaboration with other HoWs in your area to centralize information-sharing.
  › Identify credible threats from peer-to-peer communications, shared information, online activity, and social media, and report to law enforcement as appropriate.
  › Track and report recent threats that emerge online.

• Coordinate a PREPAREATHON event in your community to encourage community preparation and resilience.
Cultivating and maintaining relationships with key community partners and first responders is critical to bolstering your organization’s security strategy. Strong community coalitions help further shared goals for identifying threats, mitigating risk, and enhancing public safety. Maintaining an ongoing dialogue with local law enforcement and emergency management services can also improve preparedness and allow for better incident response coordination.

Strategic partnerships can include local police departments, fire departments, and medical emergency services, as well as regional and state organizations. Consider the following steps to foster these important relationships:

- **Identify local partners with first responder oversight for your organization, including:**
  - The local law enforcement agency of jurisdiction;
  - The closest local fire department and emergency medical response unit;
  - The closest hospital trauma center; and
  - Any other nearby medical emergency services, including mental health resources.

- **Establish relationships with local law enforcement and other first responders through regular outreach.**
  - Conduct tours of the property and share building plans to ensure familiarity with the property. Follow up with updated versions if any substantial changes are made to the property and grounds.
  - Review security protocols and conduct and/or participate in formal and informal training sessions.
  - Use community social events to build connections between first responders and the neighborhoods they serve.

---

**PROFESSIONAL LIAISON PARTNERSHIPS**

- What is the response time to your HoW?
- Have they performed a tour of your facility?
- Have they responded to your HoW in the past? If yes, for what?
- Have they been provided an architectural drawing/floor plans of your facility?
- Have they performed training at your HoW? Would they, if invited?
- What public safety education and training services can they offer?
• Identify your local/regional Emergency Management organization using the READY.GOV TOOL. Reach out to the closest office and subscribe to alerts if offered.

• Identify your nearest CISA REGIONAL OFFICE and establish relationships with regional CISA PSAs. View CISA’s PSA FACT SHEET for additional information on services provided through this regional program.

• If your HoW is located at or within close proximity to a federal facility, connect with the DHS FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE.

• Establish a dialogue with federal law enforcement to learn about planning and training resources and gain a better understanding of suspicious activity reporting and incident response.

• Involve your regional fusion center in threat monitoring and investigation.

Summary

Human behavior, interpersonal relationships, and community values have a huge impact on the effectiveness of security prevention, preparedness, and mitigation programs. HoWs that foster a culture of caring and shared accountability will be well-positioned to respond to current and emerging threats, and the tools presented here can help you find the approach that best fits the needs of your HoW and the wider community it serves.
Introduction

The intended purpose of any safety and security program is to identify potential risk as early as possible, determine the best plan of action, and minimize or disrupt the risk before it results in bodily harm or property damage. As discussed in Chapter 4, you can address many problems by making changes to the policies, practices, and behaviors within your individual house of worship (HoW)—with minimal capital investment. Yet some vulnerabilities may require physical changes to the structures and grounds of your facility. This chapter outlines some of the options for improvements to physical security, as well as their potential impact.

To frame the different areas of vulnerability and responsibility, think of your property as divided into three distinct zones: outer perimeter, middle perimeter, and inner perimeter. An effective security strategy must cover the entire responsibility of the HoW, from the outermost reach of the property to the inner most area of the sanctuary. Most security planning begins at the outer perimeter and works inward toward the middle and inner perimeters. Safety features in each zone should be fully integrated and consider interconnected vulnerabilities and risks across zones. This zone system also provides a framework for deploying security programs, like traffic management or greeters.

Mitigation options reviewed in this chapter range from organizing traffic patterns, planting hedges, installing fencing and lighting, closed-circuit television (CCTV) video surveillance, and refining building access controls. Together, these options support a layered approach that encompasses all identified physical security vulnerabilities and risks and considers a range of potential threat scenarios.

The prospect of adding or upgrading physical security can seem daunting, both in terms of cost and the reluctance to “fortify” or “harden” a building that is designed to be open and welcoming. The Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) emphasizes that there are many options available to consider, some of which require minimal capital investment. Many HoWs are also eligible for federal and state grants to offset the cost of improvements, and HoW leaders and security teams can leverage the other freely available resources provided by CISA and other federal agencies outlined in this guide to develop a physical security improvement plan that is tailored to your needs.
GRANT FUNDING

In response to targeted attacks on houses of worship, some state and local governments have passed legislation to create funding opportunities to support security improvements. Be sure to check which could be applicable to your specific HoW.

At the federal level, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), and Congress are providing increased levels of grant funding to assist HoWs in making security improvements. FEMA offers the NONPROFIT SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM, which provides “funding support opportunities for physical security enhancements and other activities to eligible nonprofit organizations that are at high risk of a terrorist attack and which are located with the geographic footprint of an urban area designated as an Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) jurisdiction under DHS’s UASI Grant Program.” Eligible nonprofit organizations may apply to the STATE ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY. FEMA’s Grant Programs Directorate staff are also willing to work with HoWs on emergency preparedness and budgeting guidance to help HoWs plan for security improvements. For more information visit www.fema.gov/grants.

Outer Perimeter

Establishing your HoW’s total area of responsibility—the boundary at the outermost edge of the property—is a critical element in the planning process and will be different for every house of worship. The most important aspect is to define this outer perimeter in terms of size, existing protection features (e.g., barriers, fencing, gates, and lighting), and observed risks, if any.

The outer perimeter is often the first opportunity to address a vulnerability or mitigate an attack, and every HoW’s footprint will present its own unique challenges and circumstances. In some cases, this area may include a large field for sporting events or outdoor activities. In others, the outer perimeter may include a surface parking lot, which may or may not have fencing, lighting, monitoring, or other security features. Some HoWs may have street parking, while others might use multi-story parking facilities that are not affiliated with the organization.

Once the Security Planning Team has defined the outer perimeter, it can identify vulnerabilities, determine possible mitigation solutions, and prioritize solutions based on probability, impact, and cost. Houses of worship, particularly those contemplating new construction or renovations, may find useful insights in the concept of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED), which focuses on how the built environment can shape human behavior. Even subtle and cost-effective solutions—such as changes to

SECURITY THROUGH DESIGN

FEMA has issued detailed guidance on how site and building design can help mitigate the risk and damage of an attack.

SITE AND URBAN DESIGN FOR SECURITY: GUIDANCE AGAINST POTENTIAL TERRORIST ATTACKS (2007)
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landscaping to improve visibility or using concrete planters and bollards to control access—can have significant impact while maximizing return on investment.

One special consideration for the outer perimeter is the threat of vehicular attacks. In general, vehicular attacks fall into two categories: vehicle ramming and vehicle-borne improvised explosive devices (VBIEDs). Both types of vehicle attacks are most likely to occur near the outer perimeter. While the research described in Chapter 1 did not identify any VBIEDs used to target a house of worship, the case studies included two vehicle ramming attacks. Managing traffic patterns and engaging volunteers, greeters, security personnel, or law enforcement to direct traffic, especially during peak times, can help identify suspicious activity. Installing barriers, such as concrete planters or bollards, can also create a “stand-off” zone to help protect congregants.

As you contemplate changes to the outer perimeter, consider some of the following options:

### OUTER PERIMETER OPTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security Features</th>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Benefits &amp; Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIGHTING</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Solar Powered</td>
<td></td>
<td>Deters would-be assailants and/or intruders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Timed Street Lights</td>
<td></td>
<td>Illuminates all areas so staff and congregants can safely traverse parking lots and grounds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Properly maintained lighting features strategically placed along the outer perimeter and throughout the grounds can deter unauthorized access and enhance security for staff and congregants. Options range from solar-powered lighting with night-time illumination to standard streetlights controlled by a timer or on/off switch.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FENCING/GATES</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Visual Barrier</td>
<td></td>
<td>Limits access to grounds and facilities by individuals not affiliated with the HoW.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Solid Barrier</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fence style may also offer an aesthetic visual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Landscape Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Perimeter fencing and gates feature different styles and functions ranging from simple visual barriers to distinguish property lines to designs that prevent or limit entry onto the grounds. Fences and gates can also be linked to building access control, lighting, and video surveillance systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCTV (VIDEO SURVEILLANCE)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Record Only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Active Monitoring without Response</td>
<td>Supports monitoring of suspicious behavior and provides early warning capabilities and alerts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Active Monitoring with Response</td>
<td>Deters intruders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Removes blind spots.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS: COMPLEX COORDINATED ATTACKS**

Before installing a CCTV surveillance system, consider whether this technology aligns with the overall security strategy, needs, and capacity. CCTV can be implemented in a variety of ways ranging from an unmonitored recording system to a system actively monitored by contracted security and integrated with an incident response plan.
## Outer Perimeter Options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security Features</th>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Benefits &amp; Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Gates/Bollards</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Defines the flow of people and vehicles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pathways/Signage</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Supports early warning capabilities and enables non-intrusive visual surveillance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Visitor Parking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Greeters, Volunteers, Law Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td>• CISA VEHICLE RAMMING ACTION GUIDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• CISA VBIED DETECTION COURSE FACT SHEET</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A controlled traffic management process safeguards all community members from accidents and vehicular attacks by limiting traffic flow with gates, bollards, traffic cones, signage, or staff directing traffic. Individuals supporting this process should be clearly identified with a reflective vest or uniform. In some circumstances, local law enforcement may be available to assist.

### Emergency Communication

- **Emergency Call-Stanchions (Panic Alert Boxes)**
  - Ability to contact HoW security and/or law enforcement in case of emergency.
  - Minimizes risk in areas away from main buildings in larger facilities.

Identify areas on the property away from the main building(s) where an emergency call box might be useful, such as a distant parking lot, a walking trail, or prayer garden. Any hardware will require regular maintenance and testing.

### Landscape

- **Clear Brush**
  - Improve visibility by removing overgrown brush

- **Install Features**
  - Remove any flammable material

Be sure to maintain the property and grounds. Remove any brush or landscape features that impede visibility or presents a potential hazard. Consider adding landscape features, such as large planters, that may serve to direct traffic or discourage unauthorized access.

---

### Middle Perimeter

The **middle perimeter** is a fluid area and typically includes anything “on campus” but outside of the main building. For example, walls and exterior doors to the main building would be considered part of the middle perimeter, as would any outbuildings or spaces like playgrounds or picnic areas. Additional buildings, such as a school, rectory, or on-site residence, are considered part of the middle perimeter but require distinct security considerations separate from the main HoW building. Several case studies in Chapter 1 revealed potential vulnerabilities related to middle perimeter security, with attackers moving through or initiating the attack in this zone. Middle perimeter buildings should be described in detail during the vulnerability assessment so that someone unfamiliar with the site—such as a first responder or outside security consultant—can quickly visualize the property and expedite emergency response actions.
The middle perimeter is often where many different kinds of vulnerabilities and threats converge, and it requires a multi-faceted security plan to address these complexities.

Additional considerations for the middle perimeter are picnic areas and/or playgrounds which will be areas of special concern. Often used by children, these spaces should be a top priority for establishing access control features and continuous monitoring, such as with CCTV cameras and/or volunteers and security personnel. If possible, control access to this area through fencing or a physical barrier that would prevent unauthorized entry.

When identifying and prioritizing features to secure the middle perimeter, consider the following options:

### MIDDLE PERIMETER OPTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security Features</th>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Benefits &amp; Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOORS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Wood, Glass, or Metal</td>
<td></td>
<td>When locked, secured doors deter intruders and help control crowd flow and access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Impact or Blast-Resistant</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trained greeters strategically situated can help identify suspicious behavior.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WINDOWS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Alarmed</td>
<td></td>
<td>When locked, windows deter intruders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Securable with Locks</td>
<td></td>
<td>Windows also provide an emergency escape.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Determine the number of entry points and when they are used. How are they constructed (wood, metal, or glass)? How are they secured (lock and key or access card)? Are they alarmed? Consider if an attacker could block or chain doors to prevent escape during an emergency.

**CCTV (VIDEO SURVEILLANCE)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Benefits &amp; Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Record Only</td>
<td>Supports monitoring and alerts to suspicious behavior, including early warning capabilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Active Monitoring without Response</td>
<td>Deters intruders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Active Monitoring with Response</td>
<td>Removes blind spots.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Areas requiring coverage might include exterior doorway entrances, high-traffic outdoor walkways, or blind spots. CCTV in the middle perimeter can be implemented as unmonitored or monitored with a response plan as previously indicated in the Outer Perimeter CCTV section.

**ACCESS CONTROL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Benefits &amp; Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Standard Lock &amp; Key</td>
<td>Limits access to authorized individuals and can be aligned with HoW schedules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Electronic Access</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Options include basic lock and key control, more sophisticated electronic applications using access cards or fobs, and software programs integrated with schedules and assigned levels of access.
MIDDLE PERIMETER OPTIONS

Security Features | Types | Benefits & Resources
--- | --- | ---

**INTRUSION ALARMS**
- Affixed to Doors and Windows
- Rapidly alerts security personnel, law enforcement, or other emergency services to an intruder.

Consider cost vs. return on investment, organizational security needs, and features that align with the property and facilities, such as motion sensors.

**EMERGENCY GENERATOR**
- Natural Gas Fueled
- Diesel Fueled
- Minimum Operation Life: 24 Hours
- Supports emergency services, such as information technology (IT), fire detection, access control, CCTV system, and other connected security features.

Emergency power generators ensure critical systems are sustained in an emergency, such as lighting for evacuation, elevators, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC), and fresh air returns. Power outages can create confusion and distress, which an attacker can leverage to cause more damage and/or injury. Fresh air returns should be above ground level to prevent tampering with the HVAC system. Exposed infrastructure should be covered, protected with locked metal coverings, and monitored by CCTV.

**LANDSCAPING**
- Clear brush
- Install features
- Improve visibility by removing overgrown brush.
- Remove any flammable material.

Be sure to maintain the property and grounds. Remove any brush or landscape features that impede visibility or presents a potential hazard. Consider adding landscape features, such as large planters, that may serve to direct traffic or discourage unauthorized access.

Inner Perimeter

The sanctum, or inner perimeter, will undoubtedly be the most important area to protect because this is where your most important asset will be located: your people. In most cases, this will be the main building, but additional structures, such as schools, rectories, or residences, will have their own inner perimeters that may include children’s rooms, administrative offices, prayer rooms, or other common areas.

Of the 37 acts of targeted violence examined in Chapter 1, 43 percent (n=16) took place within the inner perimeter or sanctum. As the site where people often gather in the greatest numbers, attacks within the inner perimeter often represent the greatest loss of life. To protect your people, the inner perimeter zone requires the highest level of scrutiny, control, and monitoring.

Security measures focused on the inner perimeter should be as detailed as possible. Members of the Safety Team should have clearly defined roles and responsibilities. All congregation members should be aware of the basic security trainings identified in Chapter 4 and understand the protocols for emergency evacuation or active assailant scenarios. For special considerations related to security measures for school and daycare facilities, see Chapter 6.

Inner perimeter security generally includes the following:
## INNER PERIMETER OPTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security Features</th>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Benefits and Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SANCTUARY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Main Area of Worship</td>
<td>• Training of Congregants on Emergency Procedures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Largest Congregation of People</td>
<td>• CISA ACTIVE SHOOTER PREPAREDNESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Active Shooter Preparedness Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sanctuary is one of the most important areas to protect and should be a main focus of the security planning process. Incident management and emergency action plans should center around the sanctuary and the services performed there.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>RECEPTION/VISITOR MANAGEMENT</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• People are a HoW's Greatest Safety Asset</td>
<td>• Enables rapid identification of suspicious activity, mail, or phone calls.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Power of Hello</td>
<td>• Helps control flow of HoW visitors and manage access.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identify Suspicious Activity Training</td>
<td>• CISA POWER OF HELLO RESOURCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consider implementing a visitor management system. For HoWs with administrative or reception staff, provide appropriate training and implement detailed security procedures, including a list of authorized/pre-screened visitors, notification and screening, handling suspicious mail/phone calls, and reporting suspicious activity. Be sure all visitors are aware of emergency exits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ACCESS CONTROL</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Standard Lock &amp; Key</td>
<td>• Limits access to authorized individuals can can be aligned with HoW schedules.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Electronic Access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Options include basic lock and key control, more sophisticated electronic applications using access cards or fobs, and software programs integrated with schedules and assigned levels of access.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>CHILDREN’S ROOMS/SCHOOL</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refer to Chapter 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>SHELTER-IN-PLACE ROOM</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Windowless room with doors that lock or other secure interior space</td>
<td>• Provides a safe room to hide during an active shooter incident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• REFERENCE MANUAL TO MITIGATE POTENTIAL TERRORIST ATTACKS AGAINST BUILDINGS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• RISK ASSESSMENT: A HOW-TO GUIDE TO MITIGATE POTENTIAL TERRORIST ATTACKS AGAINST BUILDINGS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 Identify shelter-in-place or "safe rooms" for use during severe weather and/or during an incident, such as an active shooter. These locations should be rooms without windows and with doors that lock. Staff and visitors should know the location and understand the purpose of these rooms. Training can help prepare staff to guide members to these locations in an emergency. Shelter-in-place plans should address severe weather scenarios, such as tornados or hazardous material incidents where breathing outside air could pose a threat.
INNER PERIMETER OPTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security Features</th>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Benefits and Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**FIRST AID/AED**

- Store bought
- Professionally serviced

  - Staff and congregants have the necessary tools to respond quickly in an emergency.
  - **YOU ARE THE HELP UNTIL HELP ARRIVES**

Life-saving equipment, such as first aid kits and automated external defibrillators (AEDs), should be kept in clearly marked locations and regularly checked to ensure supplies are fully stocked and unexpired. AEDs should be tested and maintained for proper functionality. Supply companies can be contracted to provide this service.

**FIRE ALARM AND SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS**

- Store bought
- Professionally serviced

  - Rapidly alerts security personnel, fire department, or other emergency services in a fire emergency.

Fire and smoke alarms, along with fire suppression systems, should comply with state, county, and municipal standards. Most buildings undergo inspections for emergency systems prior to receiving an occupancy permit. Every HoW should have functional and compliant smoke and fire detectors. These alarms should be inspected annually to ensure they are fully operational.

Fire extinguishers should be positioned throughout all buildings and well-marked for easy access in an emergency. These should also be checked and maintained as required by local standards.

**Summary**

Dividing the area of your house of worship’s responsibility into an outer, middle, and inner perimeter offers a useful framework for organizing your security programs and contemplating the upgrades or modifications that might be necessary to improve physical security. Your vulnerability assessment will help facilitate the process of identifying vulnerabilities and risks and prioritizing any changes you deem necessary in the most efficient and cost-effective manner possible.
Daycare and School Safety Considerations

Introduction

Providing children with a safe space to learn and develop is a core value in communities nationwide. Schools and daycares are particularly vulnerable to targeted violence, leading many to implement robust security programs in recent years. The threat of school violence is further magnified for K-12 schools, summer programs, daycare facilities, religious study and after school programs, and weekend care programs affiliated with houses of worship. Ensuring safe environments for children and educators is essential and houses of worship (HoWs) should prioritize security planning for school or daycare facilities while considering the full scope of associated vulnerabilities and risks. The guidance offered here is based on the FOUNDATIONAL ELEMENTS of school safety as developed by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and detailed at SchoolSafety.gov.

Assess the Facilities

Begin by assessing the site and needs of the community affiliated with the school or daycare facility, such as the number of students and faculty, number of exits and entrances, and number of rooms. This will support a more accurate assessment and security plans that are tailored to effectively safeguard these facilities. To streamline this process, the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) recommends using no-cost assessments, including that of CISA’s K-12 GUIDE AND ASSESSMENT TOOL, or the READINESS AND EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT FOR SCHOOLS (REMS) SITE ASSESS APP administered by the U.S. Department of Education.

SchoolSafety.gov provides education facilities with the tools to maintain a safe environment for children and educators. Resources are organized across the preparedness continuum: PREVENT, PROTECT AND MITIGATE, RESPOND AND RECOVER. School and daycare center staff can take a self-assessment to receive a personalized action plan with next steps and access a range of valuable resources, trainings, and aligned grant funding.

No one-size-fits-all approach exists for childcare and education. However, many resources are available from the government and the private sector to help HoWs develop and implement security measures for schools and daycares in accordance with local statutes. These include resources about school security policies, physical security, school climate, behavioral health techniques, training, and funding opportunities. CISA Protective Security Advisors (PSAs) are also available to provide professional on-site assessments.
Procedures and Protocols

The right set of procedures and protocols is essential to preventing and responding to threats. Consider establishing a School Safety Action Plan and EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PLAN (EOP), and assign security roles to specific staff to prepare for a range of threats to a school or daycare facility. Security measures should address both physical and behavioral security considerations, as well as institutional policies. A thorough planning process should include the following steps:

• Create a personalized SCHOOL SAFETY ACTION PLAN to identify important next steps to help secure your school.

• Analyze vulnerabilities across current policies, such as missing information, lack of guidance, and/or outdated practices, and cross-reference this list against best practices to identify areas where new procedures or improvements may be needed.

• Take the online course DEVELOPING EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PLANS (EOPs) K-12 101 to learn more about creating an effective EOP and implementation plan.

• Use the interagency GUIDE FOR DEVELOPING HIGH-QUALITY SCHOOL EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PLANS to establish foundational principles and follow the six-step planning process.

• Employ DHS's RECOVERY STRATEGIES and resource list to facilitate the creation of a robust recovery plan.

Key policies to consider incorporating into security plans include:

• Policies for child pick-up and drop-off

• Policies for child guardians or persons with legal responsibility over a child, aside from a parent

• Policies for supervising recess and implementing adequate physical security measures

• Protocols for domestic disputes

• Protocols for visitors and suspicious persons on or around the property

• Protocols for an active incident in another building on the property
Physical Security

Physical security considerations for schools and daycares include added vulnerabilities, such as playgrounds, classrooms, and designated drop-off/pick-up areas. Houses of worship should align physical security planning with the broader recommendations provided in Chapter 5 and tailored to the risks associated with these unique elements. HoWs should consider the following additional precautions:

- Assess the current physical security posture of the school/daycare with the help of a CISA PSA, SchoolSafety.gov resources, or by using the DHS SCHOOL SECURITY SURVEY to identify gaps in physical features and equipment, and prioritize updates.

- Use the Readiness and Emergency Management for Schools (REMS) SITE ASSESS SECURE MOBILE APP to conduct a security assessment and receive a customized to-do list.

- Implement security enhancements within the various perimeters, as outlined in PARTNER ALLIANCE FOR SAFER SCHOOLS – SAFETY AND SECURITY GUIDELINES FOR K-12 SCHOOLS.

- Consider PHYSICAL SECURITY STRATEGIES recommended by SchoolSafety.gov.

School Climate

Providing students with a range of social, emotional, and behavioral support systems can build strong character skills and allow students to connect with their peers and educators in more meaningful ways. These systems can improve school climate and prevent violence, while supporting mental health and empowering students to speak up when something seems suspicious or dangerous. A 2019 U.S. Secret Service (USSS) report found that 80 percent of school attackers previously exhibited behavior that caused concern for both public safety and the attacker’s safety.1 Providing HoW staff and students with a safe environment that encourages everyone to report concerning behaviors helps decrease the likelihood of violence through early intervention. For this approach to be successful, schools must not only prioritize school climate but also provide guidance on available reporting mechanisms. HoWs can refer to the following resources to improve overall school climate within affiliated schools and daycares:

- Review GUIDING PRINCIPLES: GUIDE FOR IMPROVING SCHOOL CLIMATE to learn about three critical principles for fostering a positive school climate.

- Use the SCHOOL CLIMATE IMPROVEMENT ACTION GUIDES to assess five key actions for enhancing school climate. Each step will provide action items, do’s and don’ts, and questions for consideration.

- Visit SCHOOL CLIMATE resources on SchoolSafety.gov to access additional topical resources and available grant options.

---

Behavioral Health

Promoting behavioral health for students—as well as faculty and staff as described in Chapter 4—is an important step toward preventing violence in schools. Students struggling with mental and behavioral health challenges often also see impacts to their social and academic success. For example, a 2017 survey found that approximately 20 percent of students from 12–18 years have experienced bullying.\(^2\) Bullying is a common occurrence that can severely impact physical and mental health and has been a factor in some cases of school violence. By using available resources to help identify concerning behaviors and implementing efforts to improve students’ mental and physical health, HoWs can help create safer environments in their schools and daycare centers.\(^3\) Consider the following steps and resources to identify and address threatening or concerning behaviors before they can lead to violence:

- **Conduct a behavioral threat assessment to evaluate potential suspicious activity and enhance behavioral health support.**
  - A dedicated multi-disciplinary team including and supported by qualified professionals from a variety of disciplines should conduct this assessment. The team should include at minimum a school administrator, a mental health counselor, and a school resource officer.
  - Once training is complete and clear roles are established, the team should create comprehensive written plans, policies, and procedures for the behavioral threat assessment process, including a process to evaluate reported threats and concerning behaviors.
  - The team should continually review reported threats and other concerning behaviors to identify areas for intervention and mitigation.
  - Detailed guidance and resources for creating teams, conducting threat assessments, and creating subsequent policies and procedures for schools can be found using the USSS ENHANCING SCHOOL SAFETY USING A THREAT ASSESSMENT MODEL.

- **Complete the SCHOOL HEALTH ASSESSMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SYSTEM’s School Mental Health Profile to produce an overview of existing mental health services and systems. This overview will help identify gaps and contribute to national-level tracking for mental health systems in schools.**

- **Conduct the BULLYING PREVENTION CAPACITY ASSESSMENT AND CHANGE PACKAGE to determine your school’s capacity to prevent bullying in seven areas.**
  - Following the assessment, utilize the Bullying Prevention Portfolio to review evidence-based drivers for bullying prevention to enhance current capabilities.

---


Training

Training, exercises, and drills are essential to an environment in which school and daycare staff can help prevent and respond to emergency situations. Students should be trained on best practices for remaining safe during incidents such as hazardous weather or active shooters. Understanding policies, roles, and procedures helps to streamline response efforts and mitigate the risk of negative outcomes. HoWs can consider the following strategies for training school staff and ensuring policies, processes, and procedures remain current:

1. Train school administrators and staff on all aspects of the school’s EOP and implementation plan with the following steps:
   A. Train staff on roles and responsibilities within the EOP.
   B. Designate a staff member to coordinate and execute EOP exercises.
   C. Conduct annual exercises with all staff to practice EOP procedures. Include community partners where appropriate.
   D. Evaluate current plans while conducting training exercises to update the EOP accordingly.

2. Submit an application for REMS TRAINING BY REQUEST to receive free in-person training on developing EOPs and resilience strategies.

3. Complete self-conducted table-top exercises for students and faculty members using DHS CAMPUS RESILIENCE PROGRAM EXERCISE STARTER KITS.

4. Employ principles from the HOMELAND SECURITY EXERCISE AND EVALUATION PROGRAM GUIDELINES to develop, execute, and evaluate additional exercise programs. The guide should be used in accordance with organizational priorities for school safety initiatives and policies.

5. Review the TRAINING, EXERCISES, AND DRILLS offered on SchoolSafety.gov for additional strategies and resources.

---

Funding Resources

Non-profit HoW schools may be eligible to receive the grants outlined below following an application to either their State Awarding Agency (SAA) or directly from the awarding entity:

• **NONPROFIT SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM**
  › Supports security enhancements for nonprofit organizations with a high risk of a terrorist attack.

• **SCHOOL VIOLENCE PREVENTION PROGRAM (SVPP)**
  › Helps improve security for schools in the grantee’s jurisdiction through evidence-based school safety programs.

• **STOP SCHOOL VIOLENCE TECHNOLOGY AND THREAT ASSESSMENT SOLUTIONS FOR SAFER SCHOOLS PROGRAM**
  › Enhances efforts to reduce violent crime by creating school threat assessment teams, using technology for anonymously reporting suspicious activity related to violence in schools, and by creating and enhancing State School Safety Centers.

• **PROJECT SCHOOL EMERGENCY RESPONSE TO VIOLENCE (SERV)**
  › Funds short-term and long-term education-related services for local educational agencies (LEA) and institutions of higher education (IHE) to support recovery following a violent or traumatic event that has disrupted the learning environment.

• **E-RATE PROGRAM**
  › Gives public schools and libraries cost-effective access to technologies that bolster network infrastructures and prepare for future educational requirements.

For additional grants opportunities, visit SchoolSafety.gov.

Summary

Schools and daycares affiliated with houses of worship share many of the same characteristics and vulnerabilities as similar facilities around the country, with an added layer of risk due to faith-based affiliations. HoWs with such facilities should maintain constant awareness of these unique challenges and threats when developing and implementing robust security policies to protect students and teachers and safeguard their learning environment.
Cybersecurity

Introduction

The Internet has allowed faith-based communities to connect in unprecedented ways. Many houses of worship (HoWs) take advantage of technologies like live-streaming services and building community through online portals. This connectivity allows for great access, but it also opens the door to new and emerging threats. Cyber actors are constantly looking for new targets and vulnerabilities to exploit and HoWs are not immune.

Faith-based organizations are vulnerable to cyberattacks due to the types of information they access and store; they are seen as easy targets due to their size and perceived lack of cyber protections. As part of standard business operations, faith-based organizations collect and store large amounts of personal and financial information from congregants, donors, and employees. Such personally identifiable information (PII) can be used to commit identity theft, to steal from bank accounts, and to identify targets for additional exploitation. In addition to cyber actors motivated by financial gain, perpetrators may target HoWs for ideological reasons. In either case, a cyberattack can hurt the reputation of a HoW in ways that are difficult to overcome, possibly interfering with the institution’s overall mission.

Types of Cyber Attacks

While malicious cyber actors can employ various methods, the incident analysis conducted for this report revealed that HoWs are particularly vulnerable to the following types of attacks:

Financial Exploitation

Much like any organization that handles money, HoWs are at risk of financial exploitation. Many faith-based organizations now collect donations using online or mobile platforms, creating new vulnerabilities and opportunities for exploitation. Financial exploitation can be tied to a variety of nefarious methods, including network intrusions that result from phishing and malware.
Ransomware

An increasing number of malicious cyber actors use ransomware—a type of software designed to deny access to a computer system or data until a fee is paid—to attack soft targets like hospitals and municipal governments. In these types of attacks, cyber actors gain access to vulnerable networks and encrypt files before demanding payment.

Website Defacement

Another potential vulnerability comes in the form of website defacement, wherein a cyber actor gains entry to a network or web server and changes or replaces the website content with their own information. These attacks, which typically feature hateful language or imagery, seek to cause fear and undermine a community’s efforts to create interfaith dialogue. Faith-based communities are increasingly victimized by website defacement attacks.

Creating a Culture of Cyber Readiness

Reducing cyber risk requires a holistic and multi-layered approach, much like the approach used to address physical threats. HoWs must incorporate cyber resiliency into any security plan that addresses institutional and congregant preparedness. Managing cyber risk requires HoWs to build strong security practices and a culture of cyber readiness by encouraging basic cyber hygiene and data protection throughout the organization.

As the Nation’s risk advisor and lead civilian agency charged with safeguarding the Nation’s cyberspace, the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Agency (CISA) is responsible for building national capacity to defend against cyberattacks. CISA is dedicated to developing and providing faith-based communities with a range of resources aimed at empowering HoWs to mitigate a range of cyber threats. HoWs of all sizes can use the resources and tips outlined below to begin making changes for a safer and more secure online presence.

CISA offers direct cybersecurity expertise and recommends developing relationships with regional CISA CYBER SECURITY ADVISORS (CSAs) to bolster cybersecurity preparedness, risk mitigation, and incident response capabilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CREATING A CULTURE OF CYBER READINESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 Essential Elements of a Culture of Cyber Readiness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yourself</th>
<th>Drive cybersecurity strategy, investment, and culture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your Staff</td>
<td>Develop security awareness and vigilance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your Systems</td>
<td>Protect critical assets and applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your Surroundings</td>
<td>Ensure only those who belong on your digital workplace have access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your Data</td>
<td>Make backups and avoid the loss of information critical to operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your Actions Under Stress</td>
<td>Limit damage and quicken restoration of normal operations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more, see: CISA’S CYBER ESSENTIALS
CISA CYBERSECURITY ADVISORS (CSAs)

**WHO?** Regional CISA personnel who offer assistance and front-line support to help prepare and protect stakeholders from cybersecurity threats.

**WHERE?** Distributed across the ten CISA regions throughout the United States.

**WHAT?** Engage private sector entities and state, local, tribal, and territorial (SLTT) governments through partnerships and direct assistance activities, such as on-site meetings, working group facilitation, and incident coordination and support.

**WHY?** Promote cybersecurity preparedness, risk mitigation, and incident response capabilities, and create channels of communication between the public and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) cyber programs.

---

**Cyber Hygiene**

Organizations must build a culture of cyber readiness from the ground up, which may require a shift in thinking. Cyber hygiene entails implementing basic levels of cybersecurity and improving general awareness of risk among staff, volunteers, and congregants to improve resilience and mitigate the effects of a potential intrusion or attack. Cybersecurity is increasingly important as our culture continues to depend on cyber technology and the benefits it offers and is an important consideration for organizations of all sizes and locations.

HoWs should prioritize awareness of key cybersecurity concepts and adopt industry best practices, and most internet service providers stand ready to help address many common vulnerabilities. In addition to the steps outlined in the CYBERSECURITY RESOURCES ROADMAP, there are several common-sense approaches that faith-based organizations can use to build a culture of cybersecurity:

- Refer to CISA’s CYBER ESSENTIALS for key information regarding organizational cyber readiness.
- Stay current on security updates and enable automatic updates whenever possible.
- UNDERSTANDING PATCHES AND SOFTWARE UPDATES
- Subscribe to the NATIONAL CYBER AWARENESS SYSTEM (NCAS) to receive cybersecurity alerts, analysis reports, bulletins, or tips.
- Regularly back up important files and data.
  - Important files that need additional back up and protection might include: financial records; congregant lists, addresses, and PII; property records; employee and volunteer files; online donation records, etc.
• Maintain awareness of suspicious emails and exercise caution when opening attachments or links (USING CAUTION WITH EMAIL ATTACHMENTS).

• If available, enable two-factor authentication (2FA) on website administrator accounts.

• Understand RISKS TO MOBILE PHONES and make adjustments to secure mobile devices affiliated with your HoW.

• Establish basic security practices and policies for employees, such as requiring strong passwords, and establish appropriate Internet use guidelines that detail penalties for violating company cybersecurity policies. Apply these polices consistently.

• Establish rules of behavior for handling and protecting congregant and donor information and other vital data. Consider restricting access or password protecting files and installing software to protect the website and donations platform.

• Install anti-virus software on all computers and update regularly.

Online Safety

The Internet makes it easier for faith-based organizations to connect with both members and potential new members while social media provides an effective way to stay connected and share updates. However, ease of access and informality also make these platforms attractive to malicious actors who may exploit information that is readily available. While many sites are benign, social media platforms have been used to distribute malicious code. Similarly, personal information posted on social media can be used to conduct social engineering attacks or used in preparation for physical attacks, such as the exploitation of worship schedules or plans. Even without sophisticated technological actors, online platforms are exploited by those seeking to bully or intimidate.

CHOOSING SECURE PASSWORDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DO:</th>
<th>DON'T:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use the longest password allowed</td>
<td>Use words that can be found in the dictionary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use symbols and numbers</td>
<td>Use passwords based on personal information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use different passwords for each account</td>
<td>Share your password</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adopting basic levels of security and awareness can allow HoWs to continue safely connecting online. The following resources can help you safely connect online:

- Implement general online privacy best practices, as outlined in the ONLINE PRIVACY TIP SHEET.
- Review STAYING SAFE ON SOCIAL NETWORKING SITES and the SOCIAL MEDIA CYBERSECURITY TIP SHEET to understand the range of threats associated with social media.
- Refer to the GUIDELINES FOR PUBLISHING INFORMATION ONLINE and monitor the information posted on facility websites or social media accounts, including worship and activity schedules. Consider posting schedules weekly, rather than monthly, or restricting schedules to a member portal.
- Be mindful of what is being emailed to the congregation. Keep distribution lists up to date to ensure you are only emailing current members with a need for the information.
- Make adjustments to prioritize socializing securely.
- Cyberbullying can range in severity and may indicate a tendency toward more serious behavior. Understand the basics of cyberbullying and refer to DEALING WITH CYBERBULLIES for information on protecting your community.
- Understand the risks posed by social engineering and implement the procedures outlined in AVOIDING SOCIAL ENGINEERING AND PHISHING ATTACKS.

Security Practices and Awareness

In addition to basic best practices that all individuals and organizations may adopt, HoWs may consider implementing more advanced measures to improve resilience against potential cyber incidents. A robust cybersecurity program will include activities that focus on cyber incident response planning, educating key stakeholders, and developing reporting protocols to identify suspicious activities. Building an effective cybersecurity program requires both an awareness of tactics and a new way of thinking. HoWs should consider the following:

- Identify thresholds and methods for reporting cyber incidents—both internally to the security program manager and externally to authorities—by referring to the HOW TO RECOGNIZE AND PREVENT CYBERCRIME tip card and CISA’s site for REPORTING CYBER INCIDENTS.
- Talk to other faith-based institutions about what they are doing to protect themselves. Be sure to share what information you have in order to help them as well.
- Subscribe to the US-CERT MONTHLY BULLETIN for information regarding cybersecurity webinars and workshops, new publications, and best practices.
- Conduct a self-led CISA CYBER RESILIENCE REVIEW (CRR) to measure existing security measures and identify areas for improvement.
- Know how to communicate and who to involve during a crisis, including REPORTING CYBERATTACKS AND INCIDENTS TO CISA and the appropriate authorities.
• Create a detailed inventory list of data and physical assets and update it routinely.
  ‣ Include the manufacturer, model, serial number and support information for hardware and software. For software, include the specific version that is installed and running.
  ‣ Know where data and technology are stored and who has access to both.

• Conduct vulnerability tests on your website by either using a paid vulnerability scanning service or CISA’s free static internet protocol (IP) scanning to detect known exploits and weaknesses.

• Refer to CISA INSIGHTS: REMEDIATE VULNERABILITIES FOR INTERNET-ACCESSIBLE SYSTEMS for more information. Make regular backups of data to avoid loss of information critical to operations.
  ‣ Consider a range of data backup options that may include employing a backup solution that automatically backs up your data.
  ‣ Maintain both online and offline backups that are not permanently connected to the computers and networks that they are backing up. This practice reduces the risk of a damaged backup.

• Define expected behavior across the organization to create a culture of security among staff. Require adherence to end-user agreements and enterprise cybersecurity policies.

• Build a network of trusted relationships with faith-based partners and local government agencies for threat sharing and access to timely cyber threat information.

• Attend a CISA-led regional meeting focused on evolving cyber risk management needs and community resources available to various sectors and regions.

• Use CISA’s scalable CYBER TABLETOP EXERCISE PACKAGE (CTEP) to produce and customize a tabletop cyber exercise tailored for your organization.

• Organizations should determine their risk of DISCLOSING SENSITIVE PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION. Once this risk is determined, they should follow industry best practices to prevent its disclosure.

• Develop and train staff on a comprehensive cyber incident response plan that focuses on being able to recover systems, networks, and data from known, accurate backups.
  ‣ Ensure that this plan is formally approved by your organization’s senior leadership to ensure its acceptance.
  ‣ Regularly test your incident response plan to ensure that each part of your organization knows how to respond to both basic and large-scale cybersecurity incidents.
Combatting Specific Threats

Cyberattacks come in a wide variety of forms and each requires a specific response. Fortunately, these countermeasures often overlap and are a vital part of creating a robust culture of cyber hygiene and readiness.

Malware and Viruses

Malware and viruses are malicious software programs designed to compromise the integrity of your computer or mobile device and give attackers the ability to monitor your activity or steal your data. There are several important considerations for protecting yourself and your organization against malware and network intrusions:

- Educate your staff on the different types of malware that can infect devices and the best practices for protecting such devices. Read the CISA MALWARE TIP CARD.
- Keep all security software, web browsers, and operating systems up to date to prevent attackers from taking advantage of known vulnerabilities.
- Avoid clicking on suspicious links in emails or online posts.
- Use security software to scan universal serial bus (USB) and other external devices which can be infected by viruses and malware.

Phishing Attacks

A phishing attack uses email or malicious websites to infect your machine or collect personal and financial data. Phishing emails may appear to come from a real institution or site and may request personal information. When users respond with the requested information or click on a provided link, attackers are then able to access accounts. Several key considerations will help protect you from phishing attempts:

- Familiarize your staff with the best practices and examples of potential phishing emails described in the CISA PHISHING TIP CARD.
- Avoid clicking on hyperlinks in emails. If possible, type the URL into your search bar.

RECOGNIZING PHISHING ATTACKS

Is it Phishing?

1. Does the email appear to come from a real institution but upon further inspection you notice slight adjustments (ex: .net instead of .com, missing letters, etc.)
2. Does the email request that personal information be sent over email or by clicking on a link?
3. Does the email implore you to act quickly to avoid serious consequences?
4. When you hover over a web link, does it go to a site unrelated to the text?

When in doubt, throw it out: If it looks suspicious, delete it!
• Be cautious of emails that offer something that sounds too good to be true or that urge quick action.

• Do not reveal personal or financial information in an email and do not respond to email solicitations for this information, including through links sent via email.

• Pay attention to the email address or the website URL provided in a suspicious email. Malicious websites and accounts may look identical to legitimate sites and emails but may use spelling variations or different domains.

• If it is unclear whether an email request is legitimate, try to contact the company directly or search for the company online—but do not use the information provided in the email!

Ransomware

Ransomware attacks use malware to deny access to systems or data for the purpose of extortion. After a user has been locked out of the data or system, the malicious cyber actor holds the systems or data hostage until a ransom is paid. Ransomware attacks frequently target end users through phishing emails and unsecured applications. Prevention is the most effective defense against ransomware, so it is critical to consider several precautionary measures:

• Familiarize your staff with CISA’s suite of RANSOMWARE RESOURCES, including the “Combating Ransomware” Webinar and PROTECTING AGAINST RANSOMWARE SECURITY TIPS.

• Be wary of opening email attachments, particularly when attachments are compressed files or ZIP files.

• For information on protecting your organization’s networks and responding to potential ransomware, refer to the RANSOMWARE GUIDE, a customer-centered, one-stop resource with best practices and ways to prevent, protect, and respond to a ransomware attack.

• Implement an awareness and training program. Because end users are targets, employees and others who access the network should be aware of the threat of ransomware and how it is delivered.

• Ensure all applications and operating systems are regularly updated with the latest security patches.

• Install and regularly update antivirus software, firewalls, and email filters to reduce malicious network traffic.

• Configure firewalls to block access to known malicious IP addresses which can be found in the NCAS ALERTS AND ANALYTICAL PRODUCTS.

Website Defacement

A website defacement occurs when an attacker takes control of a public-facing website. HoWs have seen an increasing number of website defacements over the last several years. These types of attacks often feature upsetting imagery and language with the goal of instilling fear in the targeted community and damaging the reputation of the website and its owner. Attacks
on websites can threaten the integrity of the website, as well as the confidentiality of any information tied to the website. To a faith-based organization this can be extremely disruptive and embarrassing. There are several important steps that HoWs can take to protect against website-based cyberattacks:

- Familiarize your staff with the basics of WEBSITE SECURITY.
- Look at services provided by your organization’s website hosting provider and contact them to discuss implementing security measures depending on services provided.
- Change all default usernames and passwords that were provided from the domain registrar and domain name system (DNS) as these are usually readily available on the Internet and can be used in an attack.
- Regularly update the passwords for all accounts on systems that can make changes to your organization’s DNS record or website.
- Routinely review registrar and DNS records for all domains. Refer to CISA CYBER INSIGHTS: MITIGATE DNS INFRASTRUCTURE TAMPERING for more information.
- Enforce multi-factor authentication (MFA) for all authorized users and website administrators.
- Enable logging and regularly audit website logs to detect security events or improper access. Unusual or suspicious access should be investigated further.
- Regularly scan for and remediate critical and high vulnerabilities. Refer to CISA CYBER INSIGHTS: REMEDIATE VULNERABILITIES FOR INTERNET-ACCESSIBLE SYSTEMS for more information.

Summary

HoWs are not immune to cyberattacks. An important way to protect your organization is to watch for cybersecurity incidents and report any that you find. CISA offers several widely available services to help organizations of all sizes prepare for and respond to cyber incidents:

- If your organization experiences an incident, please consider reporting any phishing attempts, malware, or identified vulnerabilities through CISA’s SECURE REPORTING TOOL or INCIDENT REPORTING SYSTEM.
- CISA analyzes malware, phishing messages, and website or software vulnerabilities to provide actionable information to help citizens better protect themselves in the future.
- CISA encourages you to report any activities that you feel meet the criteria for an incident or phishing attack. CISA’s policy is to keep all information specific to your organization confidential unless you provide permission to release such information.

Achieving a culture of cyber readiness requires a new way of thinking about cybersecurity and an investment in prioritizing basic cyber hygiene. Understanding the basics of cyber safety and incorporating simple best practices can make a measurable difference in protecting your facility from damaging cyberattacks. Employees and volunteers should be trained on these best practices and procedures and know how to recognize and act on suspicious activity during a cyber crisis. Cybersecurity should be treated as an extension of other security and contingency plans.
Summary and Overall Conclusions

Targeted attacks on houses of worship (HoWs) are a statistically rare but genuine threat to the American people and a major priority for the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). In its capacity as the Nation’s risk advisor, the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) has prepared this guide to assist houses of worship and faith-based organizations develop a comprehensive security strategy to help protect life and property.

In this security guide, CISA analyzed ten years of targeted attacks on houses of worship to provide context to the enterprise-wide security recommendations outlined in the previous chapters. The case studies reviewed are examples of the breadth of threats a house of worship faces daily. From physical attacks such as active shooter incidents or bombings to less visible cyberattacks, a house of worship should be vigilant in its security practices.

The best way to mitigate a potential attack is to take a holistic approach to security. This requires assigning clear roles and responsibilities for making security decisions, planning, and implementing the procedures and capabilities across the organization. A robust security plan should be tailored to the specific needs and priorities of the house of worship.

To develop and implement sound security practices, CISA recommends the following options for consideration:

- Establish a multi-layered plan for security, identifying clear roles and responsibilities for developing and implementing security measures.
- Create emergency action plans, business continuity plans, and incident response plans that are well communicated and exercised with the Safety Team for complete understanding.
- Conduct a vulnerability assessment to understand the risks to the house of worship from which you may prioritize implementing any subsequent safety measures.
• Build community readiness and resilience by establishing an organizational culture of caring where all members and visitors are properly supported, and credible threats are reported through previously identified channels.

• Apply physical security measures to monitor and protect the outer, middle, and inner perimeters, while respecting the purpose of each area of the house of worship.

• Focus on the safety of children by implementing safety measures around childcare, daycare, and schools.

• Implement cybersecurity best practices to safeguard important information and prevent a potential cyberattack.

These security options will not deter every threat to a house of worship, but a comprehensive security approach offers the best solution to protect people and property from an attack. HoWs should tailor this knowledge to account for their unique security needs while ensuring the inherent open and welcoming values are maintained.

Looking Forward

CISA will continue to work with faith-based organizations (FBOs) to understand the phenomenon of these types of attacks and provide guidance on ways to mitigate the risk. As CISA looks to the future, it is clear that more study is needed and that some of the most important tangible steps will be to develop a common definition of targeted violence against HoWs, to develop a unified system of tracking and reporting to inform future analysis and security planning, and to continue to link HoWs across the Nation to better share resources, ideas, and solutions.
Appendix 1: Consolidated Resources for Houses of Worship

The resource guide in this section is a consolidation of all the resources provided in this security guide, organized by chapter and section. This list is not exhaustive but provides useful information that can be tailored to any house of worship’s (HoW) security plan based on risk and priority.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>RESOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Chapter 1: Introduction | DHS, Strategic Framework for Countering Terrorism and Targeted Violence  
| | FBI, Hate Crime Tracker  
https://www.fbi.gov/services/cjis/ucr/hate-crime |
| | DHS Guide for Developing High Quality Emergency Action Plans for Houses of Worship  
https://www.fema.gov/emergency-managers/individuals-communities/faith-preparedness |
| | CISA Active Shooter Emergency Action Plan Template and Guide  
| | CISA Active Shooter Emergency Action Plan Video  
| | CISA Faith Based Organizations - Houses of Worship Security Resources  
https://www.cisa.gov/faith-based-organizations-houses-worship |
| | CDC Emergency Action Plan Template  
| | FEMA Producing Emergency Plan Guidelines  
https://training.fema.gov/hiedu/docs/cgo/week%203%20-%20producing%20emergency%20plans.pdf |
| | FEMA Center for Domestic Preparedness  
https://cpd.dhs.gov/ |
| | FEMA Emergency Kit Checklist  
https://www.fema.gov/media-library-data/1553273223562-797451b5cb0bee8d35d3e4e85e3830d6/Checklist.pdf |
| | FEMA Faith-Based Community Preparedness  
https://www.fema.gov/emergency-managers/individuals-communities/faith-preparedness |
| | American Red Cross First Aid Checklist  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>RESOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Emergency Operations     | CDC Crisis Communication Plan  
|                          | CISA Active Shooter Preparedness  
https://www.cisa.gov/active-shooter-preparedness |
|                          | CISA Active Shooter Workshops  
https://www.cisa.gov/active-shooter-workshop-participant |
|                          | CISA Run-Hide-Fight Video  
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W2Vqt5KqAQ&feature=youtu.be |
|                          | CISA Active Shooter Preparedness Video  
https://www.cisa.gov/options-consideration-active-shooter-preparedness-video |
|                          | CISA Active Shooter Training for First Responders  
https://www.cisa.gov/first-responder |
|                          | Ready.gov Active Shooter Resources  
https://www.ready.gov/active-shooter |
|                          | Ready.gov Training Resources  
https://www.ready.gov/training-0 |
|                          | Ready.gov “You Are the Help Until Help Arrives”  
https://community.fema.gov/until-help-arrives |
|                          | “Stop The Bleed”  
https://www.stopthebleed.org/training |
|                          | DHS Improvised Explosive Device Training  
https://odp.dhs.gov/find-training/course/AWR-337 |
|                          | CISA Vehicle Attack Mitigation  
https://www.cisa.gov/first-responder |
|                          | CISA Insider Threat Training  
https://www.cisa.gov/training-awareness |
|                          | CISA Active Shooter Recovery Guide  
|                          | CISA Emergency Services Sector Continuity Planning Suite  
https://www.cisa.gov/emergency-services-sector-continuity-planning-suite |
|                          | CISA Hometown Security: Connect, Plan, Train, Report  
|                          | FEMA National Continuity Programs  
https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/89510 |
|                          | DOJ Helping Victims of Mass Violence Toolkit  
https://www.ovc.gov/pubs/mvt-toolkit/recovery.html |
| Business Continuity      | Ready.gov Business Continuity Planning Suite  
|                          | CISA Active Shooter Recovery Guide  
|                          | CISA Emergency Services Sector Continuity Planning Suite  
https://www.cisa.gov/emergency-services-sector-continuity-planning-suite |
| Chapter 3: Conducting a Comprehensive Vulnerability Assessment | CISA PSAs  
https://www.cisa.gov/protective-security-advisors |
|                          | CISA House of Worship Security Self-Assessment  
|                          | EEOC Background Check Guidance  
https://www.eeoc.gov/background-checks |
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## Chapter 4: Building Community Readiness and Resilience

**Threat Management**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CISA Pathway to Violence Video</td>
<td><a href="https://www.cisa.gov/pathway-violence-video">https://www.cisa.gov/pathway-violence-video</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA Pathway to Violence Fact Sheet</td>
<td><a href="https://www.cisa.gov/publication/pathway-violence-fact-sheet">https://www.cisa.gov/publication/pathway-violence-fact-sheet</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS If You See Something, Say Something® Infographic</td>
<td><a href="https://www.dhs.gov/see-something-say-something/recognize-the-signs">https://www.dhs.gov/see-something-say-something/recognize-the-signs</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS If You See Something, Say Something® Pocket Card</td>
<td><a href="https://www.dhs.gov/see-something-say-something/campaign-materials">https://www.dhs.gov/see-something-say-something/campaign-materials</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS If You See Something, Say Something®: Take the Challenge</td>
<td><a href="https://www.dhs.gov/see-something-say-something/take-challenge">https://www.dhs.gov/see-something-say-something/take-challenge</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS Suspicious Activity Reporting (SAR) Indicators and Examples</td>
<td><a href="https://www.dhs.gov/publication/suspicious-activity-reporting-indicators-and-examples">https://www.dhs.gov/publication/suspicious-activity-reporting-indicators-and-examples</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS How to Integrate Suspicious Activity Reporting Into Your Agency’s Operations</td>
<td><a href="https://www.dhs.gov/publication/10-ways-integrate-sar-your-agency-s-operations">https://www.dhs.gov/publication/10-ways-integrate-sar-your-agency-s-operations</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBI Field Office Contact Information</td>
<td><a href="https://www.fbi.gov/contact-us/field-offices">https://www.fbi.gov/contact-us/field-offices</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBI Tip Form</td>
<td><a href="https://tips.fbi.gov/">https://tips.fbi.gov/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA Incident Command System (ICS) Resource Center</td>
<td><a href="https://training.fema.gov/emiweb/is/icsresource/">https://training.fema.gov/emiweb/is/icsresource/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Community Engagement and Community Relations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ready.gov Community Emergency Response Team (CERT)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ready.gov/cert">https://www.ready.gov/cert</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ready.gov “Prepareathon”</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ready.gov/prepareathon">https://www.ready.gov/prepareathon</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA Regional Resiliency Assessment Program (RRAP)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.cisa.gov/regional-resiliency-assessment-program">https://www.cisa.gov/regional-resiliency-assessment-program</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Professional Liaison Relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Professional Liaison Relationship | Ready.gov Local Emergency Management Information  
https://www.ready.gov/local |
| | Tool to Identify Nearest CISA Regions  
https://www.cisa.gov/cisa-regional-offices |
| | CISA Protective Security Advisor (PSA) Program Fact Sheet  
https://www.cisa.gov/publication/psa-fact-sheet |
| | DHS Federal Protective Service  
https://www.dhs.gov/topic/federal-protective-service |

### Mental Health and Social Support Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Mental Health and Social Support Services | MentalHealth.gov "What is Mental Health?"  
https://www.mentalhealth.gov/basics/what-is-mental-health |
| | MentalHealth.gov Talk About Mental Health: For Community and Faith Leaders  
https://www.mentalhealth.gov/talk/faith-community-leaders |
| | SAMHSA Addressing Risk of Violent Behavior in Youth  
| | SAMHSA FindTreatment.gov  
https://www.findtreatment.gov/ |
| | SAMHSA Behavioral Health Treatment Services Locator  
https://findtreatment.samhsa.gov/locator/stateagencies.html#XurGoG5Fwgo |

### Chapter 5: Protecting Your Facilities

#### Grants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Grants | FEMA Nonprofit Security Grants Program  
https://www.fema.gov/grants/preparedness/nonprofit-security |
| | FEMA Types of Grants  
https://www.fema.gov/grants |

#### Security Through Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Security Through Design | FEMA Site and Urban Design For Security  
| | FEMA Reference Manual to Mitigate Potential Terrorist Attacks Against Buildings  

#### Threat Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Threat Management | FEMA Planning Considerations: Complex Coordinated Attacks  
| | CISA Vehicle Ramming Action Guide  
https://www.cisa.gov/publication/active-assailant-security-resources |
| | CISA TRIPwire: Vehicle Born IED Identification Guide: Parked Vehicles  
| | Office of the Director of National Intelligence (DNI): First Responder Toolbox  
| | CISA Security and Resiliency Guide  
| | DHS Reference Manual to Mitigate Potential Terrorist Attacks Against Buildings  
https://www.fema.gov/media-library-data/20130726-1524-20490-7395/fema452_01_05.pdf |
## Chapter 6: Daycare and School Safety Considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>General Resources</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHS SchoolSafety.gov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://www.schoolsafety.gov/">https://www.schoolsafety.gov/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Education (DoED) Readiness and Emergency Management for Schools (REMS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://rems.ed.gov/AboutUs.aspx">https://rems.ed.gov/AboutUs.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SchoolSafety.gov Safety Readiness Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://www.schoolsafety.gov/safety-readiness-tool#no-back">https://www.schoolsafety.gov/safety-readiness-tool#no-back</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMS Developing Emergency Operations Plans (EOPS) K-12 101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://rems.ed.gov/trainings/CourseK12EOP.aspx">https://rems.ed.gov/trainings/CourseK12EOP.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMS Guide for Developing High-Quality School Emergency Operations Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA PSAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://www.cisa.gov/protective-security-advisors">https://www.cisa.gov/protective-security-advisors</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:central@cisa.dhs.gov">central@cisa.dhs.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Physical Security</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHS School Security Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMS Live Training and Site Assess App</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner Alliance for Safer Schools (PASS): Safety and Security Guidelines for K-12 Schools</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>School Climate</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DoED Guiding Principles: A Resource Guide for Improving School Climate and Discipline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Climate Action Guide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://safesupportivelearning.ed.gov/scirp/action-guides">https://safesupportivelearning.ed.gov/scirp/action-guides</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USSS Analysis of Targeted School Violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://www.secretservice.gov/data/protection/ntac/Protecting_Americas_Schools.pdf">https://www.secretservice.gov/data/protection/ntac/Protecting_Americas_Schools.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Training</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HHS StopBullying.gov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://www.stopbullying.gov/resources/facts#stats">https://www.stopbullying.gov/resources/facts#stats</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USSS Enhancing School Safety Threat Assessment Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Maryland School Health Assessment and Performance Evaluation (SHAPE) School Mental Health Profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHS Bullying Prevention Assessment Package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://mchb.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/mchb/MaternalChildHealthInitiatives/mchb-change-pkg-12-4-17-sxf.pdf">https://mchb.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/mchb/MaternalChildHealthInitiatives/mchb-change-pkg-12-4-17-sxf.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Resource</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHS Homeland Security Exercise and Evaluation Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Funding Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>RESOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOJ School Violence Prevention Program</td>
<td><a href="https://cops.usdoj.gov/svpp">https://cops.usdoj.gov/svpp</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOJ STOP School Violence Technology and Threat Assessment Solutions for Safer Schools Program</td>
<td><a href="https://bja.ojp.gov/program/stop-school-violence-program/archives">https://bja.ojp.gov/program/stop-school-violence-program/archives</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoED E-Rate Program: Cost Effective Technology to Bolster Network Infrastructure</td>
<td><a href="https://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/ed/non-public-education/other-federal-programs/fcc.html">https://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/ed/non-public-education/other-federal-programs/fcc.html</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Chapter 7: Cybersecurity

#### Cyber Hygiene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>RESOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CISA Cybersecurity Resources Roadmap</td>
<td><a href="https://us-cert.cisa.gov/resources/smb">https://us-cert.cisa.gov/resources/smb</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA Cyber Essentials</td>
<td><a href="https://www.cisa.gov/publication/cisa-cyber-essentials">https://www.cisa.gov/publication/cisa-cyber-essentials</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA NCAS Using Caution with Email Attachments</td>
<td><a href="https://www.us-cert.gov/ncas/tips/ST04-010">https://www.us-cert.gov/ncas/tips/ST04-010</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA Privacy and Mobile Device Apps</td>
<td><a href="https://us-cert.cisa.gov/ncas/tips/s19-003">https://us-cert.cisa.gov/ncas/tips/s19-003</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Online Safety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>RESOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CISA Online Privacy Tip Sheet</td>
<td><a href="https://www.cisa.gov/publication/stop-think-connect-toolkit">https://www.cisa.gov/publication/stop-think-connect-toolkit</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA NCAS: Staying Safe on Social Networking Sites</td>
<td><a href="https://www.us-cert.gov/ncas/tips/ST06-003">https://www.us-cert.gov/ncas/tips/ST06-003</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA Social Media Cybersecurity Tip Sheet</td>
<td><a href="https://www.cisa.gov/publication/stop-think-connect-toolkit">https://www.cisa.gov/publication/stop-think-connect-toolkit</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA NCAS: Guidelines for Publishing Information Online</td>
<td><a href="https://www.us-cert.gov/ncas/tips/ST05-013">https://www.us-cert.gov/ncas/tips/ST05-013</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA NCAS: Dealing with Cyberbullies</td>
<td><a href="https://www.us-cert.gov/ncas/tips/ST06-005">https://www.us-cert.gov/ncas/tips/ST06-005</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA NCAS: Avoiding Social Engineering and Phishing Attacks</td>
<td><a href="https://www.us-cert.gov/ncas/tips/ST04-014">https://www.us-cert.gov/ncas/tips/ST04-014</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Security Practices and Awareness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>RESOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CISA Cyber Resilience Review (CRR)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.us-cert.gov/resources/assessments">https://www.us-cert.gov/resources/assessments</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA Cybersecurity Advisors (CSAs)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.cisa.gov/csa">https://www.cisa.gov/csa</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATEGORY</td>
<td>RESOURCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Security Practices and Awareness (cont)** | CISA Insights: Remediate Vulnerabilities for Internet-Accessible Systems  
https://www.cisa.gov/insights |
| | CISA Cyber Tabletop Exercise Package (CTEP)  
https://www.cisa.gov/national-cyber-exercise-and-planning-program |
| | DHS Handbook for Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information  
https://www.dhs.gov/publication/handbook-safeguarding-sensitive-personally-identifiable-information |
| **Malware and Viruses** | CISA Malware Tip Card  
https://www.cisa.gov/publication/stop-think-connect-toolkit |
| **Phishing Attacks** | CISA Phishing Tip Card  
https://www.cisa.gov/publication/stop-think-connect-toolkit |
| **Ransomware** | CISA US-CERT Ransomware Resources  
https://www.us-cert.gov/Ransomware |
| | CISA NCAS: Protecting Against Ransomware Security Tips  
https://www.us-cert.gov/ncas/tips/ST19-001 |
| **Website Defacement** | CISA Cyber Insights: Mitigate DNS Infrastructure Tampering  
https://www.cisa.gov/insights |
| | CISA Cyber Insights: Remediate Vulnerabilities for Internet-Accessible Systems  
https://www.cisa.gov/insights |
### Appendix 2: List of Incidents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>How Name</th>
<th>Denomination</th>
<th>City, State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/7/2009</td>
<td>Kkottongnae Retreat Camp</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Temecula, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/20/2010</td>
<td>Church of the Living God</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Pittsburg, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/1/2011</td>
<td>St. Ambrose Cathedral</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Des Moines, IA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/1/2012</td>
<td>Imam Al-Khoel Foundation</td>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>New York City, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/12/2012</td>
<td>Congregation Beth El</td>
<td>Jewish</td>
<td>Paramus, NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/12/2012</td>
<td>St. Peter's Episcopal Church</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Ellicott City, MD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2009**

John Suchan Chong, 69, a handyman at a Catholic retreat, attacked fellow residents with a handgun in apparent retribution for perceived slights. He shot and killed one victim and injured three others before he was subdued by witnesses.

**2010**

John Hugo Scherzberg, 42, set fire to a series of churches because he was angry and blamed God for his life circumstances.

**2011**

Using a covert cyberattack, hackers stole more than $680,000 the diocese raised to help the homeless and abused women.

**2012**

Ray Lazier Lengend, 40, also known as Suraj Poonai, firebombed a series of residential buildings and houses of worship, including a Hindu temple and a mosque, declaring that he wanted to "take out as many Arabs as possible." No one was injured, but the attacks resulted in significant property damage.

Anthony Graziano and Aakash Dalal, both age 19 and 20 at the time of their crimes, escalated from a series of anti-Semitic vandalisms to firebombing a pair of synagogues and the home of a rabbi.

Douglas Franklin Jones, 56, shot and killed an Episcopal priest and church secretary in a dispute over the church’s food pantry.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>HoW NAME</th>
<th>DENOMINATION</th>
<th>CITY, STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/20/2012</td>
<td>New Holy Deliverance Outreach Ministry</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Axton, VA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/2012</td>
<td>Sikh Temple of Wisconsin in Oak Creek</td>
<td>Sikh</td>
<td>Oak Creek, WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/6/2012</td>
<td>Islamic Society of Joplin</td>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>Joplin, MO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/30/2012</td>
<td>Islamic Center of Greater Toledo</td>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>Perrysburg, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/1/2012</td>
<td>Temple Kol Ami Emanu-El</td>
<td>Jewish</td>
<td>Plantation, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/24/2012</td>
<td>World Changers Church International</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>College Park, GA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/2/2012</td>
<td>First United Presbyterian Church</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Coudersport, PA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Jean-Claude Bridges, 17, along with an unnamed juvenile co-conspirator, burned down a predominantly black church. At trial, he admitted to targeting the church for reasons of racial prejudice.

Wade Michael Page, a 40-year-old Army veteran with ties to white supremacist organizations, shot and killed six people at a Sikh temple. Four other people were seriously injured in the attack, including a responding officer; a priest later died from his injuries. The shooter was wounded by gunfire from responding officers and committed suicide.

Jedediah Stout, age 32, was arrested after setting fire to a Planned Parenthood clinic. At trial, he pleaded guilty to several arson charges and confessed to burning down a mosque because he doesn’t like Islam.

Randolph T. Linn, a 52-year-old trucker and former Marine, broke into a mosque after hours and set fire to the prayer room, causing more than $1 million in damages. At trial, Linn confessed that he had been drinking heavily and was upset by sensational news coverage of attacks on U.S. servicemen in the Middle East.

A group of hackers calling themselves Team System Dz took over a synagogue website during a religious holiday and replaced it with anti-Semitic messages and praise for the Islamic State terrorist organization.

Floyd Palmer, 51, shot and killed a church volunteer leading a prayer service at an Atlanta-area megachurch. Palmer’s motivation is unknown, but he had previously been charged in a shooting at a Baltimore mosque and has a history of mental illness.

Gregory Eldred, a 52-year-old school teacher, sought out his ex-wife and fatally shot her as she played the organ during a church service. Eldred received a life sentence; his motivation remains under investigation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>HoW NAME</th>
<th>DENOMINATION</th>
<th>CITY, STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/31/2013</td>
<td>Hiawatha Church of God in Christ</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Ashatabula, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reshad Riddle, 28, fatally shot his father</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>during an Easter church service and made</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rambling statements while holding the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>members at gunpoint. Riddle was quickly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>subdued by responding officers. A judge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>found Riddle legally insane and remanded</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>him to the custody of a behavioral health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>care system.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/8/2013</td>
<td>Spring Valley Catholic Church</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Spring Valley, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eugene William Volk, 46, pleaded guilty to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a range of charges, including hate crimes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and arson, related to a church fire that</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cause more than $200,000 in damages. Volk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>had an extensive criminal record and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>confessed to hating the Catholic faith.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/13/2014</td>
<td>Jewish Community Center of Greater Kansas</td>
<td>Jewish</td>
<td>Overland Park, KS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frazier Glen Miller, Jr., a 73-year-old Army</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>veteran with a long history of ties to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>racist organizations, shot and killed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>three people at a Jewish community center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and retirement community.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/17/2015</td>
<td>Emanuel African Methodist Episcopal Church</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Charleston, SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dylan Roof, a 21-year-old white supremacist,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>shot and killed nine people during a prayer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>service at a historically black church.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>During his arrest, Roof stated that his</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>intention was to start a race war.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/13/2015</td>
<td>Corinth Missionary Baptist Church</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Bullard, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rasheed Abdul Aziz, 40, entered a church</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wearing full tactical gear and armed with</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a handgun, declaring his intention to &quot;slay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>infidels.&quot; The pastor was an experienced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>crisis intervention specialist and talked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the would-be gunman down. He was arrested</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the following day.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/11/2015</td>
<td>Islamic Society of Coachella Valley</td>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>Coachella, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carl James Dial, 23, threw a Molotov</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cocktail into a mosque shortly after noon.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No one was injured, but the fire caused</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>extensive property damage. Dial’s parents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>described him as troubled, and investigators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>believe the attack was in retaliation for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the 2015 mass shooting attack in San</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bernadino.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/1/2016</td>
<td>Islamic Center of Wheaton</td>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>Chicago, IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>An unknown hacker or group of hackers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>created a fake website for a Chicago-area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mosque, and posted inflammatory images and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>messages to provoke an anti-Muslim backlash.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>HoW NAME</td>
<td>DENOMINATION</td>
<td>CITY, STATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/28/2016</td>
<td>St. Peter’s Missionary Baptist Church</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Dayton, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daniel Schooler, 68, shot and killed his brother, a reverend, during a dispute over a lawsuit. At trial, Schooler explained that he went to the church to discuss the dispute and shot his brother in self-defense after the argument became heated. Schooler had a lengthy criminal record and a history of mental illness.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/13/2016</td>
<td>Al-Furqan Jame Masjid Mosque</td>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>New York City, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oscar Morel, 35, shot and killed two Muslim scholars as they exited a New York mosque. A judge sentenced Morel to life in prison, but investigators were unable to determine a motive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/1/2016</td>
<td>Hopewell Missionary Baptist Church</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Greenville, MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andrew McClinton, 47, burned down a historically black church in Mississippi. McClinton had a lengthy criminal record and investigators concluded that he burned down the church, where he was a member, to cover illicit activities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/11/2016</td>
<td>Islamic Center of Fort Pierce</td>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>Fort Pierce, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joseph Schreiber, 32, set fire to a mosque formerly attended by Omar Mateen, who perpetrated a mass shooting at the Pulse nightclub in Orlando. The mosque was destroyed. Schreiber had previously posted anti-Islamic message on social media and made Islamophobic statements at trial.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/7/2017</td>
<td>St. Stephen Presbyterian Church</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Fort Worth, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thomas Dale Britton, 54, broke into a church overnight and spent hours several hours vandalizing the building and setting fires, causing more than half a million dollars in damages. He left graffiti attempting to implicate ISIS, but investigators were unable to determine a motive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/17/2017</td>
<td>St. Augustin Church</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Des Moines, IA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ashley Eckhardt, 31, attacked a deacon with a knife during a Catholic ministry for the sick. Witnesses described Eckhardt as disturbed and &quot;yelling about the devil.&quot; The deacon survived; a judge sentenced Eckhardt to five years in prison.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/11/2017</td>
<td>Islamic Society of Tampa</td>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>Pomona, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shaun Urwiler, a 42-year-old veteran suffering from post-traumatic stress disorder, crashed his truck into several cars and then rammed it through the gate of a mosque, causing about $6,000 in damages. During his arrest, Urwiler told deputies he wanted to &quot;wreak a little havoc.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>HoW NAME</td>
<td>DENOMINATION</td>
<td>CITY, STATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/2017</td>
<td>Dar al-Farooq (DAF) Islamic Center</td>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>Bloomington, MN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three men--Michael McWhorter, 29; Joe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Morris, 23; and Michael Hari, 47--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>attempted to bomb a mosque during</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>morning prayers. McWhorter and Morris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pleaded guilty to multiple hate crime</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>charges; Hari is awaiting trial. All</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>three men have ties to white supremacist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organizations. The bombing was</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>part of a multi-state crime spree and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>intended to drive Muslims out of the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>country.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/24/2017</td>
<td>Burnette Chapel Church of Christ</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Antioch, TN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emanuel Kidega Samson, 25, shot and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>killed one person in the parking lot of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the Burnette Chapel Church of Christ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in Antioch, TN. Samson proceeded into</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the church and continued the attack. In</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>total, Samson killed one and wounded</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>seven before law enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>apprehended him.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/5/2017</td>
<td>First Baptist Church</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Sutherland Springs, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Devin Patrick Kelley, 26, shot and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>killed 26 and wounded 20 at the First</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baptist Church in Sutherland Springs,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Texas. He started shooting in the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>parking lot and moved inside the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>church to continue the attack. A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>neighbor to the church with a legal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>firearm shot Bowers twice, and pursued</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the assailant in a vehicle. Bowers’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vehicle crashed, at which point he</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>committed suicide with a handgun before</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>police could arrive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/27/2018</td>
<td>Tree of Life Synagogue</td>
<td>Jewish</td>
<td>Pittsburgh, PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robert Gregory Bowers, 46, shot and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>killed 11 people and wounded six others,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>including 4 responding law enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>officers, at the Tree of Life Congregation in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Police exchanged gunfire with the attacker before apprehending him. Bowers faces numerous federal and state charges, including committing a Hate Crime.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/23/2018</td>
<td>Congregation Bais Yeshuda</td>
<td>Jewish</td>
<td>Los Angeles, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mohamed Mohamed Abdi, 32, used a vehicle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in an attempt to run over worshipers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>exiting Congregation Bais Yeshuda in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Los Angeles, California. No casualties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>were reported. Authorities tracked and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>arrested the assailant, who shouted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>anti-Semitic slurs during the attack.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/2019</td>
<td>St. Ambrose Catholic Church</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Brunswick, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Ambrose Catholic Church in Brunswick, Ohio lost $1.75 million from a renovation fund as the result of a cyberattack. The perpetrators posed as the construction company to hack into the church’s email. They used the email access to solicit finance information from another employee.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>How Name</td>
<td>Denomination</td>
<td>City, State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4/2019</td>
<td>St. Mary Baptist Church/Greater Union Baptist Church/Mount Pleasant Baptist Church</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Port Barre; Opelousas, LA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Holden Matthews, 21, burned down four churches in Louisiana over several nights. He attacked the St. Mary Baptist Church in Port Barre, LA and Greater Union Baptist Church and Mount Pleasant Baptist Church in Opelousas, LA. Matthews shared videos and images of the attacks on the internet. Matthews faces state hate crime charges.

| 4/27/2019  | Chabad of Poway Synagogue                                                | Jewish       | Poway, CA                    |

John Timothy Earnest, 19, killed one and injured three in a shooting at the Chabad of Poway Synagogue. The San Diego Police Department apprehended Earnest approximately two miles from the synagogue. The attack occurred on the last day of Passover.

| 12/29/2019 | West Freeway Church of Christ                                           | Christian    | White Settlement, TX         |

Keith Kinnunen, 43, shot and killed two people during a Sunday morning service at a church in White Settlement, Texas. He wore a disguise to carry out the attack. The church's head of security fatally shot Kinnunen.

| 12/29/2019 | Congregation Netzach Yisroel                                           | Jewish       | Monsey, NY                   |

Grafton Thomas, 37, is accused of attacking several people with an edged weapon at a Hanukkah celebration hosted by a Rabbi in Monsey, New York. He was declared unfit to stand trial and is currently at a mental care facility.